_	1222222 02201 2200 0212212
2	MR. CANFIELD: Jerry Canfield, Code
3	Compliance Supervisor.
4	MR. HINES: Pat Hines with McGoey,
5	Hauser & Edsall Consulting Engineers.
6	MR. COCKS: Bryant Cocks, Planning
7	Consultant.
8	MR. BROWNE: Thank you. At this time
9	I'll turn the meeting over to Joe Profaci.
10	MR. PROFACI: Please join us in a
11	salute to the flag.
12	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
13	MR. PROFACI: If I could please remind
14	you to turn off your electronic communication
15	devices.
16	MR. BROWNE: Our first item of business
17	we have this evening is Peterbilt - Utica Truck
18	General, project number 2011-25. This is a site
19	plan being presented by Charles Brown.
20	MR. BROWN: Thank you. This is our
21	third trip before the Planning Board. I believe
22	that we've addressed all the comments from the
23	consultants and the landscape comments. We're
24	here to get input from the Board and hopefully

get an approval.

1	PETERBILT - UTICA TRUCK GENERAL 5
2	Code Compliance?
3	MR. CANFIELD: We have nothing
4	outstanding.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Doug Crossley, you
6	submitted the detailed ARB?
7	MR. CROSSLEY: Yes, we did.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board
9	Members. Frank Galli?
10	MR. GALLI: No additional.
11	MR. BROWNE: Nothing more.
12	MR. MENNERICH: No comments.
13	MR. PROFACI: No.
14	MR. WARD: No additional.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly,
16	Planning Board Attorney?
17	MR. DONNELLY: A couple quick
18	questions. I wasn't here on the 5th. Did you
19	handle SEQRA on January 5th?
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a note here.
21	SEQRA, no. The answer is no.
22	MR. DONNELLY: You need to declare lead
23	agency, and I assume issue a negative
24	declaration. You did do ARB on January 5th.
25	The resolution will carry a tie in to

2	the ZBA opinion. I don't have the date but I
3	know the variance was granted.
4	MR. BROWN: We withdrew that and
5	changed the plan.
6	MR. DONNELLY: That's why I don't have
7	a date. The ARB approval condition, a
8	landscaping security and inspection fee. We don't
9	need any sign-off letters.
10	Is there a stormwater
11	MR. HINES: It's just re-paving.
12	There's no
13	MR. DONNELLY: No other required
14	securities. The standard condition regarding
15	outdoor fixtures and amenities. You can't
16	construct anything on the site that isn't shown
17	on the approved plan.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any additional
19	comments from our consultants?
20	MR. HINES: No.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll take two
22	actions. We'll first declare ourselves lead
23	agency, and in that same motion we'll declare a
24	negative declaration for the Peterbilt - Utica

Truck General site plan.

1	PETERBILT - UTICA TRUCK GENERAL	8
2	discussion of the motion?	
3	(No response.)	
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a	
5	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.	
6	MR. GALLI: Aye.	
7	MR. BROWNE: Aye.	
8	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.	
9	MR. PROFACI: Aye.	
10	MR. WARD: Aye.	
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So	
12	carried.	
13	MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.	
14		
15	(Time noted: 7:05 p.m.)	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

MR. BROWNE: Our next item of business is the Colandrea Subdivision, project number 2012-01. This is a conceptual lot line change being presented by -- not Gregory Shaw.

MR. CROSSLEY: Not Gregory Shaw. Doug Crossley.

Good evening. Doug Crossley with Crossley & Associates. Mr. Shaw is out of town, that's why he isn't here this evening.

He briefly went over this lot line change that was proposed a month ago and we received concept approval for the site plan.

If you look at the aerial view, presently Mr. Colandrea owns almost 15 acres here where -- the lot where the dealership is located spills over into the second lot.

So what's being proposed here is that that situation be resolved and the shaded area, which constitutes 1.42 acres, be added to the existing parcel. It's section, block, lot 105-6-7; 105-37-21.

Basically all of the improvements that exist will be now incorporated on the existing

2.3

MR. WARD: No questions

3

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, would you

bring us along. The Town adopted a new local law

5

for lot line changes.

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DONNELLY: That's correct. The procedure is this: The application is made, as this was, for a subdivision. You may then convert it and treat it as a lot line change if you make the following findings: That what is proposed is an alteration that will result in land area becoming part of an existing adjacent lot without the creation of a new lot and without making any lot nonconforming or making any existing lot -- making any existing lot a nonconforming one. Once you have made those findings you are permitted to approve this as a lot line change. It remains an action under SEORA. You will have to issue a declaration of significance. However, because it's not a subdivision, it does not need to be referred to the Orange County Planning Department.

There are conditions that the law requires we include. The first is the addition of a map note, if it isn't there, that requires

2.3

24

25

1

2

3

an indication that to the best available knowledge there are no buried utilities within or adjacent to the lot line change that will create problems. You're going to have to file a map with the Orange County Real Property Tax Service. It will need to show metes and bounds descriptions and acreage computations. There are a certain number of copies that need to be submitted. All that is set forth in the resolution. After you -- simultaneously with filing the map you're going to have to record a deed. The Planning Board wants to be copied on the cover letters to the Orange County clerk on both the filing of the map and the recording of the deed, and the Town wants to see a copy of the deed after it's returned to you with recording information on it. All of those conditions are contained within the resolution.

MR. CROSSLEY: Excuse me. Why would there be a deed if it's from Mr. Colandrea to Mr. Colandrea?

MR. DONNELLY: I think that you should have a deed anyway. It's going to be from him to him to show that, because the original deed has a

MR. CROSSLEY: Yes.

24

25

on that?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN:

MR. CROSSLEY: No.

24

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DONNELLY: Okay.

MR. CROSSLEY: As Cosmo Colandrea just said, what is driving the bus here is General Motors. The architectural design and the architectural services are provided by GM, so they sent a team several times to the site and came up with this design scheme, which, as Mr. Colandrea said, is pretty much cookie cutter in terms of what they want it to look like. Pretty much every General Motors facility is going to have to be look like this. The one across the river is just about done. That's in Poughkeepsie.

Again, Gensler architectural firm did most of this work. We've provided you with some renderings that basically show some rendered sections and everything. The finishes are really, really simple. The existing building is block. It will remain block and painted white. Whether it be the addition and/or the existing facility, it will remain white. The addition that's proposed on the east elevation will be a split face block and also painted white. only color that comes into play is a black band

2.3

that will be running around the showroom. That's reflected in the renderings that were provided.

I also -- we also gave you a sheet that shows typically what all of these GM facilities are going to look like. So as we're showing you on these plans, it reflects that. This is typically, on that small sheet, what they're going to have.

The only other material introduced is what they call an ACM panel which is a metal panel that's been fabricated specifically for these dealerships. Again, that will be white. So white, black is the order of the day.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board Members. Frank Galli?

MR. GALLI: No additional.

MR. BROWNE: Normally we ask for specs for finish detail and all that. Is that something needed for this project?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yeah. You'll do a similar submission eventually like you've done with Peterbilt.

MR. CROSSLEY: Okay. We wanted to wait to hear the comments tonight, if there had to be

2.3

24

25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We got back the response from the County for the referral to the County. So what would then --

MR. DONNELLY: There aren't any sign-

MR. MENNERICH: Second.

Ken Mennerich. I have a second by John Ward.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by

24

 $\underline{\text{C} \text{ E} \text{ R} \text{ T} \text{ I} \text{ F} \text{ I} \text{ C} \text{ A} \text{ T} \text{ I} \text{ O} \text{ N}}$ 

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public within and for
the State of New York, do hereby certify
that I recorded stenographically the
proceedings herein at the time and place
noted in the heading hereof, and that the
foregoing is an accurate and complete
transcript of same to the best of my
knowledge and belief.

DATED: February 22, 2012

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BROWNE: Our next item of business is the Fidanza Site Plan, project number 2011-24. This is a site plan and ARB being presented by AJ Coppola.

MR. COPPOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is AJ Coppola, I'm the project architect. This is for the Fidanza Site Plan on North Plank Road. Just to remind everybody, we were here I think in October . This project was previously approved about four years ago. Now, based on what we presented in October, it's a different configuration for the building. had left the same circular parking loop, removed the drive-through, and the building square footage went up.

Basically since then we've made some changes to the site plan. I'll address the site plan first and then we can talk about the architectural, ARB, last. On the site plan we basically made some corrections to SP-1, to SP-2, which was the landscaping schedule. The three sheets in the middle, SP-3, 4 and 5, stayed the same.

О

The biggest change is we submitted new engineering drawings from Doce Associates which corrected the grading for the new footprint, corrected -- updated the force main. There's a force main in the sewage, which I'll address in a second. It's up the street. They increased the size of the pump. Their sewage flow increased. So those drawings were all new in the submission. Basically everything else was the same.

So the site plan essentially, since the Board has seen it last, I think it's almost identical to the way it was before.

Just some other things that we added. Signage notes have been added. That was one of Bryant's comments last time. We added signage notes, a break down for that on the architectural drawings, with sizes of the sign -- the letters for that. We have two letters of correspondence that we need to get back. One is from DOT. I met with her on another project and I submitted this to her. This entrance -- I'm saying the DOT engineer. The entrance is the same as it was

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

four years ago. We have a letter of approval from Zibbie four years ago. She told me verbally it's fine. We need to get that in writing to you. I submitted the four year old letter but we'll submit a new letter from her. We haven't gotten it yet.

The other letter that's outstanding is from the DEC. Bryant had asked for just some information on endangered species to go into the long form EAF. I wrote them a I still need that letter back from letter. them.

On the sewage, there's two -- kind of a two-part process. Number one, last night at the Town Board meeting we were approved for outside user status. Then the second part of the process, as I understand it, now everything has to be bundled. I think the Town Board resolution goes to the City of Newburgh, the City of Newburgh has to vote on it, and I think that's all done. we're in the middle of that.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Did you have this approval at one time?

MR. COPPOLA: That's basically it on
the site plan. Do you want me to go into the
building, architectural review?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: If you don't mine

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: If you don't mind,
let's turn to our Consultants and the Planning
Board Members as far as any questions or comments
on the site plan. Pat Hines, Drainage
Consultant?

MR. HINES: The only change required is the Town has adopted a new stormwater ordinance that requires execution of a long-term maintenance agreement prior to final. And then the only outstanding technical issue is the sign off from the City of Newburgh. Those two items need to be addressed.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning Consultant?

MR. COCKS: AJ touched upon most of my comments. In regards to the signage chart, right now the freestanding sign shown on SP-4 and the tenant signs are shown on A-1. Since they're in two different spots, can you just put them in the chart together how you want them?

MR. COPPOLA: Sure.

2.3

Again, you've assured me you're aware of it.

25

1

black and white rendering last time. That's the I've basically chosen all the materials now so there should be a colored rendering in everybody's package. Just to go over that briefly, we're using a cultured stone base. have that sample here. It's a ledge stone on the bottom and for the four columns. Those columns will wrap around the corners. It's not just going to be on the front. It will return the ledge stone underneath on the side -- on both side elevations. So that's the bottom. This is a twelve-foot high wall so there's going to be a lot of glass in terms of the storefront. very simple. It's just all bronze aluminum glass. Storefront glass. We'll do a wall mount projection awning to cover the entrance, and that will be in an accent color just as we're showing it here. And then the signage as we talked about. And then just basically a two-tone system for the stucco or stow which I also have samples for, but one is a darker, kind of a parchment, and the other is a lighter version of that. And I did not note on the plans but we'll certainly put it on there that these -- the air handling

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

window doesn't change. That will go higher. I

have no doubt it's going to be fine but -- it's

MR. GALLI: So moved.

1	FIDANZA SITE PLAN 41
2	MR. PROFACI: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
4	Frank Galli. I have a second by Joe Profaci.
5	Any discussion of the motion?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
8	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
9	MR. GALLI: Aye.
10	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
11	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
12	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
13	MR. WARD: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So
15	carried.
16	MR. COPPOLA: So just a question. I
17	need the approval from the City of Newburgh?
18	MR. DONNELLY: Yeah. Our agreement
19	with the City prohibits us from issuing any final
20	approvals for a project until that letter is in
21	hand.
22	MR. COPPOLA: Back from the City of
23	Newburgh?
24	MR. DONNELLY: Yeah.
25	MR. COPPOLA: Okay. So once I get

 ${\color{red} \underline{\texttt{C}}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{\texttt{F}}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{\texttt{T}}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{\texttt{F}}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{\texttt{F}}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{\texttt{T}}} \ {\color{bl$ 

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public within and for
the State of New York, do hereby certify
that I recorded stenographically the
proceedings herein at the time and place
noted in the heading hereof, and that the
foregoing is an accurate and complete
transcript of same to the best of my
knowledge and belief.

DATED: February 22, 2012

2.3

MR. BROWNE: Our last normal agenda item is Foam & Wash, project number 2012-02. That is a conceptual site plan being presented by Jay Diesing.

MR. DIESING: Good evening. My name is Jay Diesing, as was just mentioned. I'm with Mauri Associates Architects. We're working with TGS Associates, and Gary Bayright, who owns and operates the existing Foam & Wash facility over on North Plank Road.

If you're not familiar with the site, you probably are, but it's on the south side of North Plank and it's next to the Job Lot store. It's about a one-acre parcel right on the --functioning on the property now as a Mobil fueling station, a car wash, a doggy wash and a convenient store.

The proposal by TGS Associates is to remove the fueling station and the convenience store and expand or upgrade the car wash and the dog wash facilities.

What we're looking at now is the area where the convenience store is located, we would

add a second car wash bay. The canopy that's out now with the fueling station would be removed and we're proposing a small building that would house two doggy wash bays, and also that would be a sales point for car wash lanes, as they enter the site.

2.3

Currently there's two large curb cuts out on North Plank Road. We're proposing to close the westerly curb cut and convert the easterly curb cut to be both an entrance and exit for the site. That allows us to do a couple of things. First we would be able to utilize the area where the westerly curb cut is and put in a nice landscaped island, and we're showing a stonewall with a planter out there now. It helps to create a better traffic flow on the site.

We're suggesting a one-way traffic flow.

Customers would enter at the one curb cut and use the site facilities, and then one way out at the same curb cut back onto North Plank.

Once the canopy comes down in the middle of the site, it really gives us an opportunity to upgrade the facade of the existing building. We have a real sematic design drawing

2.3

on the page now. So we're going -- we're suggesting to -- we're proposing to remove some of the metal panels that are on the roof now and upgrade. The existing split face concrete block that's on the building would remain. We added a lot of new glass windows into the car wash bays. And then we're also indicating some decorative framework for some signs.

When we looked at our bulk zoning regulations for the parcel, we're in the B district and we're meeting all the regulations and the -- all the bulk regulations that are set forth in the Zoning Code. And actually, with the closing of the curb cut and the adding of several landscape islands on the site, we're actually decreasing our lot surface coverage.

We are basically at a point where it's a conceptual review. We wanted to get with the Planning Board and talk to you folks and see if you had any input. We had a couple comment letters I received today from your planning consultant and your engineering consultant. It didn't seem like there were any earth shattering items there, just little details that needed to

be worked out. We submitted a full package for review. We're here tonight to kind of discuss the project and find out how you feel about it.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board Members. Frank Galli?

MR. GALLI: Just a couple. When you pull into the car wash site, when you come up from the pay booth, I know now you can drive up and pay closer.

MR. DIESING: Yup. There will be two pay booths. One would be for the one car wash bay, and then there will be a second booth up closer to the new car wash bay here. So these two that are here would be set up to actually control traffic. Even if there's a car in each one, it will only allow one car at a time into the cue.

MR. GALLI: If you pulled in and decided you didn't want to wait that long for a car wash, how would you get out?

MR. DIESING: If you're at the booth they have to let you out. Once you get through, you can escape this way.

MR. BAYRIGHT: This will be a straight

2.3

3

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2.3

24 25

MR. BAYRIGHT: Yeah. Without a gas station, the convenience store isn't worth it. We're not convenience store people. We just feel this is the time to make a change.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. That's what we were saying. It's important that people like yourself speak at the meeting to educate us and have a sense of why changes are occurring. There's logic to it, there's reason to it, and in order for a Planning Board to be effective we need to know -- we need to know what we don't know. We kind of sort of guessed that maybe it could be Quick Chek as one of the possibilities. Thank you.

Any other questions, Frank?

MR. GALLI: That's it.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff Browne?

MR. BROWNE: On average -- you've been in business for awhile. On average, when cars are coming out, they're being spit out at about what, every two minutes, three minutes?

MR. BAYRIGHT: Yeah. Depending on the day and time. Every minute or two, three.

MR. BROWNE: Okay. So hopefully on a

nice busy day they're spitting out like that.

What's the average time that the folks take when they come out to wipe down the cars and vacuum and all that kind of stuff they do?

2.3

MR. BAYRIGHT: Being self service, they can spend as much time as they want. They can drive right out or stay there for ten minutes to a half an hour. We don't dry off cars. We don't do any hand detailing. On a busy day people usually just leave. We've actually almost tripled the area for that, the detailing area, by eliminating the gas station.

One thing we didn't talk about was about 500 cars less a day driving in and out, which is good for everybody but me.

MR. BROWNE: So from what you're describing, it sounds like what you're saying, you're believing that you will have probably less with folks coming out and that congestion?

MR. BAYRIGHT: When they come out now there's gas here, so people are just continually coming out here this way. The only people that will come out here would be dog wash people and the people vacuuming, which is, you know,

2.3

There isn't a lot of frontage on this site, so there's a possibility they will need a sign variance.

MR. BAYRIGHT: We noticed our frontage is the smallest size, which reduces the signage a lot.

MR. DIESING: We'll certainly do a sign calculation. We anticipate detailing that a little bit further. If we have to we'll either modify our signs. We may have to approach the Zoning Board.

MR. WARD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield, Code Compliance?

MR. CANFIELD: I didn't submit any written comments. Just I'm interested, how do you propose to either remove or properly abandon the existing tanks in the ground? Which do you choose to do?

MR. BAYRIGHT: We plan on -- there's three tanks in the ground now. We plan on removing at least one or two of them and having one of them to use for reclaimed water. All through the -- someone that knows how to do it.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I heard it was a light fixture.

MR. BAYRIGHT: The fluorescent light.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

We have it on video. The ballast literally blew up and the car wash, since it was a lot of plastic, it melted the plastic and went in the

2.3

trusses. That was it. I have a couple pictures.

3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, Drainage 4 Consultant?

MR. HINES: On the concept plan we don't have any. We're just looking for the future submissions to meet the requirements for a site plan. Looking for existing conditions, proposed conditions, how you're going to repave the lot. That kind of information. You got my comments so you can address those.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning Consultant?

MR. COCKS: I had the same comment as Pat had, the existing conditions on site, the survey sheet. There are no variances that are required now except for possibly the sign variance. A new location map. Just it's not very clear, it's too small. And then landscaping and lighting plans will be reviewed at a later time.

There was just -- I see the vacuums are all on there. I didn't know what that small box was near the dumpster.

MR. BAYRIGHT: That's a vacuum also.

2.3

2 MR. DIESING: We'll label them all.

MR. COCKS: This needs to be sent to

Orange County Planning and DOT, and ARB materials

and colors will be needed at a later date. We

discussed the signage plan.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion from the Board to grant conceptual approval for the Foam & Wash, to circulate to the Orange County Planning Department and also to the DOT.

Correct, Bryant?

MR. COCKS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We then would be declaring our intent for lead agency, Mike?

MR. DONNELLY: Yes, you can do that.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll declare our intent for lead agency. Jay, you could contact Bryant Ward -- Bryant Cocks, excuse me. Bryant will explain to you what he needs, how many copies to circulate. You also could speak to Bryant in reference to your resubmission and how you could assist us in posting all that electronically, and what Bryant will need for that for the next meeting.

FOAM & WASH 1 57 On the EAF you noted that the -- the 2 disposal of waste or carting will be to Dutchess 3 County. I think that was a typo. MR. DIESING: It probably was. We'll 5 6 correct that. 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It's boilerplate that you do. 9 Any additional comments? 10 (No response.) CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. 11 12 MR. PROFACI: So moved. 13 MR. WARD: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by 15 Joe Profaci, a second by John Ward. Any discussion of the motion? 16 17 (No response.) CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a 18 19 roll call vote starting with Frank Galli. 20 MR. GALLI: Aye. 21 MR. BROWNE: Aye. 22 MR. MENNERICH: Aye. 2.3 MR. PROFACI: Aye. 24 MR. WARD: Aye. 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Nice meeting you.

2 MR. BAYRIGHT: Thank you very much.

3

4 (Time noted: 7:46 p.m.)

5

6

## CERTIFICATION

7

8 I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand 9 Reporter and Notary Public within and for 10 the State of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the 11 12 proceedings herein at the time and place 13 noted in the heading hereof, and that the 14 foregoing is an accurate and complete 15 transcript of same to the best of my 16 knowledge and belief.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 DATED: February 22, 2012

24

25

2	MR. BROWNE: We have two Board
3	Business items to discuss. The first is
4	Longview Farms, project number 2006-39. The
5	applicant is requesting a retroactive
6	extension of final subdivision approval which
7	will run from December 14, 2011 to June 14,
8	2012.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for that
10	motion.
11	MR. DONNELLY: Just note, I did check.
12	What was granted was preliminary approval, so it
13	is not a final.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I'll move
15	for a motion to grant an extension of the
16	preliminary approval. Correct, Mike?
17	MR. DONNELLY: Yes.
18	MR. PROFACI: So moved.
19	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
21	Joe Profaci, a second by Ken Mennerich. Any
22	discussion of the motion?
23	MR. BROWNE: We should make a definite
24	statement that it is not a final subdivision

approval but it is in fact a preliminary, --

(Time noted: 7:48 p.m.)

5 <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings herein at the time and place noted in the heading hereof, and that the foregoing is an accurate and complete transcript of same to the best of my

knowledge and belief.

DATED: February 22, 2012

MR. BROWNE: The last item of Board

Business is Russell & Lee Site Plan, project number 2011-27. The applicant is requesting to be referred to the ZBA for multiple variances, the number of parking spaces, front yard setback, side yard setback on buildings 1 and 3, both side yard setback and a rear yard setback. The applicant will also be going for a use variance for the existing single-family home, but that action will be from the Building Department's denial. The Planning Board can not refer this -- refer use variances to the ZBA.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point I'll turn to Mike Donnelly, Planning Board Attorney, who will be preparing a letter to the ZBA and also to elaborate somewhat on the 278 action that the applicant is -- needs satisfaction from the ZBA.

MR. DONNELLY: Years ago you always had to be turned down by the building inspector to apply for either an area variance or a use variance. About ten or so years ago the legislature thought it made sense that if when an applicant appeared before the Planning Board for either a subdivision or site plan review, when it

25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would move to

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings herein at the time and place noted in the heading hereof, and that the foregoing is an accurate and complete transcript of same to the best of my

knowledge and belief.

1 0

18 DATED: February 22, 2012

MR. BROWNE: The last item of Board

Business is Russell & Lee Site Plan, project
number 2011-27. The applicant is requesting to
be referred to the ZBA for multiple variances,
the number of parking spaces, front yard setback,
side yard setback on buildings 1 and 3, both side
yard setback and a rear yard setback. The
applicant will also be going for a use variance
for the existing single-family home, but that
action will be from the Building Department's
denial. The Planning Board can not refer this -refer use variances to the ZBA.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point I'll turn to Mike Donnelly, Planning Board Attorney, who will be preparing a letter to the ZBA and also to elaborate somewhat on the 278 action that the applicant is -- needs satisfaction from the ZBA.

MR. DONNELLY: Years ago you always had to be turned down by the building inspector to apply for either an area variance or a use variance. About ten or so years ago the legislature thought it made sense that if when an applicant appeared before the Planning Board for either a subdivision or site plan review, when it

2.3

became apparent that area variances were needed, dimensional variances, that the applicant could go directly to the Zoning Board on a referral from the Planning Board. There was no similar amendment to allow a use variance transfer or report out from the Planning Board. Therefore, for the use variance appeal the applicant will need to get an opinion letter or denial letter from the building department and separately apply for the use variance.

As to the area variance, at your direction I will send a letter to the Zoning Board. I will include all of the variances listed on the agenda here and the applicant can separately pursue that.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any questions from Board Members or Consultants?

MR. BROWNE: With that letter, also leave an understanding with him that he needs to --

MR. DONNELLY: I'll mention he'll be separately applying for a use variance.

MR. BROWNE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would move to

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings herein at the time and place noted in the heading hereof, and that the foregoing is an accurate and complete transcript of same to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DATED: February 22, 2012

2.2

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018