1	HUGGINS II	2
2	MR. PROFACI: Good evening, ladies	
3	and gentlemen. Welcome to the Town of	
4	Newburgh Planning Board meeting of	
5	December 4, 2014.	
6	At this time I'll ask for a roll	
7	call vote starting with Frank Galli.	
8	MR. GALLI: Present.	
9	MR. MENNERICH: Present.	
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present.	
11	MR. PROFACI: Here.	
12	MR. DOMINICK: Present.	
13	MR. WARD: Present.	
14	MR. PROFACI: The Planning Board	
15	employs various consultants to advise the	
16	Board on matters of importance, including	
17	the State Environmental Quality Review Act,	
18	otherwise known as SEQRA, issues.	
19	I ask them to introduce themselves	
20	at this time.	
21	MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly,	
22	Planning Board Attorney.	
23	MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero,	
24	Stenographer.	
25	MR. CANFIELD: Jerry Canfield, Code	

1	HUGGINS II 3
2	Compliance Supervisor.
3	MR. EDSALL: Mark Edsall, McGoey,
4	Hauser & Edsall Engineers.
5	MR. MUSSO: Mike Musso with HDR.
6	MR. PROFACI: Thank you. At this time
7	I'll turn the meeting over to John Ward.
8	MR. WARD: Please stand to say the
9	Pledge.
10	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
11	MR. WARD: Please turn off your phones
12	or on vibrate.
13	MR. PROFACI: The first item on
14	tonight's agenda is Huggins II, project 2014-23,
15	located at 7 Todd Lane and 35 Cocoa Lane,
16	Section 34, Block 2, Lots 24 and 90. It's in the
17	R-2 Zone. This is a public hearing for a
18	subdivision and lot line change.
19	At this time I'll ask Michael Donnelly,
20	the Planning Board Attorney, to explain the
21	purpose of a public hearing.
22	MR. DONNELLY: Before a planning board
23	grants subdivision approval, and this is a
24	subdivision application, it's required to hold a
25	public hearing. The purpose of the hearing is to

2	allow the members of the public to come forward,
3	speak to the Board and bring to the Board's
4	attention issues or concerns that the Board may
5	not be aware of or that have not been reported
6	upon by the Town's consultants. After the
7	applicant gives his presentation, the Planning
8	Board Chairman will ask those of you who wish to
9	speak to raise your hands. Each of you will be
10	identified in turn. We'd ask you to step
11	forward, if you would, identify yourself. If you
12	would, please spell your name so our Stenographer
13	gets it down correctly, and tell us where you
14	live in relation to the project so we have an
15	idea of the perspective that you bring to bear.
16	Direct your comments please to the Planning
17	Board. If you have a question and it can be
18	answered easily by either the applicant's
19	engineer or a member of the Town's team, the
20	Chairman will direct that question to the
21	appropriate person.
22	MR. PROFACI: Thank you, Mike.
23	I'll ask Ken Mennerich to read the

MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of hearing,

notice of hearing.

24

2	Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please take
3	notice that the Planning Board of the Town of
4	Newburgh, Orange County, New York will hold a
5	public hearing pursuant to Section 276 of the
6	Town Law on the application of Huggins II
7	subdivision, project 2014-22, for a two-lot
8	subdivision and lot line change. The Huggins II
9	subdivision is a proposed two-lot single family
10	residential subdivision with a lot line change
11	located on the northerly end of Todd Lane and the
12	southerly side of Cocoa Lane. The site is 12.1
13	acres plus or minus. The site consists of two
14	parcels and is located in the R-2 Zone. The
15	premises is located on 7 Todd Lane and 35 Cocoa
16	Lane, designated on Town tax map as Section 34,
17	Block 2, Lots 24 and 90. Said hearing will be
18	held on the 4th day of December 2014 at the Town
19	Hall Meeting Room, 1496 Route 300, Newburgh,
20	New York at 7 p.m. at which time all interested
21	persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.
22	By order of the Town of Newburgh Planning Board.
23	John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning Board Town
24	of Newburgh. Dated November 10, 2014."
25	MR. PROFACI: Thank you, Ken.

At this time I'll turn this over to the 2 project engineer, Charles Brown. 3 MR. BROWN: Thank you. As the notice stated, this involves two lots, one owned by Mr. 5 Huggins which is accessed off of Todd Lane, and 6 that lot is presently 10.4 acres. The other lot 7 owned by the Ciaccis is off of Cocoa Lane, and it 9 presently is 1.7 acres. 10 The proposal is to swap some property, 11 .9 acres from Huggins to Ciacci and .04 acres 12 from Ciacci to Huggins, to provide access to 13 Cocoa Lane. In addition to that, we are proposing 14 15 one additional single-family residential lot. The acreage of the lot, the Huggins lot will be 7 16 17

6

HUGGINS II

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

acres, the Smith lot, which is Mr. Huggins' sister, that's the purpose of this project, will be 2.5 acres, and the Ciacci lot will be 2.58 acres. The new building lot will be for a single-family home and it will be serviced by a well and septic, and it will be accessed off of Cocoa Lane. The project is in an R-2 Zone and all lots meet the zoning requirements.

25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Charlie.

1	HUGGINS II 7
2	As Mike Donnelly, the Planning Board
3	Attorney, said at the beginning of this
4	presentation, anyone here this evening that has
5	any questions or comments, please raise your
6	hand, give your name and your address. What we'd
7	like to do is give everyone an opportunity to
8	speak first and then if there's a need for a
9	second round, we'll do that. Let's have everyone
LO	have a chance to speak.
11	The gentleman in the back.
12	MR. ROMERO: My name is Enrique Romero,
L3	R-O-M-E-R-O, and I live on 11 Todd Lane.
L 4	Mr. Mennerich, you mentioned project
15	2014-22. I have a dash 23 on my paper.
16	MR. MENNERICH: It's 23.
L7	MR. ROMERO: Okay. Our concern on Todd
18	Lane, and most of us that live here are concerned
L 9	of the traffic that may evolve from the expansion
20	of Mr. Huggins' property, that traffic from Cocoa
21	Lane will start coming down Todd Lane. Is that
22	something that you considered may happen?
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mark Edsall, the
24	impact for the lot onto Todd Lane?
25	MR. EDSALL: My understanding is that

1	HUGGINS II
2	the proposed single-family residence would access
3	Cocoa.
4	MR. BROWN: That's correct.
5	MR. EDSALL: So if there is any
6	increase in traffic for this specific
7	application, it would appear to be the additional
8	traffic related with the single-family residence
9	impacting Cocoa.
10	MR. ROMERO: Okay. It mentioned also
11	about the duplex. Possibly in the near future
12	they may put a duplex. Will that be accessed
13	from Cocoa Lane or from Todd Lane?
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Charlie, would you
15	like to answer that?
16	MR. BROWN: Sure. There's no proposed
17	duplex at this time. The way the policy is in
18	this Town, to get a permit for a duplex you have
19	to go through an independent site plan approval
20	before this Board. In addition to that, you have
21	to go through architectural review. So if that
22	were to happen in the future, you would be
23	noticed again and there would be a whole other
24	process. At the completion of this project,
25	believe it or not, all three lots will qualify

1	HUGGINS II 9
2	for duplexes based upon Town of Newburgh zoning.
3	But again, there's no duplexes approved
4	proposed, and any approval would require an
5	additional trip before this Board.
6	MR. ROMERO: The other question I had
7	was in this conversation on the paper that I have
8	was talking about whether Mr. Huggins' property
9	is 500 feet or less from North Plank Road. What
10	bearing does that have that involves the County?
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Under 239-M of the
12	Municipal Law, which we would then have to refer
13	if it were within that 500 feet boundary, we
14	have the responsibility to refer it to the Orange
15	County Planning Department for what we call a
16	coordinated review. They have thirty days to
17	look at it and respond.
18	MR. ROMERO: Respond to the expansion
19	of the property or
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: To the application
21	in general. Correct. Just speaking in general,
22	in most common cases they would leave it up for a
23	Local determination.
24	MR. ROMERO: Okay. Thank you,
2.5	contlomon

1	HUGGINS II 10
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You're welcome.
3	This gentleman.
4	MR. DeMARCO: My name is Steve DeMarco,
5	D-E-M-A-R-C-O. I live at 51 Cocoa Lane. My
6	property is adjacent to lot 1 and lot 2.
7	First of all, we just received this
8	information. Probably the letter went out
9	November 10th. I probably received it on the
10	12th in terms of there's going to be a meeting
11	regarding this piece of property with no details.
12	We've asked the lot owner of I guess it's Ms.
13	Ciacci, her husband, he gave us the information.
14	Not all of it was totally correct. We had lots
15	of concerns. Unfortunately not everybody that
16	lives on our road could make it this evening,
17	some of them work in the city, one is sick. But
18	we did have some discussions and we did meet Lynn
19	and her husband.
20	MR. BROWN: That's her brother.
21	MR. DeMARCO: Well we met the husband.
22	Right, Lynn?
23	My purpose here today is you are
24	aware that Cocoa Lane is private; correct?
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Correct.

1	HUGGINS II 11
2	MR. DeMARCO: All of you. Has anybody
3	actually driven down Cocoa Lane besides Mr.
4	Galli?
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I've driven it.
6	MR. DeMARCO: All right. And the
7	property that we're referring to is here's
8	Cocoa Lane. I'm looking at the twenty-five to
9	fifty feet. It seems like there was a
10	discrepancy. Has that been cleared? There was
11	an easement, twenty-five foot. I have FOILed the
12	information. You had a discussion and I know Mr.
13	Galli was questioning, I don't know if it was Mr.
14	Hines. I would like to have that clarified if
15	you don't mind.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Charlie.
17	MR. DeMARCO: Just a second here. Mr.
18	Galli asked it's not going to be subdivided
19	further? Not at this time. Are you referring to
20	the extra twenty-five foot? That would permit
21	him to do that in the future. However, after
22	discussing it with Lynn Smith, my client, his
23	sister, today, they're going to forego that. So
24	he will not have twenty-five feet he will not

have fee access to Cocoa Lane from his lot. They

25

1	HUGGINS II 12
2	asked me today to make that change to the map.
3	Mr. Galli says the twenty-five foot access is
4	going to be Mr. Hines says it's going to be
5	fifty feet.
6	Who is Mr. Hines? Is he here?
7	MR. BROWN: That's Pat Hines, the
8	engineer.
9	MR. DeMARCO: All right. And then Mr.
10	Brown says let's do this and make it fifty foot.
11	MR. BROWN: Okay. Our first submission
12	we also had a twenty-five foot strip running
13	along the common property line with your lot to
14	Mr. Huggins' lot in the back. We have since
15	removed that. Mr. Huggins' lot, on completion of
16	this project, will have no access to Cocoa Lane.
17	The only access will be for Lynn Smith's lot.
18	MR. DeMARCO: Okay. That answers one
19	question. All right.
20	I have a letter that was sent to you,
21	the Planning Board. I hope you received it. I
22	think you also had some e-mails and some letters
23	that were sent from Florida from residents on
24	Cocoa Lane. Did you receive that this week?
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We received one

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

e-mail, which was forwarded on to the Planning
Board Members, and one letter signed by yourself
and Mr. and Mrs. Shapiro. We have not received
any letters from Florida.

MR. DeMARCO: Okay. Well, from my experience the mail from Florida to New York is snail mail. "Dear Planning Board Members, we were recently informed of a subdivision" -- I'm reading this so that it's going into the minutes. "We were recently informed of a subdivision application and lot line change for the lands of Arthur Huggins, tax map Section 34, Block 2, Lot 24, 7 Todd Lane, Newburgh, New York, and the lands of Jessica Ciacci, tax map Section 34, Block 2, Lot 90. We are homeowners with our homes located on Cocoa Lane. Steven DeMarco owns lots 2 and 3 of the subdivision map entitled subdivision planned lands of Sound Associates which are each 5 acres and owns approximately six hundred feet of one-half of the roadway known as Cocoa Lane fronting on his two lots. His property is directly adjacent to the lands of Huggins and Ciacci. Angela and Eric Shapiro own

approximately 1 1/3 acre parcel which owns

2	approximately a hundred and fifty feet of roadway
3	frontage or one-half of the roadway in front of
4	their residence known as 25 Cocoa Lane. In 1986
5	Sound Associates created a subdivision of 35 acre
6	35 acre parcel of property containing seven
7	5-acre lots with a private road known as Cocoa
8	Lane. Each of the subdivision owned a portion of
9	Cocoa Lane. At the time Cocoa Lane subdivision
10	was completed there was a 5-acre lot which was
11	designated as lot 7 on the Sound Associates
12	subdivision map. This lot 7 was subsequently
13	resubdivided into three building lots, one of
14	which is now owned by Jessica Ciacci, tax map
15	Section 34, Block 2, Lot 90. All of the lot
16	all of the lot owners on the original Sound
17	Associates subdivision map and the subdivided
18	portions thereof have the rights in common with
19	each other for ingress and egress over the
20	private road with the fee ownership to the
21	portions of the road in front of each of their
22	respective parcels. The adjoining property now
23	owned by Huggins is Town of Newburgh tax map
24	Section 34, Block 2, Lot 24. This property does
25	not have any frontage on Cocoa Lane or any rights

2	of ingress and egress over Cocoa Lane. It is our
3	understanding that there is presently a
4	subdivision and a lot line change application by
5	Huggins and Ciacci to transfer a small portion of
6	the Ciacci lot to give Huggins' parcel frontage
7	on Cocoa Lane. The inherent flaw with the
8	proposed subdivision application is that only the
9	small sliver of the Ciacci lot line change parcel
10	would have rights to use Cocoa Lane. The
11	proposed subdivision would create a new lot which
12	would have as it's only means of ingress and
13	egress as Cocoa Lane, a private road over our
14	property and other properties, none of which have
15	granted easement rights to the Huggins' property.
16	In addition, the second Huggins' lot, which
17	already has frontage on Todd Lane and uses the
18	same for ingress and egress, included a second
19	access which would allow a future use of Cocoa
20	Lane by this property and perhaps the creation of
21	additional lots utilizing Cocoa Lane without
22	ingress and egress rights." However, I think you
23	said that was changed. "The Planning Board, if
24	it approves this subdivision application, would
25	unlawfully be approving easement rights over our

2	property to parcels which we have not agreed to.
3	The lots being created on the Huggins' property
4	would utilize Cocoa Lane and have to travel on
5	our property to reach Weyants Lane which is the
6	closest Town road. We do not believe the
7	Planning Board has the legal right to grant
8	subdivision approval creating lots which are
9	technically landlocked without easement rights
10	over our property. The representation that the
11	small lot change giving the two new lots frontage
12	on Cocoa Lane would open Cocoa Lane to the entire
13	Huggins' parcel is incorrect and legally
14	unsustainable. We are hereby placing the
15	Planning Board on notice that they do not have
16	the legal authority to create a landlocked
17	subdivision and/or alter our property rights by
18	the approval of this subdivision application. In
19	addition, even if the Planning Board should
20	choose to ignore this unlawful creation of
21	easement rights over our respective properties,
22	the new lots would add additional traffic and
23	usage on a private road without all the Cocoa
24	Lane lot owners' consent. We do not see how the
25	subdivision application can be in any way

approved, altering our property rights without our consent and the consent of each and every property owner on Cocoa Lane."

With that said, I have some concerns from some of the residents, and questions. We don't -- we believe you can't extend the property to a third party, you can't grant rights to new parcels. The price of our road was reflected in the price of our property, which I pay dearly, and I have two lots, and it's not fair to just win access to it. No one owner should make deals that affect the other thirteen landowners.

That's why we have an association. We feel Cocoa Lane is our road and the Planning Board doesn't have the authority to grant the other lands to it. We aren't here to make it convenient for a developer to easily subdivide a large tract of land.

The Planning Board has many functions. The first and foremost is to keep the taxpayers and the property owners' concerns at the top of their list. We would like you to deny this request tonight, and then we, as an association, can talk to the Huggins' family and come to a

1	HUGGINS II 18
2	friendly solution the correct way. They could
3	reapply at a later date. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
5	Charlie, would you like to respond to
6	that at all?
7	MR. BROWN: Again, I'm not a lawyer but
8	I did read the Cocoa Lane maintenance agreement.
9	It's a right-of-way, not an easement. In
10	addition to that, I did e-mail over a copy of
11	that
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ma'am, ma'am,
14	ma'am. Please, let him speak.
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry.
16	MR. BROWN: to you and Mike and Pat
17	Hines with a signed amendment to that agreement
18	that Lynn Smith signed. So again, I'm not a
19	lawyer. As far as my understanding is, a private
20	road is this one is a right-of-way. It's a
21	road so anybody that has access to it can use it.
22	My client is willing to contribute to the
23	maintenance of the road. She has signed on to
24	that. Again, I'm not a lawyer.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly,

ey	٠ ج	1	1	1	•	•	,			;	4	د		=	=	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	Ξ	3	3	د	۷	2		2	3	=	=	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ	=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=	Ξ	=	Ξ	Ξ	=	=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	ć	((l	1	ί	ļ	•	_	ľ)	-	(. '	-	t	-	t	١	١	Z	Ī		ł	2	(_	r	. 1	ì	Э	ó	C	C	6	3	2	E	Ι		g	(1	r	ľ	Ĺ	i	1.	n	r	1	r	1	ì	Э	ć	L	1	_	٠.)	2	E	I		
е	ڊ									;	4	د		=	=	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	Ξ	3	3	د	۷	2		2	3	=	=	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ	=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=	Ξ	=	Ξ	Ξ	=	=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	ć	((l	1	ί	ļ	•	_	ľ)	-	(. '	-	t	-	t	١	١	Z	Ī		ł	2	(_	r	. 1	ì	Э	ó	C	C	6	3	2	E	Ι		g	(1	r	ľ	Ĺ	i	1.	n	r	1	r	1	ì	Э	ć	L	1	_	٠.)	2	E	I		

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DONNELLY: Charlie, what I received 3 from you, I don't know if it got lost in the e-mail, was only the acknowledgement to be bound by the private roadway easement and maintenance agreement. I have not seen a copy of the private roadway easement and maintenance agreement, and 9 it's terms really will answer the question here. 10 There are private issues --

> MR. BROWN: It did seem -- do you have a copy of that, Lynn?

> MR. DONNELLY: I'm not going to have a chance to review it here tonight but it would be helpful if I had it.

> For the benefit of the public, there were both private issues here, including the issue of overburdening of an easement, and that is putting more lots on it than were originally intended, and the more straightforward issue of whether or not there's a legal right to use the private road. I don't know what the terms of that are, whether it referenced tax maps. For instance, if it just said any land adjoining and the owner of the up front lot were to have

2	purchased the rear lot and consolidated them,
3	depending upon how the easement agreement was
4	written, that may afford that appendage the same
5	right to use the road as the front lot. If
6	instead what was listed were independent or
7	individual tax map lots, then the agreement
8	clearly would allow only the identified tax map
9	lots to have access to the road. I need to look
10	at it and see. It's an issue that needs to be
11	resolved. However, there may be a private issue
12	called overburdening of the easement that would
13	apply here even if the Planning Board were to
14	grant the approval. If in the context of the
15	original layout it didn't envision this number of
16	lots, that's another issue. And finally, even if
17	this is approved by the Planning Board, we would
18	require the new lot owners to participate in the
19	cost sharing for the maintenance of the private
20	roadway. I'm not prejudging any of those, I'm
21	just trying to give you a feeling of what's on
22	the table and that needs to be examined.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
24	Ma'am, give your name and address

25

please.

2 MS. VanVOORHIS: Nellie Ann VanVoorhis, 3 13 Todd Lane.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Can I come up by the map? This is my husband and my property. There's a right-of-way that goes through here. First of all, they've got Todd Lane on Huggins' driveway. Huggins' driveway is not Todd Lane.

When my grandfather bought this property back in the 19 -- I had the map home, went to Goshen and everything else, and he bought it I believe from Dewitt. My father -- our grandfather bought all this property. Dewitt gave my grandfather a twenty-five foot right-of-way to go up to the end of the property, which actually this isn't right. This is our property. This piece of property right here is Mr. Romero's. In the front here his lawn comes to a point. Todd Lane comes in from North Plank Road, comes up and there's a point there. Well, it would be here. And if you went straight, that was a driveway that was my uncle's. Todd Lane goes actually this way and it comes up on the other side of our property, the property here and property here. And then we have the other

HUGGINS II 1 22 2 neighbors here. We have Bill, Lynn, and Pedro is down -- Pedro is down here. Anyway, all these 3 people here. It was all my brother's property --5 my grandfather's property. It was split between This is now my nephew's property here, which 7 adjoins Cocoa Lane. Todd Lane does not go up there. On the side of Hengst, that was a 8 9 driveway for my uncle's house. The man that 10 lived back here, he had his driveway and it went 11 down and it just joined in there. We're talking 12 way back. This is how it is out in Goshen. 13 Everybody's property that was on the maps out in 14 Goshen that when you go into Todd Lane they own the road to the middle of the stonewall. 15 16 we're concerned that -- again, we have a private 17 driveway. We maintain it. We don't want X amount of traffic coming through here. When that 18 actually -- right here was just an old -- I don't 19 20 want to say it -- old dirt road. 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Charlie, who did 22 the survey on the property? 23 MR. BROWN: Howard Weeden did. 24 MS. VANVOORHIS: I've got surveys --25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ma'am, let him

1	HUGGINS II 23
2	respond.
3	MR. BROWN: Howard Weeden did the
4	survey on this. Huggins' lot does have access to
5	Todd Lane, legal access. It's kind of a mute
6	point because this application here would have no
7	affect on Todd Lane whatsoever.
8	MS. VANVOORHIS: I just want to make
9	sure.
10	Another thing, they didn't even have a
11	Todd Lane address. When Lornan Zellers lived
12	there, her address was North Plank Road.
13	So what I'm saying is back when my
14	grandfather bought this property and they gave
15	him the right-of-way, and then when 911 come in
16	and they put the right-of-way and they made Todd
17	Lane come up and come around all our property.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: One more time,
19	Charlie.
20	MS. VanVOORHIS: We don't maintain
21	Huggins Lane.
22	MR. BROWN: This application, there
23	will be no affect whatsoever on Todd Lane.
24	Whatever has been will continue. There's no
25	additional houses proposed, no additional

HUGGINS II 1 24 2 traffic. The proposed new lot will be accessed off of Cocoa Lane. 3 MS. VanVOORHIS: So we have nothing to 5 worry about them coming through? MR. BROWN: Nope. 7 MS. VanVOORHIS: That's all I wanted to check. 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Sir. 10 MR. LOPEZ: Hi. I'm Joshua Lopez, 11 L-O-P-E-Z. My wife and I own 26 Cocoa Lane and 12 6 Cocoa Lane. 13 The whole purpose of us moving on to a 14 private road was for our kids. You know, we 15 didn't want any kind of new traffic or anything. 16 I don't have all the legal terms like everybody 17 else does but all I know is that that property touches Todd Lane and touches Cocoa Lane. Who is 18 to say later on that they don't -- I mean fifty 19 20 foot is a lot. What's to say later on you don't 21 connect the road and make it Huggins Lane? I 22 mean I live on a private road and I'm all for 23 someone chipping it. We pay for everything from potholes to plowing. Everything. We do all the 24

maintenance. I just want a reinsurance that hey,

25

1	HUGGINS II 25
2	if you're going to be on Cocoa Lane, it's just
3	Cocoa Lane and nothing is going to happen later
4	on. It seems like everything else is being
5	subdivided here. I mean what's to say something
6	else later on down the road I mean it's a
7	great way to go from North Plank Road all the way
8	to Weyants. Right now there's nothing there.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Charlie, do you
10	want to speak on future proposals?
11	MR. BROWN: Yeah. There's no proposal
12	to connect Cocoa Lane to Todd Lane. In addition
13	to that, it's physically impossible to do that
14	because Todd Lane, where the right-of-way hits
15	the Huggins' property, is only twenty-six foot
16	wide. To connect roads you need at least fifty
17	foot all the way through. It's not going to
18	happen. It will never happen.
19	MR. LOPEZ: I just want a guarantee. I
20	mean I'm all for someone chipping in on the road.
21	It's expensive to maintain the road. But I just
22	feel like
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly,
24	Planning Board Attorney, guarantees?
25	MR. DONNELLY: Planning boards don't

HUGGINS II 1 26 2 issue quarantees like that. You heard a representation from the developer's engineer. 3 that issue I don't think you're going to get more 5 than that. MR. LOPEZ: His address will be 26 or whatever Cocoa Lane and will always be? 7 MR. BROWN: Correct. 8 9 MR. LOPEZ: It's not like it's going to 10 be subdivided and this house is going to be over 11 here or there? Anything that comes off of that 12 property is going to be a Cocoa Lane address? MR. BROWN: Correct. 13 MR. DONNELLY: I don't know if that's 14 15 the same question you asked before. Charlie 16 Brown answered your question regarding a

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

the same question you asked before. Charlie

Brown answered your question regarding a

connection to Todd Lane. That doesn't foreclose,

and I'm not saying it's going to happen, the

possibility that the Huggins' lot, if I'm using

the right designation, could not be further

subdivided in the future. Whether or not the

private roadway easement and maintenance

agreement allows that or whether or not that

overburdens the easement are other issues. It is

technically possible, given this layout, for

HUGGINS II 1 27 there to be further subdivision. 2 MR. LOPEZ: And that's my concern then. 3 That means their driveway -- fifty feet is enough for another road. It could be Huggins Lane 5 private road and then subdivided in there and have another private road off of Cocoa Lane. I 7 feel it's unfair that -- yes, it's Rob's property 9 -- I mean and Ciacci, whatever. It's Norman. 10 When I moved there it was Rob Norman's property. 11 I understand it's Huggins' property. But you're 12 trading off properties, you never concerned us on 13 Cocoa Lane. Once you gain access off Cocoa Lane, 14 I feel everybody on Cocoa Lane had a right to 15 know about it. I mean I'm here in my sweatpants 16 because I didn't know about anything. MR. DONNELLY: I mean it was noticed on 17 18 the property, there were mailed notices and there 19 was a legal hearing notice. 20 MR. LOPEZ: I mean I just feel it's 21 unfair that you did not concern everybody on

MR. DONNELLY: Well everyone within the radius was notified. I don't know how long Cocoa Lane is.

22

2.3

24

25

Cocoa Lane.

MR. LOPEZ: I just feel that I know
that not everybody is even here from Cocoa Lane
and that yeah, no one could be here. It's
unfair that once you've incorporated Cocoa Lane
as your access to gain to your property, then
everybody now it's everybody's business. You
know, before it was just Ciacci and Huggins and
they're swapping property and that was it. I
mean we found out because of a landscaper that
was freaking cutting the grass on Eric's lawn and
he was just like oh yeah, we're building a road
over there next month. I mean it just doesn't
seem right. I don't think we've had enough time
to really think about it. We're not saying no.
We would just like to be concerned and be
involved in everything.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Questions or comments from those who haven't spoken yet?

MS. MEYER: I'm Nicole Meyer, I'm his wife. I think -- we met once we found out about this proposal as a homeowners association, and typically we meet monthly. The homeowners get together and we sort out dues and maintenance and that type of thing. We found out about this what

2.3

we feel fairly last minute. We did see the postings from the Planning Board on the road. We have felt that we have not had enough time to find out our rights legally and to fully --

MR. LOPEZ: Absorb it.

MS. MEYER: -- understand what legal we can do. We are concerned because we feel that this was a fairly quick proposal and fairly quick decision.

As a homeowners association, when we met privately we all agreed, aside from one homeowner, that we were not comfortable with the proposal and we felt that we needed more time to decide. So I would like to just ask the Planning Board to please give us more time with this so that we can further investigate what legally we are and are not allowed to do, and also to look at the homeowners association dues and possibilities of the future if this easement is granted. Because nothing seems to be guaranteed, we do have concerns because our homes and our investments are on this property. So I would just like to ask on behalf of the homeowners association that we be given some more time.

2	Thank	you.

2.3

3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Additional
4 questions or comments from the public? The
5 gentleman there.

6 MR. DOERRE: Hi. My name is John 7 Doerre, 34 Cocoa.

I'd just like to put on the record that I don't believe for one second that there's not plans in place for the rest of that property.

Somebody is already planning it. If you put a couple of duplexes back there plus the single-family home, which none of us were objecting to a single-family home. There's thirteen houses on Cocoa Lane. You get five more families set back in that tract, that's a forty percent increase in traffic on our road, and we don't seem to have any rights toward that. So I'm going to investigate, since I own half the road and a good amount of frontage, how I keep people off that road until somebody here makes a decision that's benefiting the property owners of Cocoa Lane.

This whole thing has been done -- as

Josh has said, you're not supposed to find this

out from your landscaper. You're supposed to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

find it out in a public forum. There's
consideration here. There's people who are
gaining almost an acre of property. There's
people here who are gaining rights to our road.

We had a meeting. At the meeting we said there's no problem, we would love to have you as neighbors. The Huggins appear to be very, very nice people. Could you just make sure that there's one access to Cocoa Lane for your house. That's all we asked for. We left the meeting, we think that could be done. It's not being done. What's happening is exactly what we thought, there's one driveway going to Huggins and then there's another twenty-five foot easement that can go back into those pieces of property, and no one is -- okay, you can't guarantee it. I understand that. But the possibility is we're going to have a huge increase in traffic onto private property. We own that road. We paid for that road. We pay for the road. We have some rights, too.

As Nicole said, Josh said, Steve said, we need some more time to take a look at it.

That's it. Thank you.

HUGGINS II 1 32 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Charlie, do you want to respond to that? 3 MR. BROWN: Yes. On completion of this application, assuming that it's approved, only 5 lot 2, which is this lot, only that lot will have 6 access to Cocoa Lane. This lot will not have 7 access to Cocoa Lane. That seems to be the 9 biggest concern here. That was resolved at the 10 last Planning Board meeting. It's not before the 11 Board right now. Just the Huggins' lot, lot 2, 12 has access to Cocoa Lane, and that's a proposed 13 single-family house. 14 MR. DOERRE: I have another question. 15 If we've already determined that they can't exit 16 from Todd Lane to North Plank Road, and you've already mentioned duplexes have the possibility 17 18 to go in, are those people going to live on that property and not exit, or, if they're living 19 20 there, how are they getting off that property? 21 MR. BROWN: I don't really understand 22 the question. 23 MR. DOERRE: It's a simple question. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Please, please, 25 please. We're having a meeting. It's not

1	HUGGINS II 33
2	laughing. Let him respond to the question.
3	MR. BROWN: You all have 5-acre lots is
4	what I've been told tonight. Every one of you
5	could put a duplex on your lot.
6	MR. DOERRE: That's not true. Not by
7	our agreement.
8	MR. BROWN: According to the Town Code.
9	That's the only statement I made. There are no
10	proposed duplexes here. The lots are large
11	enough to qualify for that. I just maybe I
12	should have never made that statement but I'm a
13	professional engineer and I lay out the facts.
14	There's no proposed duplex at this time.
15	MR. DOERRE: Then I have another
16	question. Based on your professional experience
17	as a planner, if there were lots or houses
18	developed on those pieces of property, where
19	would the egress be for those pieces of property?
20	MR. BROWN: The egress for proposed lot
21	2 is off of Cocoa Lane.
22	MR. DOERRE: That's not the question.
23	MR. BROWN: That house is already under
24	design. It's a single-family house.
25	Three bedroom, Lynn?

1	HUGGINS II 34
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John, John, John.
3	You asked a question and he's answering the
4	question.
5	MR. DOERRE: That wasn't the question.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then you have to
7	reclarify. What's your question, John?
8	MR. DOERRE: The question is if there's
9	houses built on the adjacent lot, where will the
10	egress for those houses be?
11	MR. BROWN: That would be a whole other
12	application before the Planning Board. I can't
13	answer that. There's no proposal for that.
14	MR. DOERRE: It can't be Todd.
15	MR. LOPEZ: It has to be Cocoa Lane or
16	Todd Lane.
17	MR. BROWN: There's no proposal other
18	than this before the Board.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Steve, I know you
20	have a question.
21	Is there anyone else who hasn't spoken
22	that would like to speak this evening?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. We'll take
25	the last round of questions again. Steve.

MR. DeMARCO: You just made the -- you used the word there's a house that's already being planned. You already have the number of bedrooms in this house. How does this happen?

How do you -- you didn't even -- it hasn't even gone before the public and already you have this as sold, it's a done deal? You're just waiting for us to what? Waste our time?

MR. GALLI: They can spend their money any way they want, Steve, whether we approve it or not. If we don't approve it they've wasted their money. It's not our objective to tell them how they have to go about planning their --

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Another thing, too.

In order to approve a subdivision, one of the thingss that you have to have is a septic design for that lot. That septic design is based upon the number of bedrooms. So it all sort of goes hand in hand as part of the subdivision approval process.

MR. DeMARCO: I'll go back to one of the comments I made originally. I really do believe, and most of us do except for one homeowner that gains almost an acre of land, that

this is really our decision. It's our road, it should have come to us. We should decide.

4 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, do you want to respond to that one more time?

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DeMARCO: I think he did already.

MR. DONNELLY: I'll try to repeat it Those are two separate issues. The terms of your private roadway easement and maintenance agreement are issues that are very real, and you may or may not, I haven't read it yet, have a right, and you may have a legal right to prevent this from happening. The Planning Board is told by the courts to ignore the existence of private agreements because private parties should resolve their differences over their agreements. By the same token, we do have to be sure that the applicant -- that the lots the applicant proposed have the right to access that roadway. The terms of the right may be limited privately, but that's not an issue for the Planning Board. So I will need to review the document because I have not -it's my advice to the Planning Board that we not take action on the project this evening so I have a chance to look at that. But if it demonstrates

to me that they have access to Cocoa Lane and the
right to use it, apart from any other
limitations, then I will recommend to the
Planning Board that that is not a bar to
approving the subdivision. But I have to have a
chance to see it. If I give that advice, it
doesn't mean that you might not have certain
other rights of a private nature under that

concern.

MR. DeMARCO: And I think you were right about it can be solved privately. If we solved it privately we'll take care of this our own way and the correct way.

agreement. But that's not the Planning Board's

MR. DONNELLY: You've always had that right. I'm sorry you didn't get more --

MR. DeMARCO: Well you say you have that right. You're only giving us three weeks to prepare. We didn't have specific information.

That information that was given to us only said you have a public meeting. We didn't know what the maps were. If people don't know what FOIL means, they didn't know that they could go in and FOIL the information and study it a little bit.

2	I think this whole process was flawed. I know
3	that's probably the procedure for the Town of
4	Newburgh, but maybe you ought to think about it
5	because you're affecting fourteen property lot
6	owners and we paid for that. We pay every we
7	have a \$10,000 stretch of Cocoa Lane and we don't
8	have the money to pave it. It doesn't need
9	potholes any more, it needs to be totally
LO	repaved, and we don't have the money for it, let
11	alone bringing in more homes, whether it's one or
L2	three or four, or townhouses or duplexes. We
13	can't afford to do that. Plus all the other
L 4	traffic that that's going to cause. So I think
15	that's our decision, the homeowners association,
L 6	whether we want to add on another lot. Once
L7	again, I don't think anybody really argues the
18	fact that we put on one lot. That should be our
19	decision. I don't think it's the Planning
20	Board's decision. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any final questions
22	or comments from the public?

Okay, sir.

MR. VanVOORHIS: Where --

25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can you give your

1	HUGGINS II 39
2	name?
3	MR. VanVOORHIS: I'm sorry. Bob
4	VanVoorhis, 13 Todd Lane.
5	Where his as you face the property,
6	the left-hand side, that's all wetlands in there.
7	How can anybody even consider putting a house
8	down there? My wife used to ice skate there.
9	Does anybody look at that?
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Charlie?
11	MR. BROWN: We did. There's no
12	wetlands on this property. There is some other
13	wetlands in other areas off Cocoa Lane. Not only
14	that, but we did a septic testing and we got very
15	good soils. This is an in-ground septic. Again,
16	there's no wetlands on the properties involved in
17	this application.
18	MR. VanVOORHIS: There's supposed to
19	be.
20	MR. BROWN: I'm sorry?
21	MR. VanVOORHIS: That place was for
22	sale about fifteen years ago and they couldn't
23	sell it because it was all wetlands. Now all of
24	a sudden you're telling me it's not a wetland? It
25	makes no sense. You're supposed to be able to

1	HUGGINS II 40
2	build two houses there up on top of the hill.
3	Nothing down below. That's where the septic is
4	going to go. It's like them saying they think
5	they have the right to bring off that road where
6	these people live. That's a private road. Who
7	are they to say? The whole thing is stupid.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: When you say who
9	are they, meaning who?
10	MR. VanVOORHIS: The entire Board. What
11	gave you the right to tell them what
12	MR. DONNELLY: The document that's
13	recorded in the Orange County Clerk's Office sets
14	forth what rights they have or do not have. We
15	have to look at it. There's a recorded document
16	that says what the rights of
17	MR. VanVOORHIS: Well that's fine. But
18	once you find out you have a problem, you don't
19	make a decision. I pay taxes the same as
20	everybody else here.
21	MR. DONNELLY: I think I recommended to
22	the Board that they do not make a decision
23	tonight.
24	MR. VanVOORHIS: Tonight. The next
25	meeting

2	MR. DONNELLY: They have to make a
3	decision on the application at some point. They
1	have property-two days from tonight to make a
5	decision

6 MR. VanVOORHIS: I want to make sure in advance.

8 MR. DONNELLY: -- or it's automatic.

2.3

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What happens when the public hearing is extended is we'll set a date tonight for that extension and that's the date that you'll walk away with. You won't be renoticed.

MR. VanVOORHIS: Let me explain something to you just for a second. Two lots up what used to belong to the family of Pallodeus, somebody bought the property and they decided they wanted to make a fifty-foot entrance, not saying what they were going to do with the property. The Town Board approved everything. They make a trucking thing in there and a big metal building, they use it for storage. It's had about four or five tenants but still trucks going by our house. The Town Board should have known that. If they did, they didn't talk about

it or tell anybody about it. The same thing could happen with this property. The same thing could happen to those people's homes.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What we're going to be looking at tonight is what was proposed this evening. What Mike Donnelly is going to look at, for simple terms, is the legalese that are expressed in these documents. After he has the time to review them, then he'll make a comment to us as to what rights we have, what rights we don't have. The continuation of the public hearing, the date will be set tonight. There won't be a future mailing but you'll leave here tonight with that date.

What we're reviewing, Mr. VanVoorhis, is what's before us tonight as far as the subdivision and lot line change. The legal instruments, Mike Donnelly, the Planning Board Attorney, hasn't had the time, will take the time to review, and we'll have one other meeting on this.

MR. VanVOORHIS: Then you shouldn't have had this. If he didn't have all the information, you shouldn't have had this meeting.

1	HUGGINS II 43
2	You wasted your time and ours.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It's not a waste of
4	time. It's a learning experience. The purpose
5	of a public hearing
6	Mike, let's go back one more time.
7	Mike Donnelly, would you repeat your opening
8	statement as to the purpose of a public hearing
9	as it relates to a waste of time? Michael.
10	MR. DONNELLY: The purpose is for you,
11	the members of the public, to bring forward to
12	the attention of the Planning Board concerns that
13	it may not be aware of. That's precisely what's
14	happened this evening.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So it wasn't a
16	waste of time. It was a get together, a public
17	residence.
18	MR. VanVOORHIS: Don't you think you
19	should have done some research? I don't
20	understand.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: That's not the
22	purpose. The purpose of the meeting is what
23	we're doing tonight.
24	MR. VanVOORHIS: Okay.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The last question.

1	-	HUGGINS II	44
2)	MR. LOPEZ: My final question is you	
3	3	guys have all the legal terms for everything and	d
4	1	I don't. Can I just ask that the time that	
5		you're giving for the next date or to make this	
6	, ,	decision is enough time for me to actually seek	
7	7	legal, if I need, and be able to find all this	
8	3	information out? I just want to have enough	
9		adequate time.	
10)	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Will Friday be	
11	-	enough time?	
12	2	I'll move for a motion that we reset	
13	3	this for a public hearing for the 15th of	
14	1	January.	
15		MR. WARD: So moved.	
16	5	MR. DOMINICK: Second.	
17	7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by	У
18	3	John Ward, a second by Dave Dominick. I'll ask	
19		for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.	
20)	MR. GALLI: Aye.	
21	-	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.	
22	2	MR. PROFACI: Aye.	
23	3	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.	
24	ł	MR. WARD: Aye.	
2.5		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself.	

1	HUGGINS II 45
2	You have until the 15th of January. It
3	won't be renoticed. There's enough of you
4	residents here that you can talk to those that
5	aren't here. You can inform the landscaper who
6	probably isn't here who started all of this and
7	we'll all be on board. Okay.
8	MR. LOPEZ: Is it at the same time?
9	MR. WARD: 7 p.m.
10	MR. BROWN: Yes.
11	MR. WARD: Ken, how many mailings did
12	they do?
13	MR. PROFACI: There are a total of
14	this list right here. Like ninety.
15	MR. WARD: How many received?
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: They're not
17	certified mailings.
18	
19	(Time noted: 7:47 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		46
2		
3	CERTIFICATION	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		

1 SPRINT NEXTEL 48

2	MR. PROFACI: The next item on
3	tonight's agenda is Sprint Nextel, project
4	2014-01, located at 39 North Plank Road at the
5	Mid-Valley Mall, Section 75, Block 1, Lot 11,
6	located in the B Zone. It is a wireless tower
7	upgrade, and this is the initial appearance,
8	being represented by Cara Bonomolo.
9	Is that how you pronounce it?
10	MS. BONOMOLO: Bonomolo.
11	MR. PROFACI: I'm trying my Italian.
12	MS. BONOMOLO: Good evening. My name
13	is Cara Bonomolo, I'm an attorney with the law
14	firm of Snyder & Snyder, LLP. I'm here this
15	evening on behalf of the applicant, Sprint.
16	Sprint is seeking approval to modify
17	it's existing wireless telecommunications
18	facility that's located on the existing water
19	tank at 39 North Plank Road. The proposed
20	modification will consist of the installation of
21	three antennas on the existing equipment frame

existing concrete pad in the compound at the base thereof.

22

23

24

25

and related equipment also on that frame, and

also an additional equipment cabinet on the

1 SPRINT NEXTEL 49

2	In support of the application we've
3	provided copies of Sprint's FCC licenses, a
4	structural analysis report, an RF statement from
5	Sprint's radiofrequency engineer explaining the
6	need for the proposed modification, a short
7	environmental assessment form and a site plan.
8	We're happy to answer any questions
9	that you may have.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Musso from HDR
11	is our Telecommunication Consultant.
12	Mike, would you bring us along on this
13	application?
14	MR. MUSSO: As noted, it's an upgrade

MR. MUSSO: As noted, it's an upgrade of an existing cell site, a cell site that's located on the Mid-Valley Mall -- abandoned Mid-Valley Mall water tank that's accommodating a bunch of wireless carriers at this time.

Sprint is rolling out a new frequency band of their network to provide more services.

This Board has seen this from Sprint and other carriers over the last several years. A trend is existing cell sites are being upgraded. Older technology that was installed in the early 2000s, for example, or even just a few years ago, is

1	SPRINT NEXTEL 50
2	being upgraded to accommodate, in this case a new
3	frequency band from Sprint.
4	So Nextel historically Sprint
5	Nextel, that's one company on top of the water
6	tank, four panel antennas exist. There is space
7	up there for an additional three panel antennas.
8	The proposed panel antennas will be very similar
9	in size and dimension. Any ancillary equipment
10	that was noted at the top of that water tank
11	would be below or behind those antennas as well.
12	This is consistent with the upgrades
13	that we're seeing from all wireless carriers
14	regionally, and certainly consistent with what
15	this Board has seen in the past as well.
16	HDR has received the application, and
17	the plans, and the information that was noted.
18	We're in the process of finishing up a tech memo,
19	a three or four-page tech memo that you're used
20	to seeing from us.
21	Really there are no other major
22	comments on this application.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board
24	Members. John Ward?
25	MR. WARD: My concern was having seven

1	SPRINT NEXTEL 51
2	panels on the top for wind resistance. It's more
3	blocking instead of air flow. I was concerned
4	about the strength.
5	MS. BONOMOLO: We did submit a
6	structural analysis report as part of the
7	application, but I think Mr. Musso will take a
8	look at
9	MR. MUSSO: We're presently reviewing
10	that now. It's a good point to mention.
11	Previously panel antennas created their own area
12	surface area to capture wind. That wind is
13	translated to the antenna frames and mounts and
14	ultimately down to the structure in some way.
15	That is something that we'll review. We do have
16	a full structural analysis. It appears to be
17	developed in accordance with the industry
18	standards and also with American Waterworks
19	Association standards for water tank structures
20	as well. So our tech memo will address that.
21	MR. WARD: Basically has it reached
22	it's max on the top?
23	MR. MUSSO: We'll have to see what's
24	make sure we check that out.
25	MR. WARD: Thank you.

SPRINT NEXTEL 1 52 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave? 3 MR. DOMINICK: No. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Joe? 5 MR. PROFACI: I have nothing, John. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken? 7 MR. MENNERICH: My only comment concerns the visual aspect of the water tower itself. It seems like it hasn't been maintained 9 10 very well. The paint doesn't look good on it 11 anymore. Somebody also on the Board brought up 12 the fact the Mid-Valley Mall that's on the tank is all broken up by the pipes and cabling used 13 for the antennas. 14 15 MS. BONOMOLO: I mean Sprint --16 MR. MENNERICH: I know that's not part of what your application is here for, but the 17 owners of the tower ought to be made aware of the 18 fact that it is becoming an eyesore. 19 20 MS. BONOMOLO: We can let them know. 21 Sprint only has control over its specific lease 22 area at the top of the tank as well as its 2.3 equipment area at the base. I can certainly 24 convey your concerns regarding paint. 25 MR. GALLI: No additional. Ken brought

1	SPRINT NEXTEL 53
2	up my concerns.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
4	motion to set this for a public hearing for the
5	15th of January.
6	MR. PROFACI: So moved.
7	MR. GALLI: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
9	Joe Profaci. I have a second by Frank Galli.
10	I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank
11	Galli.
12	MR. GALLI: Aye.
13	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
14	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
15	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
16	MR. WARD: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself.
18	Cara, I asked you this before. Any
19	assistance that you could give us with
20	coordination. I know your services don't extend
21	into that next realm, but whoever is going to be
22	involved in the permitting, it becomes you
23	know, they'll be in the office the night after we
24	grant you conditional final approval, and if you
25	could help with that it would be great. If you

1	SPRINT NEXTEL 54
2	could find out who it is and I'll be willing to
3	get them a copy of the final resolution, but it's
4	something we have to nip.
5	MS. BONOMOLO: Okay. I think in this
6	instance it will likely be Tectonic. I think
7	that they're familiar with the but I will
8	confirm that.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Great. Thank you.
10	MS. BONOMOLO: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Anything else?
12	MS. BONOMOLO: Thank you very much.
13	
14	(Time noted: 7:54 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		55
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19	,	
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		

(845)895 - 3018

1		58
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		

25

900 feet off of North Fostertown Road. Access to

John Ward, a second by Frank Galli. I'll ask for

1	PETRICK TIMBER HARVEST 63
2	a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
3	MR. GALLI: Aye.
4	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
5	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
6	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
7	MR. WARD: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself.
9	Thanks ever so much.
10	MR. PRENTIS: Thank you. Have a good
11	night.
12	
13	(Time noted: 8:00 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		64
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		

(845)895-3018

1 WEBB PROPERTIES 66

2	MR. PROFACI: The next item on
3	tonight's agenda is Webb Properties, project
1	2014-10, located on 17K and Auto Park Place,
5	Section 97, Block 2, Lots 35 and 43. It's
ō	located in the IB Zone. It's a site plan and lot
7	line change. It's being represented by A.
3	Diachishin & Associates.

MR. JAMES: Since our last time at this Board we've changed the subdivision to include additional lots. We now have -- we're now showing a seven-lot subdivision. Five tax lots are affected. The former Park Motors, now Hudson Valley Chrysler; the office building; Chase Bank; GM Service Center; and the former HSBC Bank. The former HSBC Bank, the vacant parcel with the new dealership, the parcel just to the east and the GM parcel -- GM Service Center parcel were on the last map, and these other three lots are now included in the subdivision. I'm referring to comments from Pat Hines regarding the subdivision.

As we come off 17K on Auto Park Place, we're moving the lot line to the center of Auto Park Place now. We have a situation with an

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 67
2	existing setback from the Chrysler building and
3	from the Chase building where less than the
4	required fifty-foot distance, and I understand
5	that this does have to go to the Zoning Board of
6	Appeals even though it's an existing condition.
7	MR. DONNELLY: That's correct.
8	MR. JAMES: Okay. And then I'll need a
9	referral on that as well.
10	As far as the other comments, I don't
11	see any other comments regarding the subdivision
12	that I have any questions on.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mark Edsall is here
14	this evening covering for Pat Hines.
15	For the record Mark, would you cover
16	the details?
17	MR. EDSALL: Pat did confirm in his
18	comments that this does need to go to the Zoning
19	Board. He's asking that some additional setback
20	dimensions relative to lot 5 and lot 6 be shown
21	and measured to the right-of-way line. Not the
22	center line, the right-of-way.
23	He's suggesting that this plan
24	incorporate also all the cross easements, utility
25	easements, access easements. That is a good idea

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 68
2	for the record document that's filed as well, to
3	have those depicted. Sometimes applicants create
4	a separate easement sheet just because of clarity
5	because of how dense the plan gets. Pat is
6	suggesting that.
7	He's recommending that the map also
8	include a reference to Section 185-21 of the Town
9	Code regarding buffer strips and screening.
10	Since there will be future development that would
11	be adjoining the R-2 Zone, that is more
12	cautionary, then if anyone looks at the
13	subdivision plat as part of acquisition of the
14	lot they're aware of that section of the Town
15	Code.
16	He's also reminding the Board, as I'm
17	sure you're aware, that due to the proximity of
18	Route 17 this will require a County referral as
19	well. A lot of these issues can be finalized
20	following the action of the Zoning Board.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield,
22	Code Compliance?
23	MR. CANFIELD: I have nothing
24	additional on the subdivision.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any comments from

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 69
2	Board Members?
3	MR. GALLI: On the subdivision, no.
4	MR. MENNERICH: No.
5	MR. PROFACI: No.
6	MR. DOMINICK: No.
7	MR. WARD: No.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly,
9	could you give us the language for the letter
10	that you're going to be sending for referral to
11	the Zoning Board of Appeals?
12	MR. DONNELLY: It will be referred for
13	the following variances on lot 6: a front yard
14	setback variance. On lot 7 both front yard
15	variances because it's a corner lot.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
17	I'll move for a motion to circulate
18	this to the Orange County Planning Department.
19	Robert, if you could get plans to Pat
20	Hines' office, we'll do that circulation.
21	MR. DOMINICK: I'll make the motion.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I was going to make
23	two parts to this motion. I'm going to make a
24	motion we set this for the 8th of January for a
25	public hearing, and this motion will carry

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 70
2	through to the site plan. We'll do a combination
3	on the 8th for a public hearing on both the
4	subdivision that's before us this evening and for
5	the site plan which is after, being application
6	2014-10.
7	MR. DOMINICK: So moved.
8	MR. WARD: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
10	from Dave Dominick. I believe he moved that. A
11	second by John Ward. Any discussion of the
12	motion?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
15	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
16	MR. GALLI: Aye.
17	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
18	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
19	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
20	MR. WARD: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
22	MR. DONNELLY: John, we discussed at
23	work session that this is ready for a negative
24	declaration, and that should be in place before
25	the public hearing is held. You might want to

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 71
2	call for a motion for a negative declaration.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Based upon the
4	advice of Mike Donnelly, I'll move for a motion
5	to declare a negative declaration on Burke Realty
6	and Webb Properties subdivision application.
7	MR. GALLI: So moved.
8	MR. PROFACI: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
10	Frank Galli, a second by Joe Profaci. Any
11	discussion of the motion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll move then for
14	a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
15	MR. GALLI: Aye.
16	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
17	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
18	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
19	MR. WARD: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself.
21	We can't act on any final approval
22	after the public hearing until you receive the
23	variances from the ZBA. I'm not quite sure what
24	their time is. Just so you leave here knowing
25	that final approval is subject to the ZBA's

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 72
2	approval.
3	You'll get plans to Pat Hines' office.
4	MR. PROFACI: The next item is Webb
5	Properties, the site plan portion.
6	MR. JAMES: The site plan has been
7	revised, mostly in the area of stormwater
8	detention and management. Most of the site now
9	is going to drain to a porous pavement or to
10	infiltration basins.
11	Mr. Larry Marshall is here to address
12	the SWPPP, if you have any questions regarding
13	that. Larry would like to make a few comments.
14	MR. MARSHALL: Good evening. We took
15	the previous plan, revised it fairly
16	substantially to have basically all of the
17	display portion of the site for the new vehicles
18	be porous pavement.
19	We completed the infiltration testing
20	as well as the deep test with very good results.
21	Very good infiltration rates really across the
22	board in all of our areas.
23	There is a small portion here that has
24	quite a bit of clay. Not very good results but
25	still substantially higher than what is required

1 WEBB PROPERTIES 73

2 to meet the infiltration rates.

2.3

We were able to actually lower the elevation of the building by two feet as part of this to basically get it back to -- down to approximately where the existing grade is. The building is going to sit slightly higher than what existing grade is, about one foot right in the center of the building. Previously it was about three feet higher, so it's really come down quite a bit.

We've reduced substantially the amount of catch basin and stormwater piping. We've eliminated all of the previously shown retaining walls.

The treatment along the common driveway or access road will be completed on the east side by a couple of infiltrating dry swales, and then really the Chevy and Cadillac dealership will all be collected and treated in the infiltration portion of the porous pavement and a small infiltration basin adjacent to that.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mark Edsall, you've worked with Pat Hines on this.

MR. EDSALL: Sure. I'll make the

One item that needs further attention is the landscaping on the site. It needs to be expanded and brought into consistency with the Town's standards and make it consistent with other development requirements. So that is an item that needs some further attention.

22

2.3

24

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 75
2	Obviously there's the outstanding issue
3	of the DOT review and acceptance. That is
4	probably the largest timing issue.
5	It needs a County referral due to the
6	proximity to 17K. So that referral, the Board
7	has a choice of sending it now, or my suggestion
8	is you possibly wait for a coordinated set of
9	plans so that the County doesn't point out that
LO	there's inconsistencies drawing to drawing.
11	The site improvements relative to water
12	and hydrants, the notes need to be made
L3	consistent with the Town of Newburgh water
L 4	department standards.
15	Last but not least, a flow acceptance
16	letter from the City of Newburgh needs to be
17	obtained.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield,
19	Code Compliance?
20	MR. CANFIELD: Just one question. At
21	one point I thought Mr. Barton had submitted
22	brochures for the use of potential solar panels.
23	Is that still a possibility or no?
24	MR. BARTON: No. We're still working
25	with the solar company, so if it comes about it's

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 76
2	going to be at a later time. It's just that the
3	rules are changing so fast with that and the
4	State that we've just decided to hold off. So
5	there won't be any type of structure to support
6	the panels.
7	MR. CANFIELD: Okay. So it's nothing
8	that impacts this application then at this time.
9	Okay. That was it.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board
11	Members. John Ward?
12	MR. WARD: No comment.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave Dominick?
14	MR. DOMINICK: No comment.
15	MR. PROFACI: No comment.
16	MR. GALLI: On the City flow acceptance
17	letter John, they still have to they have one
18	for the building they're in now. They just have
19	to for the additional?
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The new building.
21	MR. MENNERICH: It hasn't been sent
22	yet?
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No.
24	Are you familiar with that whole
25	procedure?

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 77
2	MR. JAMES: No.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you want to
4	explain it to him?
5	MR. EDSALL: I best leave that for
6	discussion between Pat and the applicant's reps.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry, are you
8	prepared to discuss that?
9	MR. MARSHALL: We're aware of it.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You know the
11	gallons. They have to come up with the gallonage
12	calculation and then present it to Jim Osborne,
13	Jim Osborne prepares a letter to Marcy, the City
14	attorney, and then once we get that back.
15	Bearing in mind of all the approvals that are
16	necessary from now until conditional final site
17	plan approval, that is the dominant approval. We
18	can't act on anything final until that's in
19	place.
20	MR. GALLI: Right.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly, do
22	you want to give us conditions for a negative
23	declaration or
24	MR. DONNELLY: I think you issued it
25	earlier on the subdivision application. I had

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 78
2	assumed it was for the entire project. I think
3	it's already in place.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I guess just to
5	reinstate it, I'll move for a motion to declare a
6	negative declaration for Webb Properties,
7	application number 2014-10.
8	MR. GALLI: So moved.
9	MR. WARD: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
11	Frank Galli. I have a second by John Ward. I'll
12	ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank
13	Galli.
14	MR. GALLI: Aye.
15	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
16	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
17	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
18	MR. WARD: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself.
20	I'm sure by the 8th of January when you
21	have a public hearing, the plans that you bring
22	in at that particular time will be complete, at
23	which time we'll circulate to the Orange County
24	Planning Department.
25	MR. BARTON: A question on the

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 79
2	landscaping. One of the standards is, I believe,
3	one tree for every eight parking stalls. The new
4	car storage, does the automobile storage count as
5	parking or is it just the employees and the
6	customer parking stalls?
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry?
8	MR. CANFIELD: Good question. I don't
9	have an answer for you.
LO	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry will look
11	that up. Jerry will work with Pat Hines.
12	MR. DONNELLY: We should look at other
13	automobile dealership site plans to see how we've
L 4	handled it.
15	MR. GALLI: We didn't do it at Toyota.
16	MR. DONNELLY: We wanted to see some
L7	landscaping to screen that gigantic mass of cars.
18	I don't think we used the eight
19	MR. BARTON: If we went with the
20	current parking that we have for employees and
21	stuff, there are actually there's probably
22	sufficient trees on that plan.
23	MR. DONNELLY: That's my guess. Jerry
24	will check to be sure.
) 5	MP BARTON. It does look a little

1	WEBB PROPERTIES 80
2	sparse. We'll find some places for some more
3	trees.
4	MR. DONNELLY: I know that sea of cars
5	is beautiful to you but it may not be to the
6	MR. BARTON: It is. When you're moving
7	out to 17K, you know, it's not for me it's not
8	a landscape business, you know. We're there to
9	sell cars. So I appreciate that you recognize
LO	that.
L1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: There are standards
L2	for everyone. I gave you three examples of site
13	plans that everyone was willing and ready to
L 4	comply to. So I think your feet are out to the
L 5	fire based upon what everyone else is doing.
L 6	MR. BARTON: Yup. I'll get with Jerry
17	and we'll find out what that standard is.
L 8	MR. WARD: You see how Toyota looks.
19	It's attractive but you have the cars and trucks
20	shown.
21	MR. BARTON: I agree.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Anything else?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. BARTON: Thank you.
25	(Time noted: 8:17 n m)

1		81
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		

1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
3	X
4	In the Matter of
5	
6	HUDSON VALLEY PERSONNEL SUPPORT CENTER OF JEHOVAHS WITNESSES (2014-17)
7	1292 Route 300
8	Section 97; Block 2; Lots 30.1, 30.22 & 33 IB Zone
9	X
10	SITE PLAN & LOT LINE CONSOLIDATION
11	
12	Date: December 4, 2014 Time: 8:17 p.m.
13	Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
14	1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
15	
16	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI
17	KENNETH MENNERICH
18	JOSEPH E. PROFACI DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD
19	
20	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ. MARK J. EDSALL GERALD CANFIELD
21	
22	v
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO
24	10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845)895-3018
25	(043) 033-3010

1		84
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		_
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		

1	LONGVIEW FARM 86
2	MR. PROFACI: We have two items of
3	Board Business. First is Longview Farm,
4	project 2006-39. The applicant is requesting
5	a six-month extension of the project from
6	14 December 2014 through 14 June 2015.
7	MR. GALLI: So moved.
8	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
10	Frank Galli. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
11	I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank
12	Galli.
13	MR. GALLI: Aye.
14	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
15	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
16	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
17	MR. WARD: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So
19	carried.
20	
21	(Time noted: 8:19 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		87
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		

(845)895-3018

1	GODDARD/TESLA 89
2	MR. PROFACI: The second item is the
3	ARB for Tesla Motors.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the record show
5	that the Planning Board looked at the plans
6	presented and we approved them subject to the
7	building department any conditions the
8	building department wants to put on it. Okay.
9	(Time noted: 8:19 p.m.)
10	
11	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
12	
13	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand
14	Reporter and Notary Public within and for
15	the State of New York, do hereby certify
16	that I recorded stenographically the
17	proceedings herein at the time and place
18	noted in the heading hereof, and that the
19	foregoing is an accurate and complete
20	transcript of same to the best of my
21	knowledge and belief.
22	
23	
24	

25 DATED: December 20, 2014

1	92
2	MR. WARD: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
4	Frank Galli, a second by John Ward. I'll ask for
5	a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
6	MR. GALLI: Aye.
7	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
8	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
9	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
10	MR. WARD: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So
12	carried.
13	
14	(Time noted: 8:21 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		93
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: December 20, 2014	
24		