

MICHAEL W. WEEKS, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA)

MICHAEL J. LAMOREAUX, P.E. (NY, NJ, PA, VT, VA & CT)

Main Office 33 Airport Center Drive Suite 202 New Windsor, New York 12553

(845) 567-3100 fax: (845) 567-3232 e-mail: <u>mheny@mhepc.com</u>

Principal Emeritus: RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. (NY & PA)

LYLE R. SHUTE, P.E., LEED-AP (NY, NJ, PA) PATRICK J. HINES TOWN OF NEWB

TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT:	DOLLAR GENERAL-BALMVILLE
PROJECT NO.:	20-04
PROJECT LOCATION:	SECTION 24, BLOCK 4, LOT 1.12
REVIEW DATE:	26 MARCH 2021
MEETING DATE:	1 APRIL 2021
PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE:	BOHLER ENGINEERING/CARYN MLODZIANOWSKI

- 1. Several of our previous comments remain outstanding. A copy of the Wetland Delineation was requested previously. The response was the Wetland Delineation map by the surveyor which and is shown on the survey previously provided. The Wetland Delineation report should be provided consistent with the US Army Corps of Engineers 1987 manual.
- 2. The design of the subsurface sanitary sewer disposal system is required.
- **3.** Detail sheets depicting all on site design elements must be provided including stormwater management, paving, sidewalks, curbs, drainage, subsurface sanitary sewer disposal system, water and sewer. Review of the plans is difficult without the corresponding site development details. The Stormwater Management report has been provided identifying the use of under parking storage. Details of the under parking storage system as well as outlet control structures must be provided consistent with the stormwater model provided.
- **4.** The Traffic Report should be submitted to the NYSDOT for review and comment. It is noted that an adjoining land owner commented on the location of the site access drive with regard to their access drive.
- **5.** The Planning Board recently discussed the placement of sidewalks along the property frontage.
- 6. Areas for any outdoor storage of products for sale should be delineated on the plans.
- **7.** The Planning Board requires curbing within commercial site plans. All areas of the site should be provided with curbing. It appears that the northern side of the site does not contain curbing as well as the site access drive.
- **8.** Design flow from the facility to the septic system should be identified. Utilizing 0.1 gallons per day per square foot it appears that the proposed 1000 gallon septic tank does not meet design
 - Regional Office 111 Wheatfield Drive Suite 1 Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 570-296-2765 •



Member

standards.

- **9.** Project will require to be sprinklred for fire suppression. Sizing the water main should be confirmed as well as valves provided in accordance with Town Code where potable water is terminated if fire service water is terminated.
- **10.** Additional review will be provided once site development details are provided.
- **11.** Drainage pipe at entrance drive is identified as 12 inch. Town standards requires 15 inch minimum pipe.
- **12.** A limit of disturbance should be identified on the plan. Disturbance limit amount should confirm less than one acre disturbance.
- **13.** The Applicant should confirm that stone walls, fences and other landscaping features are permitted within the ten foot gas line easement.
- 14. Site lighting plan should be provided prior to submission to County Planning for 239 Referral.

Respectfully submitted,

McGoey, Hauser and Edsall Consulting Engineers, D.P.C.

Hones

Patrick J. Hines Principal

PJH/kbw



17 Computer Drive West Albany, NY 12205 518.438.9900

70 Linden Oaks, Third Floor, Suite 15 Rochester, NY 14625 585.866.1000

Via Overnight Delivery

March 4, 2021

Town of Newburgh 21 Hudson Valley Professional Plaza Newburgh, New York 12550

Attention: John Ewasutyn, Planning Board Chairman

Re: Response to Comments Summary Proposed Dollar General SE Corner of US Route 9W & North Hill Lane Town of Newburgh, New York

Dear Mr. Ewasutyn:

On behalf of our client, HSC Balmville, LLC., we are pleased to submit this response to comments summary to the Town of Newburgh for the above referenced project. This summary is in response to the various comments received in the February 12, 2021, letter from McGoey, Hauser and Edsall Consulting Engineers, as noted below with our responses in italics. Please find the following items in support of our application:

- A. Fourteen (14) copies of the revised Site Plan Documents entitled "Site Plan", "Grading & Drainage Plan", "Utility Plan" & Landscape Plan" prepared by Bohler Engineering, consisting of four (4) sheets, dated November 26, 2019, last revised March 3, 2021.
- B. Fourteen (14) copies of the Stormwater Management Report prepared by Bohler Engineering, dated February 25, 2021.

Response to various comments summary as follows:

1. The application has received variances for parking count from the ZBA on 28 January 2021. The ZBA granted relief to allow 30 parking spaces where 62 were required.

Comment acknowledged.

 The Planning Board should declare its Intent for Lead Agency for review of the project. Other involved agencies include the NYS Department of Transportation, NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (noted they have issued No Impact letter), Orange County Planning Department will be an interested agency for the circulation. Orange County Planning referral will be required.

Comment acknowledged.

3. The Applicants have addressed the issue of parking in the front yard setback identifying circumstances and operational requirements as the project has two front yards. Placing the parking in front of the structure will allow the structure to provide a buffer to nearby residential properties. Parking in front of the structure also reduces potential wetland impacts. Project identifies 58% of the site contains Federal Jurisdictional Wetlands. The Planning Board may wish



Town of Newburgh 21 Hudson Valley Professional Plaza Newburgh, New York 12550 March 4, 2021 Page 2 of 2

to address the requirement for other mitigation items prior to granting the design guideline waiver including placement of stone wall/landscape features between the state highway and the Town road frontages.

Comment acknowledged.

4. Our previous comments requested submission of the wetland delineation report to complete the Town's files. The Applicants representative have identified that .031 acres of wetland disturbance is proposed.

The wetland delineation was mapped by the surveyor and is shown on the survey previously provided. The proposed wetland disturbance areas are shown on the Grading & Drainage Plan.

5. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan in compliance with NYSDEC and Town of Newburgh requirements must be provided.

A drainage report has been assembled and included within this submission. The proposed project will disturb less than 1-acre of land to minimize wetland disturbance and maintain a significant buffer to the residential properties to the rear.

6. Water service/sprinkler system valving should be per Town specifications. Sizing of the water line should be identified on the plan sheet. Exterior valving must be provided such that if fire flow supply is terminated to building potable water supply is also terminated.

Comment acknowledged.

7. Design of the subsurface sanitary sewer disposal system must be provided for review.

The subsurface sanitary sewer disposal system design will be finalized and submitted in the spring when percolation testing can be performed and permitted through the Orange County Department of Health.

Should you have any questions or any additional needs please do not hesitate to contact us at (518) 438-9900.

Sincerely,

BOHLER ENGINEERING MA, LLC

Com mas

Caryn Mlodzianowski

cc: HSC Balmville, LLC (via electronic-mail) Patrick J. Hines, MH&E Consulting Engineers, DPC (via electronic-mail)



17 Computer Drive West Albany, NY 12205 518.438.9900

70 Linden Oaks, Third Floor, Suite 15 Rochester, NY 14625 585.866.1000

Via Overnight Delivery

March 22, 2021

Town of Newburgh 21 Hudson Valley Professional Plaza Newburgh, New York 12550

Attention: John Ewasutyn, Planning Board Chairman

Re: Traffic Evaluation Town Project #2020-04 Proposed Dollar General SE Corner of US Route 9W & North Hill Lane Town of Newburgh, New York

Dear Mr. Ewasutyn:

On behalf of our client, HSC Balmville, LLC., we are pleased to submit this response to comments summary to the Town of Newburgh for the above referenced project. This summary is in response to the various comments received in the February 12, 2021, letter from Creighton Manning Engineering, LLP, as noted below with our responses in italics. Please find the following items in support of our application:

- Fourteen (14) copies of the Traffic Evaluation, prepared by Maser Consulting (Colliers Engineering & Design), dated March 18, 2021.
- The Route 9W northbound truck entry and exit movements should also be tested. The truck egress position should be described when stopped prior to entering Route 9W, i.e. does the tail of the trailer block ingress access to North Hill Lane? How frequent are deliveries? The reverse truck maneuver should be verified for entering the loading dock area. It appears the template tested driving forward, out to the left, but a reverse maneuver requires the cab to swing left then right to orient the trailer to the left in reverse.

The truck turning movements were revised on the previous submittal dated March 4, 2021. The most conservative truck was used. Deliveries with the tenant's tractor-trailer are anticipated to occur 1-2 times per week.

2. A traffic analysis of Route 9W/North Hill Lane should be provided, with observations of queues on North Hill Lane and use of the adjacent Patty Cake Playhouse driveway.

Please find the traffic analysis enclosed.



Town of Newburgh 21 Hudson Valley Professional Plaza Newburgh, New York 12550 March 4, 2021 Page 2 of 2

3. A utility permit from NYSDOT will be required for the gas and water line connections.

Comment acknowledged. This will occur prior to construction.

Should you have any questions or any additional needs please do not hesitate to contact us at (518) 438-9900.

Sincerely,

BOHLER ENGINEERING MA, LLC

Com mp

Caryn Mlodzianowski

cc: HSC Balmville, LLC (via electronic-mail) Kenneth Wersted, PE, PTOE, Creighton Manning Engineering, LLP (via electronic-mail) Patrick J. Hines, MH&E Consulting Engineers, DPC (via electronic-mail)



Engineers Planners Surveyors Landscape Architects Environmental Scientists

March 18, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Mr. Kenneth Fioretti Hix Snedeker Companies 805 Trione Street Daphne, AL 36526

Re: Dollar General U.S. Route 9W and North Hill Lane Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York <u>MC Project No. 21001250A</u>

Dear Mr. Fioretti:

As requested, we have completed our preliminary traffic evaluation for the approximately 9,300 square foot Dollar General store, which is proposed on a parcel of land located immediately south of the North Hill Lane and U.S. Route 9W intersection in the Town of Newburgh (see Figure No. 1), New York. The site is proposed to be accessed via one entry/exit driveway on North Hill Lane. This evaluation is based on the analysis requirements of the Town of Newburgh Planning Board and the following sections describe of the tasks undertaken.

1. Description of Existing Roadway Conditions

U.S. Route 9W along the frontage of the site consists of one travel lane in each direction plus paved shoulders on either side varying in width from approximately 6' to 10' in this area. The roadway varies in terms of sections that are curbed at developed driveways and no curbs in other areas. Note that opposite the site on the north side of North Hill Lane there is a small commercial development which contains the Pattycake Playhouse Early Childhood Learning Center. The proposed Dollar General driveway will be coordinated with this access. This section of U.S. Route 9W has an unposted speed limit of 55 MPH and along the frontage of the site there is an existing passing zone. The intersection of North Hill Lane and U.S. Route 9W is controlled by a stop sign and painted stop bar on the North Hill Lane approach. The speed limit on North Hill Lane is 30 MPH and there is currently no centerline striping on this roadway. The existing pavement width varies



Mr. Kenneth Fioretti MC Project No. 21001250A March 18, 2021 Page 2 of 4

between approximately 21' and 24' in this area. The project is proposed to be served by a single driveway consisting of one entering and one exiting lane on North Hill Lane.

2. 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes (Figure No.2 and 3)

Turning movement traffic counts were conducted in the vicinity of the site and at the intersection of U.S. Route 9W and North Hill Lane in order to identify existing traffic conditions. The traffic volumes were collected on Thursday, March 11, Friday, March 12, and Saturday, March 13, 2021. Weekday AM, Weekday Midday, and Weekday PM counts were also collected on Tuesday, March 16, 2021. These counts were compared with historical data, including data collected in association with other projects along the corridor as well as data published by NYSDOT. Note that the roadway has a current ADT of approximately 16,000 vehicles per day with peak hour two-directional volumes in the order of 1,600 to 1,700 vehicles per hour during peak periods of the day. The existing traffic volumes included adjustments based on historical data to account for any variations in traffic due to the Covid-19 Pandemic at the time of the recent traffic counts. The resulting traffic volumes reflecting the critical Weekday AM (7:00 AM – 8:00 AM) and PM (4:15 PM – 5:15 PM) peak hour conditions are shown on Figures No. 2 and 3.

3. Year 2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes (Figure No. 3 and 4)

The 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes were projected to a future design year to represent conditions without the proposed variety store, the Existing Traffic Volumes were increased by a growth factor of 1% per year to 2023. The resulting Year 2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes are shown on Figures No. 3 and 4.

4. <u>Site Generated Traffic Volumes (Table No. 1)</u>

Estimates of the amount of traffic to be generated by the proposed approximately 9,300 square foot building during each of the peak hours were developed based on information published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) as contained in the report entitled "Trip Generation", 10th Edition, 2017, based on Land Use Category – 814 Variety Store. This category includes this type of retail use and based on the data, would generate approximately 33 entering and 31 exiting vehicles during the Peak PM Highway Hour (one-hour period). Based on the traffic flows along U.S. Route 9W on a daily basis, the expected number of "new" trips to the roadway system would be even less. Based on ITE data for a use such as this, it can be expected that at least 25% of the vehicle trips would not be new to the system. Table No. 1 summarizes the trip generation rates and corresponding site generated traffic volumes for the Weekday Peak AM and Weekday Peak



PM Hours. Note that the PM Peak Highway Hour is the most critical time period in terms of overall traffic operations.

5. <u>Arrival/Departure Distribution</u>

An arrival and departure distribution was established for the site based upon a review of the existing traffic flows along this section of U.S. Route 9W and location of over population in this vicinity.

6. <u>2023 Build Conditions Traffic Volumes (Figures No. 6 through 9)</u>

The site generated traffic volumes shown in Table No. 1 were assigned to the driveway based on the expected arrival and departure distributions referenced above. The resulting site generated traffic volumes are shown on Figures No. 6 and 7. The site generated traffic volumes were then added to the Year 2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes to obtain the Year 2023 Build Traffic Volumes for the driveway intersection. The resulting Year 2023 Build Traffic Volumes are shown on Figures No. 8 and 9 for each of the Peak Highway Hours.

7. Description of Analysis Procedures

It was necessary to perform capacity analyses in order to determine existing and future traffic operating conditions at the study area intersections. The unsignalized intersection capacity analysis method utilized in this report was also performed in accordance with the procedures described in the *Highway Capacity Manual*, 6th Edition. The procedure is based on total elapsed time from when a vehicle stops at the end of the queue until the vehicle departs from the stop line. The average total delay for any particular critical movement is a function of the service rate or capacity of the approach and the degree of saturation. In order to identify the Level of Service, the average amount of vehicle delay is computed for each critical movement to the intersection.

Additional information concerning both signalized and unsignalized Levels of Service can be found in Appendix "C" of this report.

8. <u>Results of Capacity Analysis (Table No. 2)</u>

Capacity analyses which take into consideration the traffic control, truck percentages, any pedestrian activity, roadway grades and other factors were performed at the site access and adjacent intersection with U.S. Route 9W utilizing the procedures described above to determine the Levels of Service and average vehicle delays.



Mr. Kenneth Fioretti MC Project No. 21001250A March 18, 2021 Page 4 of 4

Table No. 2 AM and 2 PM summarize the results of the capacity analysis for the 2023 Build Conditions. Appendix "D" contains copies of the capacity analysis which also indicate the existing geometrics (including lane widths) and other characteristics for the driveway intersection.

Based on a review of the analysis of existing conditions, vehicles making left turns from North Hill Lane, many of which are leaving the Learning Center property, experience long delays during the peak hours and these will increase in the future with or without this project due to the heavy through traffic movements on U.S. Route 9W. To improve this delay, a traffic signal would have to be installed, however based on existing and future traffic volumes, it does not appear that a signal would be warranted.

9. Accident Evaluation (Table A)

All available accident data has been requested from NYSDOT for the section of U.S. Route 9W from North Hill Lane north and south for 500 feet for the latest available three-year period. Once received, the accident information will be compiled, and a summary of those accidents will be contained in Table A.

10. Other Considerations

The pavement width on North Hill Lane is currently limited in some portions. The section between U.S. Route 9W and the site driveway should be upgraded to provide a consistent full width pavement to accommodate vehicles turning to and from U.S. Route 9W. A double yellow centerline should also be added in this section of North Hill Lane. These items should be completed regardless of the proposed development.

Very truly yours,

MASER CONSULTING CONNECTICUT, P.C.

Philip J. Grealy, Ph.D., P.E. Principal/Department Manager

PJG/ces Enclosures

 $R:\label{eq:resonance} R:\label{eq:resonance} R:\label{eq:resonanc$



Traffic Impact Study PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL MC Project No. 21001250A Appendix

PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL

APPENDIX A

FIGURES



1250A\Reports\Traffic\Figures\210315RH_FIGURE.dwg\1



1250A\Reports\Traffic\Figures\210315RH_FIGURE.dwg\2









1250AlReports\Traffic\Figures\210315RH_FIGUREdwg\6



1250AlReports/Traffic/Figures/210315RH_FIGURE.dwg/7

By: RHILARIO



1250A\Reports\Traffic\Figures\210315RH_FIGURE.dwg\8

By: RHILARIO

an indexe une



By: RHILARIO 1250A\Reports\Traffic\Figures\210315RH_FIGUREdwg\9



1250AlReports\Traffic\Figures\210315RH_FIGUREdwg\10





Traffic Impact Study PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL MC Project No. 21001250A Appendix

PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL

APPENDIX B

TABLES

TABLE NO. 1

HOURLY TRIP GENERATION RATES (HTGR) AND ANTICIPATED SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES

<i>n</i>	EN	TRY	Ε>	(IT
PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL TOWN OF NEWBURGH, NEW YORK	HTGR ¹	VOLUME	HTGR ¹	VOLUME
VARIETY STORE (9,300 S.F.)				×
PEAK AM HOUR	1.83	17	1.40	13
PEAK PM HOUR	3.55	33	3.33	31

NOTES:

1) THE HOURLY TRIP GENERATION RATES (HTGR) ARE BASED ON DATA PUBLISHED BY THE INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS (ITE) AS CONTAINED IN THE TRIP GENERATION HANDBOOK, 10TH EDITION, 2017. ITE LAND USE CODE - 814 - VARIETY STORE. NOTE THAT THE 9,300 S.F. SHOWN ABOVE INCLUDES THE 9,100 S.F. & 200 S.F. VESTIBULE.

TABLE NO. 2 AM

LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY TABLE

				202	1 EXISTI	ING	202	23 NO-BU	ILD	2	023 BUIL	D	CHANGE IN DELAY
_			AM	V/C	LOS	DELAY	V/C	LOS	DELAY	V/C	LOS	DELAY	NO-BUILD TO BUILD
1	U.S. ROUTE 9W & NORTH HILL LANE	UNSIGNA	LIZED										
	NORTH HILL LANE U.S. ROUTE 9W	WB SB	LR LT	0.30 0.01	F A	59.8 9.8	0.31 0.01	F A	63.3 9.8	0.47 0.02	F A	78.7 9.9	15.4 0.1
2	NORTH HILL LANE & PATTYCAKE PLAYHOUSE EARLY CHILDHOOD LEARNING CENTER/ SITE ACCESS	UNSIGNA	LIZED	1	34)<								
	NORTH HILL LANE NORTH HILL LANE SITE ACCESS DRIVEWAY PATTYCAKE LEARNING CENTER	EB WB NB SB	LTR LTR LTR LTR	0.01 0.02	A - - A	7.3 - - 8.5	0.01 = 0.02	A - - A	7.3 - 8.5	0.01 0.00 0.02 0.02	A A A A	7.3 7.3 9.0 8.5	0.0 - - 0.0

NOTES:

1) THE ABOVE REPRESENTS THE LEVEL OF SERVICE AND VEHICLE DELAY IN SECONDS, C [16.2], FOR EACH KEY APPROACH OF THE UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS AS WELL AS FOR EACH APPROACH AND THE OVERALL INTERSECTION FOR THE SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS, SEE APPENDIX "C" FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE LEVELS OF SERVICE.

TABLE NO. 2 PM

LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY TABLE

					202	21 EXIST	ING	202	23 NO-BU	IILD	2	023 BUIL	.D	CHANGE IN DELAY
_				PM	V/C	LOS	DELAY	V/C	LOS	DELAY	V/C	LOS	DELAY	NO-BUILD TO BUILD
	1	U.S. ROUTE 9W & NORTH HILL LANE	UNSIGN	ALIZED										
		NORTH HILL LANE U.S. ROUTE 9W	WB SB	LR LT	0.45 0.00	F A	83.9 0.0	0.50 0.00	F A	96.7 0.0	0.88 0.02	F B	169.5 10.4	72.8 10.4
	2	NORTH HILL LANE & PATTYCAKE PLAYHOUSE EARLY CHILDHOOD LEARNING CENTER/ SITE ACCESS	UNSIGNA	ALIZED										
		NORTH HILL LANE NORTH HILL LANE SITE ACCESS DRIVEWAY PATTYCAKE LEARNING CENTER	EB WB NB SB	LTR LTR LTR LTR	0.01 - - 0.03	A A	7.3 - - 8.5	0.01 - - 0.03	A A	7.3 - - 8.5	0.01 0.00 0.04 0.03	A A A	7.3 7.3 9.2 8.6	0.0 - - 0.1

NOTES:

1) THE ABOVE REPRESENTS THE LEVEL OF SERVICE AND VEHICLE DELAY IN SECONDS, C [16.2], FOR EACH KEY APPROACH OF THE UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS AS WELL AS FOR EACH APPROACH AND THE OVERALL INTERSECTION FOR THE SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS, SEE APPENDIX "C" FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE LEVELS OF SERVICE.



Traffic Impact Study PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL MC Project No. 21001250A Appendix

PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL

APPENDIX C

LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS



LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of Service (LOS) can be characterized for the entire intersection, each intersection approach, and each lane group. Control delay alone is used to characterize LOS for the entire intersection or an approach. Control delay and volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio are used to characterize LOS for a lane group. Delay quantifies the increase in travel time due to traffic signal control. It is also a measure of driver discomfort and fuel consumption. The volume-to-capacity ratio quantifies the degree to which a phase's capacity is utilized by a lane group.

LOS A describes operations with a control delay of 10 s/veh or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it is due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

LOS B describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

LOS C describes operations with control delay between 20 and 35 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate.

LOS D describes operations with control delay between 35 and 55 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long.



LOS E describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long.

LOS F describes operations with control delay exceeding 80 s/veh or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long.

A lane group can incur a delay less than 80 s/veh when the volume-to-capacity ratio exceeds 1.0. This condition typically occurs when the cycle length is short, the signal progression is favorable, or both. As a result, both the delay and volume-to-capacity ratio are considered when lane group LOS is established. A ratio of 1.0 or more indicates that cycle capacity is fully utilized and represents failure from a capacity perspective (just as delay in excess of 80 s/veh represents failure from a delay perspective).

The Level of Service Criteria for signalized intersections are given in Exhibit 19-8 from the *Highway Capacity Manual*, 6th Edition published by the Transportation Research Board.

	Exhibit 19-8	
-	LOS by Volume-to	-Capacity Ratio
Control Delay (s/veh)	v/c ≤1.0	v/c >1.0
≤10	Α	F
>10-20	В	F
>20-35	С	F
>35-55	D	F
>55-80	E	F
>80	F	F

For approach-based and intersection wide assessments, LOS is defined solely by control delay.



LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA

FOR TWO-WAY STOP-CONTROLLED (TWSC) UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of Service (LOS) for a two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay. For motor vehicles, LOS is determined for each minor-street movement (or shared movement) as well as major-street left turns. LOS is not defined for the intersection as a whole or for major-street approaches.

The Level of Service Criteria for TWSC unsignalized intersections are given in Exhibit 20-2 from the *Highway Capacity Manual*, 6th Edition published by the Transportation Research Board.

	Exhibit 20-2	-
	LOS by Volume	-to-Capacity Ratio
Control Delay (s/veh)	v/c ≤1.0	v/c >1.0
0-10	А	F
>10-15	В	F
>15-25	С	F
>25-35	D	F
>35-50	Е	F
>50	F	F

The LOS criteria apply to each lane on a given approach and to each approach on the minor street. LOS is not calculated for major-street approaches or for the intersection as a whole.

As Exhibit 20-2 notes, LOS F is assigned to the movement if the volume-to-capacity ratio for the movement exceeds 1.0, regardless of the control delay.

The Level of Service Criteria for unsignalized intersections are somewhat different from the criteria for signalized intersections.



LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA

FOR ALL-WAY STOP-CONTROLLED (AWSC) UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

The Levels of Service (LOS) for all-way stop-controlled (AWSC) intersections are given in Exhibit 21-8. As the exhibit notes, LOS F is assigned if the volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio of a lane exceeds 1.0, regardless of the control delay. For assessment of LOS at the approach and intersection levels, LOS is based solely on control delay.

The Level of Service Criteria for AWSC unsignalized intersections are given in Exhibit 21-8 from the *Highway Capacity Manual*, 6th Edition published by the Transportation Research Board.

	Exhibit 21-8	2
	LOS by V	olume-to-Capacity Ratio
Control Delay (s/veh)	v/c ≤1.0	v/c >1.0
0-10	Α	F
>10-15	В	F F
>15-25	С	F
>25-35	D	F
>35-50	E	F
>50	F	F

For approaches and intersection wide assessment, LOS is defined solely by control delay.



Traffic Impact Study PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL MC Project No. 21001250A Appendix

PROPOSED DOLLAR GENERAL

APPENDIX D

CAPACITY ANALYSIS

2021 Existing Traffic Volumes <u>1: U.S. Route 9W & North Hill Lane</u>

	4		1	1	1	Ļ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	M		ŧÎ			֔
Traffic Volume (vph)	17	7	760	9	5	740
Future Volume (vph)	17	7	760	9	5	740
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	13	12	12	12
Grade (%)	3%	1.12	0%			0%
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	0.960		0.998			
Flt Protected	0.966					
Satd. Flow (prot)	1653	0	1866	0	0	1810
Flt Permitted	0.966					
Satd. Flow (perm)	1653	0	1866	0	0	1810
Link Speed (mph)	30		40			40
Link Distance (ft)	122		242			300
Travel Time (s)	2.8		4.1			5.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Adj. Flow (vph)	19	8	864	10	6	841
Shared Lane Traffic (%)		100 NT			07.	57/101/0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	27	0	874	0	0	847
Enter Blocked Intersecti	on No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		0		0.000	0
Link Offset(ft)	0	2.25.1	0			Ő
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16			16
Two way Left Turn Lane	- 51 5	S				CENSIO.
Headway Factor	1.02	1.02	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9	15No 1	9	15	
Sign Control	Stop		Free	-		Free
Intersection Summary	1	S. 7.76		1275	123.2	1.66

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

Peak AM Hour
03/16/2021

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2021 Existing Traffic Volumes 2: North Hill Lane & Pattycake Learning Center

٦

Lane Group EBL EBT WBT WBR SBL SBR
Lane Configurations
Traffic Volume (vph) 9 5 5 2 1 19
Future Volume (vph) 9 5 5 2 1 19
Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900
Lane Width (ft) 12 12 11 12 10 12
Grade (%) -2% 2% 0%
Lane Util. Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
Frt 0.966 0.871
Fit Protected 0.970 0.998
Satd. Flow (prot) 0 1773 1673 0 1468 0
Fit Permitted 0.970 0.998
Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1773 1673 0 1468 0
Link Speed (mph) 30 30 30
Link Distance (ft) 122 105 66
Travel Time (s) 2.8 2.4 1.5
Peak Hour Factor 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%) 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%
Adj. Flow (vph) 10 6 6 2 1 22
Shared Lane Traffic (%)
Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 16 8 0 23 0
Enter Blocked Intersection No No No No No No
Lane Alignment Left Left Right Left Right
Median Width(ft) 0 0 10
Link Offset(ft) 0 0 0
Crosswalk Width(ft) 16 16 16
Two way Left Turn Lane
Headway Factor 0.99 0.99 1.06 1.01 1.09 1.00
Turning Speed (mph) 15 9 15 9
Sign Control Free Free Stop
Intersection Summary

Other

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2021 Existing Traffic Volumes 2: North Hill Lane & Pattycake Learning Center

Intersection		1000		T T	100	1000
and the second se	5.8					
Movement E	BL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	4		Y	o pri
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9					19
Future Vol, veh/h	9	5	5			19
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	_	0	0		0	
			Free			
RT Channelized		None		None		None
Storage Length		None -		NOUG	- 0	None -
•	-			-	-	
Veh in Median Storag			0		0	
Grade, %	-	-2	2		-	-
	88	88	88	88	88	88
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	10	6	6	2	1	22
Major/Minor Majo	ved.	N	laior?	N	linor2	See Land
	_		lajor2			7
Conflicting Flow All	8	0	-	0	33	7
Stage 1	-	20		Noby i -		-
Stage 2	-	-	12	-	26	-
Critical Hdwy 4.1	15	<u> -</u>		-	6.45	6.25
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.45	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-		5.45	-
Follow-up Hdwy 2.24	45	-		- :	3.545	3.345
Pot Cap-1 Maneuve59		1911 E	1.1		973	
Stage 1	-	-	-		1008	-
Stage 2	110	(Lucia)	110	1000	989	
Platoon blocked, %	0.70	1000,000			909	1.1
	22				067	1067
Mov Cap-1 Maneuvier		3.01		27-		1067
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	967	-
Stage 1	-	1100	-		1002	
Stage 2	-	-		-	989	-
MU 및 M 및 대보내 관계 같은 같은				1.27		
Approach E	B	Sec. 2	WB	125	SB	INC.LO.
	1.0		0			
HCM Control Delay, 4 HCM LOS	.1		U		8.5	
	_				Α	
		(FIN		1.55	1-1-1	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBRS	BLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1593	-			1062
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.006	-			0.021
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.3	0		-(8.5
HCM Lane LOS)			100		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh		A	Α	-	-	A
TUVI YOTA WILL U/VAN	D	0	3 (C. 🔿			0.1

Job# 21001250A - R.H.
2021 Existing Traffic Volumes <u>1: U.S. Route 9W & North Hill Lane</u>

	-		t	1	4	Ļ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	W		ţ,			र्भ
Traffic Volume (vph)	25	8	903	13	0	810
Future Volume (vph)	25	8	903	13	0	810
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	13	12	12	12
Grade (%)	3%		0%			0%
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	0.968		0.998			
Flt Protected	0.963					
Satd. Flow (prot)	1662	0	1866	0	0	1810
Flt Permitted	0.963					
Satd. Flow (perm)	1662	0	1866	. 0	0	1810
Link Speed (mph)	30		40			40
Link Distance (ft)	122		242			300
Travel Time (s)	2.8		4.1			5.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Adj. Flow (vph)	26	8	951	14	0	853
Shared Lane Traffic (%)					
Lane Group Flow (vph)	34	0	965	0	0	853
Enter Blocked Intersect	tion No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		0			0
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		1000	0
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16			16
Two way Left Turn Land	e					
Headway Factor	1.02	1.02	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9	12.1	9	15	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Intersection Summary	an and the	2012	11-13		10 200	K. 72.15

Area Type: Othe Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

Intersection			Rott	11 13	1.375
Int Delay, s/veh 1	6				
Movement WE	L WBF	R NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations		ĥ			÷.
_		3 903		0	
		3 903		0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0 0		0	0
		Free	1		
RT Channelized	- None		None		None
Storage Length	0		a	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	0#	- 0		18	0
	-	- 0	-	-	0
	5 95		95	95	95
	5 8		5	5	5
Mymt Flow 2		8 951	14	0	853
+					
Major/Minor Minor		Major1		lajor2	8 3 6
Conflicting Flow All181		3 0	0	965	0
Stage 1 95			-		1. S. S.
Stage 2 85		-	-		-
	5 6.55	i		4.15	
Critical Hdwy Stg 16.0			1	40	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 26.0		- 1	1.10		-
Follow-up Hdwy 3.54			-2	2.245	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 6		. ÷	- 1 T	702	98i
Stage 1 31				-	
Stage 2 35	в.		-	15 8	100
Platoon blocked, %			-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver6	3 284		-	702	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver6			-	-	
Stage 1 31	4 -			1 전통	-
Stage 2 35	3-	-	-		-
Approach W	3	NB	-U.12-	SB	05-34.34
HCM Control Delay88.		0	1.00	0	
PLACE AND IN THE REAL PLACE AND A DECIDENCE AN	2	U		0	ant fort
			-		
		1200	2.00	0.172	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t NBT	NBRV	BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)			78	702	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- (0.445	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	2 - 2 -	100 -	83.9	0	-
HCM Lane LOS	-		F	Α	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	8178/ -	dist. es	1.8	0	151.19

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2021 Existing Traffic Volumes 2: North Hill Lane & Pattycake Learning Center

	۶	-			1	\checkmark	0
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	•	र्स	Þ		¥	End Change	
Traffic Volume (vph)	8	€ 5	9	0	1	24	
Future Volume (vph)	8	5	9	0	1	24	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	11	12	10	12	
Grade (%)		-2%	2%		0%		TARE LEADER STREET, AND
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt					0.870	Sec. 34	
Flt Protected		0.971			0.998		9
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1775	1732	0	1466	0	
Flt Permitted		0.971			0.998		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1775	1732	0	1466	0	
Link Speed (mph)		30	30		30		
Link Distance (ft)	380_ 2	122	105		66		
Travel Time (s)		2.8	2.4		1.5		
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	
Adj. Flow (vph)	9	6	10	0	1	27	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	15	10	0	28	0	and the second second states and the second second
Enter Blocked Intersecti		No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	Right	used and defendences of the second second second
Median Width(ft)		0	0		10		
Link Offset(ft)	SUG TO	0	Ō		Ō	153.50	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16	16		16		a second concernance of the second
Two way Left Turn Lane	1000						
Headway Factor	0.99	0.99	1.06	1.01	1.09	1.00	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Turning Speed (mph)	15		1.2.2	9	15	9	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Intersection Summary				108.40	Aluma .	8	
Area Type: C	thor						

Area Type: Othe Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2021 Existing Traffic Volumes 2: North Hill Lane & Pattycake Learning Center

Intersection	575	76-7	8-15-			4.3
Int Delay, s/veh	5.8					
Movement E	BL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		ب ا	\$→		¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	5	9	0	1	24
Future Vol, veh/h	8	5	9	0	1	24
Conflicting Peds, #/h		Ō	0	Ō	0	0
			Free			
RT Channelized		None		None		None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storag	ne-±		0		Ő	
Grade, %	у с, н -	-2	2	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	-	88
0.01202/23/2010/02/24/2010/22/25/2022 (02)						
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	9	6	10	0	1	27
Major/Minor Majo	or1	M	ajor2	M	linor2	100
	10	0	-	0	34	10
Stage 1	-	0		Ū	10	-
		100000			24	
Stage 2	45		*	-		0.05
	.15	- 10		11.14		6.25
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.45	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	100-			5.45	-
Follow-up Hdwy 2.2		-	Ħ	-:	3.545	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuvé6	90	10.00	-	-	972	1063
Stage 1	-		-	-	1005	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	1	991	0.2
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuvle	90		80.		966	1063
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-	-	966	-
Stage 1	1.27				999	
				(m)	999	
Stage 2	-	100 fee	-	/#L	991	
		1.000				
Approach I	ΞB		WB		SB	100
HCM Control Delay,	1.5	172.5	0	10.30	8.5	WI ST
HCM LOS					A	
	1000		i e l'ét	Contra de la	0.00	
	Are re-	040.11			2.2	0-1°, 17
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	mt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBRS	BLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	1	590	-	-	THE.	1059
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		.006	-			0.027
HCM Control Delay (s		7.3	0		2.14-1	
HCM Lane LOS	1	A	A	-		A
HCM 95th %tile Q(vel	2)	ô	-	-		0.1
ICINI SOUL WILL CAL	17	0	0.00	-		0.1

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes 1: U.S. Route 9W & North Hill Lane

1. s	4	×.	Ť	1	1	¥	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		Þ			et.	3
Traffic Volume (vph)	17	7	775	9	5	755	
Future Volume (vph)	17	7	775	9	5	755	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	13	12	12	12	
Grade (%)	3%		0%			0%	- Alternation - Alternation
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	4
Frt	0.960		0.998				방법 사망 방법 수 있는 것이 많이
Flt Protected	0.966						
Satd. Flow (prot)	1653	0	1866	0	0	1810	
Flt Permitted	0.966						
Satd. Flow (perm)	1653	. 0	1866	0	0	1810	
Link Speed (mph)	30		40			40	
Link Distance (ft)	122		242			300	
Travel Time (s)	2.8		4.1			5.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	
Adj. Flow (vph)	19	8	881	10	6	858	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	27	0	891	0	0	864	
Enter Blocked Intersecti	on No	No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left	2 96 200 200 200 200 200
Median Width(ft)	12	100	0			0	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane		1.44					
Headway Factor	1.02	1.02	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9		9	15	23.34	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summany	2.5.11		1.04 2.05	CHEV.	1-43650	No. 10. 10.	and a surface of the second states of the second st

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

Intersection	Ter			1	10000	TT B
Int Delay, s/veh	1					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configuratio	ons 🏹	the second s	4Î			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	17	7	775		5	
Future Vol, veh/h		7	775		5	
Conflicting Peds,		0	0		0	
Sign Control				Free		
RT Channelized		None		None	-	None
Storage Length	0		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Sto	- ·		0		28.7 4	0
Grade, % Peak Hour Factor	3 88	- 00	0 88	- 00	00	0 88
Heavy Vehicles, 9		88 5	88 5	88 5	88	88 5
Mvmt Flow	/0 5 19	с 8	э 881	5 10	5 6	о 858
	19	0	001	10	0	000
	Minor1		lajor1		ajor2	
Conflicting Flow A		886	0	0		0
Stage 1	886	6-0 ye	81. ju .		1.1.1	
Stage 2	870	-		-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.05	6.55	9 N-		4.15	- Jole
Critical Hdwy Stg		-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg		-			-	10.00
Follow-up Hdwy			-	-2	2.245	3 .
Pot Cap-1 Maneu		315	214.		748	
Stage 1	343	-	-	-		1
Stage 2 Platoon blocked, %	350	(a) = - 1	10 P =		1.2.4	
Mov Cap-1 Manel		315	-		748	
Mov Cap-1 Maneu Mov Cap-2 Maneu			-	2.1	/40	1. 68
Stage 1	338	-	-			
Stage 2	350	20 R.		이나는 :코레	(11) E.	
Stage 2	330	un in	ALC: NO			
	10000000			102 AU		
Approach	WB	10.5	NB		SB	
HCM Control Dela			0		0.1	
HCM LOS	F					3
	1626				64.51	
Minor Lane/Major	Mvmt	NBT	NBR	BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-		748	
HCM Lane V/C Ra	atio	-		0.310		-
HCM Control Dela				63.3	9.8	0
HCM Lane LOS	,	-	-	F	A	Ă
HCM 95th %tile Q	(veh)			1.2	0	
					_	

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes

2: North Hill Lane & Pattycake Learning Center

Peak AM Hour 03/16/2021

	۶	-+	-		- \	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्भ	ţ.		Y		
Traffic Volume (vph)	9	5	5	2	1	19	
Future Volume (vph)	9	5	5	2	1	19	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	11	12	10	12	
Grade (%)		-2%	2%		0%		이 같아요~ 그 이 것은 것은 것이 많은 같이 같았어?
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt			0.966		0.871	25257	
Flt Protected		0.970			0.998		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1773	1673	0	1468	0	
Flt Permitted		0.970			0.998		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1773	1673	0	1468	0	
Link Speed (mph)		30	30		30		
Link Distance (ft)		122	105		66		
Travel Time (s)		2.8	2.4		1.5		
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	
Adj. Flow (vph)	10	6	6	2	1	22	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	16	8	0	23	0	
Enter Blocked Intersecti		No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	Right	NEW REAL PROPERTY OF A SECOND
Median Width(ft)		0	0		10		
Link Offset(ft)		0	0		0		
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16	16		16		
Two way Left Turn Lane	0.0		1.87.7			1200	Rude to conserve part experied
Headway Factor	0.99	0.99	1.06	1.01	1.09	1.00	
Turning Speed (mph)	15	(H.)	1.100	9	15	9	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	, i	
Intersection Summary	"hogio	1. 1. 1. 1.	125	1000	1-25	1001.515	The star and the start with the start
Area Tura	11						

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

Intersection			1. S. M. P.			
Int Delay, s/veh	5.8					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configuration	ns	÷.	¢Î		¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9		5		1	19
Future Vol, veh/h	9	5	5	2	1	19
Conflicting Peds, #	#/hr 0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	100-	None	0.5	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Sto	rage,-	# 0	0	-	0	
Grade, %	-	-2	2	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88	88
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	10	6	6	2	1	22
Major/Minor M	lajor1	M	lajor2	M	inor2	
Conflicting Flow Al		0	-	0	33	7
Stage 1	-	U		0	7	-
Stage 2				1	26	-
Critical Hdwy	4.15			LOS NO	6.45	6 25
Critical Hdwy Stg 1					5.45	0.20
Critical Hdwy Stg 2					5.45	i i i i
Follow-up Hdwy 2					3.545	2 245
Pot Cap-1 Maneuv						1067
Stage 1	6093	-			1008	1007
Stage 2						-
Platoon blocked, %			5. .		909	
Mov Cap-1 Maneu			1.00		007	1007
Mov Cap-1 Maneuv		10.15	-	-		1067
	_	-		-	967	
Stage 1		0.015			1002	
Stage 2	-		•	-	989	
Approach	EB		WB		SB	100
HCM Control Delay	1, 4.7	162.21	0		8.5	
					Α	
HCM LOS				d Kinese	nain.	100
HCM LOS						
	Aurost	EDI	COT			
Minor Lane/Major N		EBL		WBT		
Minor Lane/Major M Capacity (veh/h)		1593	아이들이	<u>ि</u> (भ)	22	1062
Minor Lane/Major M Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Rat	tio (1 593).006		-	- -(1062).021
Minor Lane/Major M Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Rat HCM Control Delay	tio (1593).006 7.3	- 0	-	- -(-	1062).021 8.5
Minor Lane/Major M Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Rat	tio ((s)	1 593).006		-	- -(1062).021

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

	-		1	1	×	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		¢Î			ب ا	
Traffic Volume (vph)	26	8	921	13	0	826	Contract of the second states of the
Future Volume (vph)	26	8	921	13	0	826	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	에 가는 방법을 위한 다시 것을 가지 않는다. 것을 많은 것
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	13	12	12	12	
Grade (%)	3%		0%	Sec. 1	S. A.B.	0%	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.969		0.998	11 1 1 1 1 1 1	de la ma		Report Description of Second Second
Flt Protected	0.963						
Satd. Flow (prot)	1663	0	1866	0	0	1810	
Flt Permitted	0.963						
Satd. Flow (perm)	1663	0	1866	0	0	1810	
Link Speed (mph)	30		40			40	
Link Distance (ft)	122		242			300	الهاتين وأساف تدروق ومروو الطعاني وتراديهم الخ
Travel Time (s)	2.8		4.1			5.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	NUMBER OF STREET, STRE
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	
Adj. Flow (vph)	27	8	969	14	0	869	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	35	0	983	0	0	869	
Enter Blocked Intersecti	on No	No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left	
Median Width(ft)	12		0			0	
Link Offset(ft)	0		0	1.5 11-	12100	0	NAMES OF A DESIGN AND A DESIGN
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane	-					111-20	
Headway Factor	1.02	1.02	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Furning Speed (mph)	15	9	145 5.7	9	15	Cines.	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summany		1.42		1.00	121123		

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes <u>1: U.S. Route 9W & North Hill Lane</u>

				_		
Intersection	1915	10.0	1251	100	1	. 000 T
Int Delay, s/veh	1.8				10000	
		W/BP	NRT	NBR	SBI	SPT
Lane Configuration		VOR			ODL	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	26	8	921	13	0	-
Future Vol, veh/h	26	8	921	13	0	
•		0			-	826
Conflicting Peds, #			• 0	0	0	0
				Free		
RT Channelized		None	-	None		None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-		-
Veh in Median Stor			0			0
Grade, %	3	×	0	÷		0
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %		5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	27	8	969	14	0	869
Major/Minor Mi	inor1	M	lajor1	N	cior?	-
Conflicting Flow All	AT LEVEL AND A		0		ajor2 983	0
			U	0		
Stage 1	976	1.1	- -	11 (1	w v e	t de
Stage 2	869	-	-	-	-	
the set of	7.05	6.55	de e	-	4.15	1817
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			- 11 -	-	1112	10.0
Follow-up Hdwy 3				- 2	2.245	5
Pot Cap-1 Maneuve		277	10.8	-	691	
Stage 1	307	-		-		
Stage 2	351	-	-	1.58	- ÷	-
Platoon blocked, %			8	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuv	er59	277			691	1.00
Mov Cap-2 Maneuv	er59	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	307	1.1.1	21121		1.1	10 m
	351	-	2		2	-
and the second second			17.10			
Annanak	14/17	-	NO		00	
Approach	WB		NB		SB	V D. D.
HCM Control Delay		193	0		0	
HCM LOS	F					
					1.14	1200
Minor Lane/Major M	lymt	NRT	NBR	BI n1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	CVALUE, S		-	_	691	-
HCM Lane V/C Rati	•	-).497	Contract of the second second	
		·••);			-	: - :
HCM Control Delay	(3)			96.7	0	*
HCM Lane LOS	a h \	-	-	F	A	
HCM 95th %tile Q(v	en)	- H	•	2	0	

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes

2: North Hill Lane & Pattycake Learning Center ۶

	۶	-	-		×	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		ب اً	4Î		Y	
Traffic Volume (vph)	8	5	9	0	1	24
Future Volume (vph)	8	5	9	0	1	24
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	11	12	10	12
Grade (%)		-2%	2%	121516	0%	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt					0.870	
Flt Protected		0.971			0.998	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1775	1732	0	1466	0
Flt Permitted		0.971			0.998	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1775	1732	0	1466	0
Link Speed (mph)		30	30		30	
Link Distance (ft)		122	105		66	
Travel Time (s)		2.8	2.4		1.5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Adj. Flow (vph)	9	6	10	0	1	27
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	15	10	0	28	0
Enter Blocked Intersectio	n No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0	0	,	10	0
Link Offset(ft)		0	0		0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16	16		16	
Two way Left Turn Lane						
Headway Factor	0.99	0.99	1.06	1.01	1.09	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15			9	15	9
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary	1.1.		di si i	a Seat	1	

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 No-Build Traffic Volumes 2: North Hill Lane & Pattycake Learning Center

						_
Intersection	Lins		2.0	1023		180
Int Delay, s/veh	5.8					
Movement	EBL	FRT	W/RT	WBR	SBI	SPP
Lane Configurations	and the second second					SDR
		र्च	1		4	04
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	5	9		1	
Future Vol, veh/h	8	5	9		1	24
Conflicting Peds, #/h		0	0	0	0	
				Free		
RT Channelized		None	•	None	•	None
Storage Length	-		-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Stora	ige, i	¥ 0	0		0	1.1
Grade, %	-	-2	2	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88	88
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mymt Flow	-	6	10	0	1	27
www.inter.iow		U	10	U	12.17	21
Major/Minor Maj	jor1	M	lajor2	M	inor2	4 F 24
Conflicting Flow All	10	0	-	0	34	10
Stage 1	-	1991. .	1.1.2	1	10	100
Stage 2	-	-	-	_	24	-
	.15	1.51	-	STOLEN IN	6.45	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-		5.45	0.20
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-					
					5.45	-
Follow-up Hdwy 2.2		-	-	-2	3.545	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuvet	590	•		10.00		1063
Stage 1		-	π	-	1005	-
Stage 2		18 🕂			991	-
Platoon blocked, %		-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve	5 90	-			966	1063
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve		-	-	-	966	
Stage 1		0.520	0.072	1.1	999	-
Stage 2	-				991	-
oluge z	19975	1200		-	551	
NELS WERE SOLD SETTING	1011			50.00		H YER
	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, a	4 .5		0	Una g	8.5	1.11
HCM LOS					A	
		2 201			1.84	
	-		-			
Minor Lane/Major Mv	_		EBT	WBT V		
Capacity (veh/h)		590	- L		-	1059
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0	.006	-	-	-0	0.027
HCM Control Delay (s	s)	7.3	0		1	8.5
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	-	-	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(ve	h)	0	0.000	201	-	0.1
the sour source allo		v	157-110			0.1

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 Build Traffic Volumes 1: U.S. Route 9W & North Hill Lane

	1		†	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	Sam
Lane Configurations	Ý		ţ,			Ą	
Traffic Volume (vph)	24	12	775	17	12	755	
Future Volume (vph)	24	• 12	775	17	12	755	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	120
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	13	12	12	12	
Grade (%)	3%		0%			0%	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.954	1.	0.997			1.1.1.1	
Flt Protected	0.968					0.999	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1646	0	1864	0	0	1808	
Flt Permitted	0.968					0.999	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1646	0	1864	0	0	1808	
Link Speed (mph)	30		40			40	
Link Distance (ft)	122		242	- Evel		300	
Travel Time (s)	2.8		4.1			5.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	Υ.,
Adj. Flow (vph)	27	14	881	19	14	858	
Shared Lane Traffic (%))						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	41	0	900	0	0	872	
Enter Blocked Intersection	ion No	No	No	No	No	No	
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left	
Median Width(ft)	12		0	_		0	
Link Offset(ft)	0	i ser i	0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane	3					22182	6.188 st
Headway Factor	1.02	1.02	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9	59 61	9	15		Sec.
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary	100 - 100 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	100	272	7 . ATT 2 .		121121-	2/- 100

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 Build Traffic Volumes 1: U.S. Route 9W & North Hill Lane

Intersection	a de la com	133	2 contra	- 57	5.49%
Int Delay, s/veh 1.9					
Movement WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations		1+			÷,
Traffic Vol, veh/h 24	12	775	17	12	
Future Vol, veh/h 24		775	17	12	755
Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0		0	0	0	0
	Stop				
	None		None		None
Storage Length 0	and the second se		-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage0		0	5.54	- C'A	0
Grade, % 3		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor 88		88	88	88	88
		5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow 27	14	881	19	14	858
Major/Minor Minor1	N	lajor1	M	lajor2	1.150
Conflicting Flow All1777		0	0		0
Stage 1 891	-			000	-
Stage 2 886	-	-			-
0	6.55	-	T ALCON	4.15	8
	0.00	() = ()		4.10	
Critical Hdwy Stg 16.05	-		5	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 26.05	-		10.0 5	-	8
Follow-up Hdwy 3.545		-	-2	2.245	唐
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 66	313	-	•	742	10 C 8
Stage 1 341	-	-	-	-	÷
Stage 2 343	8. F	-	1000		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		2
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver64	313		-1_/=	742	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver64	-	-	14	-	2
Stage 1 329	i statiet		1.140	-	1. W. E.
Stage 2 343	-	-	1.41	-	-
	1.1		N. DY	Ex. M	NGE (
Approach WB	174	NB	1000	SB	100
HCM Control Delay78.7	A12.	0	-	0.2	11000
HCM LOS F			100	0.2	a star and a star
	1.6.2.0	141.5.		1.1	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRV			SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	1.1.4		87	742	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-		0.470	0.018	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		114	78.7	9.9	0
HCM Lane LOS		-	F	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	80.0.	1314	2	0.1	- 41

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 Build Traffic Volumes

2: Site Access Driveway/Pattycake Learning Center & North Hill Lane

Peak AM Hour 03/16/2021

	۶		\mathbf{r}	¥	-		1	1	1	1		4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	h.		4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	9	5	14	1	5	2	12	1	1	1	1	19
Future Volume (vph)	9	5	14	1	5	2	12	1	1	1	1	19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	12	12	11	12	12	12	12	12	10	12
Grade (%)	1000	-2%			2%			0%			0%	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.932			0.970			0.992			0.876	
Flt Protected		0.985			0.994			0.958			0.998	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1678	0	0	1670	0	0	1720	0	0	1477	0
Fit Permitted		0.985			0.994			0.958			0.998	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1678	0	0	1670	0	0	1720	0	0	1477	0
Link Speed (mph)		30			30		- 75	30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		122	12187	11 10	105		w.n.et	74	1		66	1. B. B.
Travel Time (s)		2.8			2.4			1.7			1.5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Adj. Flow (vph)	10	6	16	1	6	2	14	1	1	1	1	22
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	32	0	0	9	0	0	16	0	0	24	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	on No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Link Offset(ft)		0			0	173.00		0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane		10.00			NO.		103.00	100			States.	1.3.1.19
Headway Factor	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.01	1.06	1.01	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.09	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15	1022-001	9	15	Dis D ⁱ stan	9	15		9
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop	, v		Stop	v
Intersection Summary	1		10 10 1	1	- a	1 VAL	San M	1.1.1.1.1	41-5	Sec. De		172 M
Area Type: Of	ther											
N												

Area Type: Othe Control Type: Unsignalized

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh 5.3

Int Delay, s/ven	5.3													
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configuration	IS	4			4			4			4			
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	5	14	1	5	2	12			1	1	19		
Future Vol, veh/h	9	5	14	1	5	2	12	1	1	1	1	19		
Conflicting Peds, #		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0		1788 No. 1	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop		
RT Channelized	1.1		None	0.5		None	10		None	104		None		
Storage Length	-	-	1 4	2 4	-	-	-		-		-	2		
Veh in Median Stor	age, #	# 0		1. 1.	0	-	-	0	100 4	0 - 1 -	0	-		
Grade, %	-	-2	-	200	2	: ` ₩	-	0	-	- ¥	0	-		
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88		
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Mvmt Flow	10	6	16	1	6	2	14	1	1	1	1	22		
		χ.												
Major/Minor M	ajor1	5 Dis	N	lajor2	122	N	linor1	10-13	N	linor2	141-5-5	-21-34		EUR
Conflicting Flow All	8	0	0	22	0	0	55	44	14	44	51	7		
Stage 1	1	-	18.1	-		-	34	34	-	9	9			
Stage 2		-			-		21	10	-	35	42	-		
Critical Hdwy	4.15	111	8	4.15		-	7.15	6.55	6.25	7.15	6.55	6.25		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-		-		-		6.15	5.55	-	6.15	5.55	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	- Sec.	-		-	-	6.15	5.55		6.15	5.55	1921		
Follow-up Hdwy 2	.245	-	- 2	2.245		- ;	3.545	4.045	3.345	3.545	4.045	3.345		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuve	\$593	-	-	1574	•	12.2	935	842	1057	951	835	1067		
Stage 1	-	-	0.50			-	974	861	-	1004	882	-		
Stage 2	19	-		-		- 10	990	881	-	973	854	1954		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		75									
Nov Cap-1 Maneuv	lei 93	-	0.0-	1574	ā.≓.€.	-	911	836	1057	944	829	1067		
Nov Cap-2 Maneuv	ver -	-	-	-	-	-	911	836	-	944	829			
Stage 1	3.54	1.1		286 E		-	968	856	- 15	998	881	No.		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-		-	968	880	-	965	849	-		
14 (A. 13 2 2 4 6								565						
Approach	FR	S	- 22- 2	W/R	1000	1000 3	NR	State of	- The state	CD	1000			1000

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control D	elay, 2 .3	0.9	9	8.5	
HCM LOS			А	Α	
	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP				and the second se

Minor Lane/Major Mvm	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	BLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)	914	1593	10,4	-	1574		-	1046	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.017	0.006	-	- (0.001	-	-	0.023	
HCM Control Delay (s)	9	7.3	0	101 -	7.3	0	-	8.5	NAME OF A DESCRIPTION OF A
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	Α	-	Α	Α	-	Α	2
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	0	d <u>a</u> -	-	0		- 10	0.1	

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 Build Traffic Volumes 1: U.S. Route 9W & North Hill Lane

	×		†	-	1	Ļ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		ţ,			ų
Traffic Volume (vph)	41	20	921	29	13	826
Future Volume (vph)	41	20	921	29	13	826
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	13	12	12	12
Grade (%)	3%		0%	STUDY	101	0%
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	0.956	- and	0.996	1111		
Flt Protected	0.967					0.999
Satd. Flow (prot)	1648	0	1862	0	0	1808
Flt Permitted	0.967					0.999
Satd. Flow (perm)	1648	0	1862	0	0	1808
Link Speed (mph)	30		40			40
Link Distance (ft)	122		242			300
Travel Time (s)	2.8		4.1			5.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	21	969	31	14	869
Shared Lane Traffic (%)					
Lane Group Flow (vph)	64	0	1000	0	0	883
Enter Blocked Intersect	tion No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left
Median Width(ft)	12		0	-		0
Link Offset(ft)	0		0		1.7	0
Crosswalk Width(ft)	16		16			16
Two way Left Turn Land	е	201 J.B				
Headway Factor	1.02	1.02	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	9	2 1 1	9	15	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Intersection Summon	11 20		THE OWNER WHEN	× / 1		

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Other

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

Intersection	1.11.2		141	520	10.35	
Int Delay, s/veh	5.7		1			
Movement		WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configuratio			4Î			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	41		921		13	
Future Vol, veh/h	41		921		13	
Conflicting Peds, a			0		0	
Sign Control		Stop				
RT Channelized	3.8.	None	•	None	1.10	None
Storage Length	0		-	-	-	: 1
Veh in Median Sto			0			
Grade, %	3		0		-	-
Peak Hour Factor			95		95	
Heavy Vehicles, %			5		5	5
Mvmt Flow	43	21	969	31	14	869
Major/Minor N	Ainor1	M	lajor1	M	lajor2	SUF MT
Conflicting Flow A	11882		0		1000	0
Stage 1	985		-	-		
Stage 2	897	-		-		-
Critical Hdwy	7.05	6.55	-	1972	4.15	5.0 - 8
Critical Hdwy Stg		-	-		-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	26.05		77.88	2 2 4	1.12	S 11
Follow-up Hdwy		3.345	-	-7	2.245	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuv				-	681	-
Stage 1	303	-	-		-	-
Stage 2	339	1.17	11 -			-
Platoon blocked, %	6			-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneu	ver54	274	-		681	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneu		-	а 		-	
Stage 1	291	5.8 24	-	121-5	3	-
Stage 2	339	-				
					287	inst Pro
Approach	WB	-	NB		SB	2 82 8
HCM Control Delay			0		0.2	-
HCM LOS	yos.5 F		U		0.2	a grant of
	E.				C N 4	
THE STREET WAS WAS	11.244.643					
Minor Lane/Major M	Mvmt	NBT	NBRV	BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)			87 S # 3	73	681	
ICM Lana MIC Da	tio	-	-	0.88	0.02	-
HCM Lane V/C Ra						
HCM Control Delay	(s)		-1	169.5	10.4	0
		-	- 1 -	169.5 F 4.4	10.4 B 0.1	0 A

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

2023 Build Traffic Volumes

2: Site Access Driveway/Pattycake Learning Center & North Hill Lane

Peak PM Hour 03/16/2021

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	-		1	1	1	1	+	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			\$	2010/10/020
Traffic Volume (vph)	8	5	29	2	9	0	27	2	2	1	2	24
Future Volume (vph)	8	5	29	2	9	0	27	2	2	1	2	24
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	12	12	11	12	12	12	12	12	10	12
Grade (%)		-2%	15000		2%	1.1.1.1		0%			0%	128 24
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.907				ST The		0.992		ALTER OF	0.878	
Flt Protected		0.991			0.992			0.958			0.998	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1643	0	0	1718	0	0	1720	0	0	1480	0
Flt Permitted		0.991			0.992			0.958	570	1075	0.998	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1643	0	0	1718	0	0	1720	0	0	1480	0
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		122			105			74			66	
Travel Time (s)		2.8			2.4			1.7			1.5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Adj. Flow (vph)	9	6	33	2	10	0	31	2	2	1	2	27
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							11006.01					
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	48	0	0	12	0	0	35	0	0	30	0
Enter Blocked Intersectio	n No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			0	10151 0 11551	100-00.00	0	0.00104
Link Offset(ft)		0		125-	0		S. S.	0	65 U MUS	1281	0	67 - N
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane							S 23.					1.371
Headway Factor	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.01	1.06	1.01	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.09	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15	1.11.6.	9	15		9	15	G-161	9	15	1000	9
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary		Can St		A HOLE T			100	13			175.,	1.5
Area Type: Ot	her											

Control Type: Unsignalized

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

		_							_						_
Intersection	- Elly		n , an s	1.1		T AU D	0.50	12-1-2	19.21	1.2	. Taklet	2.1	Sec. 1	. 1 29	0R
Int Delay, s/veh	5.3														
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	100	i të t	593
Lane Configurations	3	4			4			4	the second se		4				_
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	5	29	2	9	0	27	2		1	2	24		MIRS-	31
Future Vol, veh/h	8	5	29	2	9	0	27	2		1	2				
Conflicting Peds, #/h	hr O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Sign Control F	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop			
RT Channelized		-	None	-	- 10	None	100		None	-		None			
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Veh in Median Stora	ige, #	0		- 1	0	-	1.24	0	1.0		0				8.
Grade, %	-	-2	-	-	2	-	-	0	-	-	0	-			
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88		15111	
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		5	5	5			
Mvmt Flow	9	6	33	2	10	0	31	2	2	1	2	27			
Major/Minor Ma	jor1		N	lajor2	1	N	linor1	215.2	M	linor2	1943.5	YES-2	2 2 3	125	-
Conflicting Flow All	10	0	0	39	0	0	70	55	23	57	71	10			
Stage 1	-				374		41	41	- 10	14	14				
Stage 2	-	-	_		-	-	29	14	-	43	57	-			
Critical Hdwy 4	.15			4.15		-	7.15	6.55	6.25	7.15		6.25			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-			-	-	6.15	5.55	-		5.55	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-				6.15		-1-2			15 82-1	1. 17	- North	
Follow-up Hdwy 2.2	245		-2	2.245		-3	3.5454		3.3453			3.345			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuvel	590		-	1552		1.12	915		1045	933		1063		Noise	
Stage 1	-		-		-	-	966	855	_	998	878	-			
Stage 2	0-1 <u>+</u> 1-1				EM P		980	878	-	964	841	1 RPC _ 1			
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-										
Nov Cap-1 Maneuvle	i90	가운	-	1552	100	-	885	824	1045	924	808	1063			
Nov Cap-2 Maneuve		÷.					885	824	-	924	808	-			
Stage 1	-				100	-	960	850	No	992	877	-			
Stage 2	-	8		÷.	-		951	877	-	954	836	-			
													2 34		
Approach	EB	UT USA	1 8 100	WB	120	96-19	NB	-	With the	SB	-		1.00		
ICM Control Delay,	9 .4		1.1	1.3		1.5.1	9.2		1911	8.6	20.00	-	A. Carlos	100	
HCM LOS							A			A			1.		
uitana, se su s		4	5 72	100	U.S.		1.09.0			N.S.	5151	1418			E.
Minor Lane/Major Mv	mNB	Ln1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBRS	BLn1		1	19.0°	24 JUL		-
Capacity (veh/h)		890		-		1552	-		1033			1. 1	15-1		
ICM Lane V/C Ratio		0.040		-		.001	-	- 12240							
ICM Control Delay (9.2	7.3	0	23.4	7.3	0		8.6	1.500		1. 00			50
ICM Lane LOS	,	A	A	Ă	-	A	Ă	- 1	A		and the second second			1.00	
		makes in													

0.1

.

Job# 21001250A - R.H.

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0.1

0

-

-

0

Stormwater Management Report

HSC Balmville, LLC

Proposed Retail Development

US Route 9W & N. Hill Lane Town of Newburgh Orange County, NY

February 25, 2021

Prepared by:



17 Computer Drive West, Albany, NY 12205 Phone: (518) 438-9900 Fax: (518) 438-0900

www.bohlereng.com

No. B190123

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

Ι	Intro	ductionPage 2
II	Exist	ing Stormwater ConditionsPage 2
	A: B:	Existing storm-water conditions summary Existing Watershed Plan
III	Prop	osed Stormwater ConditionsPage 3
	A: B: C:	Proposed Stormwater Conditions Summary Proposed Watershed Plan Conclusion
	D: E:	Pre and Post Development Flow Rate Comparison Table Hydrographs for the 1, 10, 25 and 100 year storm events
	F:	NRCS Soils Data

I. <u>Introduction</u>

HSC Balmville, LLC is proposing to purchase a 2.2+/- acre parcel to incorporate the construction of a 9,100+/- sq. ft. Retail Store. The site is located at the south east corner of intersection of US Route 9W & North Hill Lane, in the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York.

Proposed features include landscaping, lighting, paved parking and access drives, trash enclosure, utilities and storm water management practices as shown on the Site Plan drawings prepared by Bohler Engineering MA, LLC.

This report will briefly discuss the proposed site development and provide a detailed analysis of the existing and proposed site conditions and the proposed stormwater management system. Hydraulic calculations included in this report were generated for the 1, 10, 25 and 100 year storm events utilizing the SCS TR-20 and HydroCad Stormwater modeling software. Rainfall data provided within the Town Code was used for all HydroCad modeling.

II. Existing Stormwater Conditions Summary:

The 2.2 \pm acre parcel is currently undeveloped with woodlands in the north west corner and along the southern boundary of the site. A large portion of the site is covered in wetlands and has various brush/ scrub ground cover.

The topography of the site is sloped such that stormwater flows south and easterly from a high point of the site in the north west corner of the lot into on-site Federal Wetlands.

The existing project site was analyzed as one watershed area, designated as Watershed Area E-1.

Watershed Area E-1 is comprised of approximately 2.7 acres of the undeveloped existing site described above along with existing impervious cover from a portion of NYS Route 9W and North Hill Lame. Stormwater from E-1 travels northeast via overland and shallow concentrated flow towards an existing swale leading to an existing culvert, which has been designed as the design point, before running under North Hill Lane.

The existing watershed area and topography are illustrated on the Existing Watershed Plan included on the next page of this report.



WATERSHED AREAS	TOTAL	HSG D
WATERSHED AREAS	TOTAL	HSG D
WATERSHED AREA E-1 -11	6,327 SQ. FT.	
WOODED — 4	5,788 SQ. FT.	45,788 SQ. FT.
WOOD/GRASS COMBO - 5	9,481 SQ. FT.	59,481 SQ. FT.
IMPERVIOUS 1	1,058 SQ. FT.	11,058 SQ. FT.

III. Proposed Stormwater Conditions Summary:

The proposed development is designed to mimic the existing drainage patterns and reduce the discharge flow rate from the pre-developed to postdeveloped condition.

The proposed project site was analyzed as one watershed with two sub catchment areas designated as Watershed Area P-1A and P-1B. The watersheds have unique flow paths and therefore have been analyzed individually.

Watershed Area P-1A is approximately 0.40 acres comprised of impervious material such as drives, walks and parking areas along with seeded or sodded grass areas used for stormwater management.

Stormwater from this area travels via overland flow to a catch basin leading to the underground treatment system for pretreatment.

Once stormwater reaches the underground detention system it enters a isolator row, similar to the rest of the underground storm system but wrapped in filter fabric to prevent any sediment from leaving the row of chambers. From there hydrostatic pressure pushes the water through the filter fabric and voids in the stone to the detention chambers at an equal rate effectively pretreating all stormwater as it flows through the isolator row

The underground chambers detain stormwater and contains an outlet control structure that allows stormwater to flow to the existing wetlands at a reduced rate before traveling on to the Design Point.

Watershed Area P-1B is approximately 2.3 acre comprised of existing woodlands, brush, and scrub areas along with impervious areas from the building and existing and proposed pavement. Stormwater from this area travels via overland and shallow concentrated flow directly towards design point 1.

The proposed watershed area and topography are illustrated on the Proposed Watershed Plan included on the next page of this report.



WATERSHED AREAS	TOTAL	HSG D
WATERSHED AREA P-1A - GREENSPACE	1,681 SQ. FT.	1,681 SQ. FT. 15,819 SQ. FT.
WATERSHED AREA P-1B - WOODED	6,791 SQ. FT. 54,748 SQ. FT. 22,731 SQ. FT.	6,791 SQ. FT. 54,748 SQ. FT. 22,731 SQ. FT. 14,557 SQ. FT.

Conclusion:

The proposed development has been designed to release stormwater at a rate not to exceed pre-development conditions for each storm event. The facility is designed to provide stormwater detention storage and discharge control.

The reduced flow rate from the site ensures no negative effects from the proposed development on any down stream culverts.

The proposed stormwater management system as designed will serve to mitigate the effects of the development of the parcel, such that the proposed use will not adversely affect any downstream or adjacent properties.

WATERSHED 1 - PRE-DEVELOPMENT AND POST DEVELOPMENT STORM WATER DISCHARGE COMPARISON TABLE						
STORM EVENT	PRE-DEVELOPMENTPOST-DEVELOPMENT% REDUCTIONPEAK DISCHARGE (CFS)PEAK DISCHARGE (CFS)PRE TO POST					
1 YEAR	3.26	3.11	4.6%			
10 YEAR	8.91	8.57	3.8%			
25 YEAR	11.17	10.72	4.0%			
100 YEAR	14.56	14.08	3.3%			



Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.254	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG D (E-1)
1.051	79	Woods, Fair, HSG D (E-1)
1.365	82	Woods/grass comb., Fair, HSG D (E-1)
2.671	82	TOTAL AREA

Summary for Subcatchment E-1: Existing Watershed 1

Runoff 3.26 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.289 af, Depth= 1.30" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.90"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
	45,788	79	Woods, Fair	r, HSG D		ļ
	59,481	82	Woods/gras	ss comb., F	air, HSG D	ļ
	11,058	98	Unconnecte	<u>ed pavemer</u>	nt, HSG D	ļ
1	16,327	82	Weighted A	verage		ļ
	05,269		90.49% Per			
	11,058		9.51% Impe			ļ
	11,058		100.00% Ur	nconnected	1	ļ
Тс	Length	Slope			Description	
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)		ļ
0.3	23	0.0550	0 1.53		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway	
					Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"	
9.0	77	0.1060	0.14		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods	
4.0	450	0.0704			Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"	
1.0	158	0.2700) 2.60		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Woo	ods
2.2	207	0.0114	1 59		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps	
<i>∠.</i> ∠	207	0.0111	1 1.58		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Wat Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps	terway
12.5	465	Total				



Subcatchment E-1: Existing Watershed 1

Summary for Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.671 ac,	9.51% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 1.30)" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	3.26 cfs @	12.18 hrs, Volume	= 0.289 af	
Outflow	=	3.26 cfs @	12.18 hrs, Volume	= 0.289 af, <i>F</i>	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point

Page 6

Runoff 8.91 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.786 af, Depth= 3.53" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.50"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
	45,788	79	Woods, Fair	r, HSG D		ļ
	59,481		Woods/gras			ļ
	11,058	98	Unconnecte	<u>∍d pavemer</u>	nt, HSG D	ļ
	16,327	82	Weighted A	verage		
	05,269		90.49% Per			
	11,058		9.51% Impe			
	11,058		100.00% Ur	nconnected	1	l
Tc	Length	Slope			Description	
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	, , ,	(cfs)		ļ
0.3	23	0.0550	0 1.53		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway	ļ
0.0		C 4004			Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"	
9.0	77	0.1060	0.14		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods	
1.0	150	0.0700			Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"	- 1-
1.0	158	0.2700	0 2.60		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Woo Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps	Jas
2.2	207	0.0111	1 1.58		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Wate	orway
<i>L.L</i>	201	0.0111	1.00		Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps	erway
12.5	465	Total				



Subcatchment E-1: Existing Watershed 1

Summary for Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.671 ac,	9.51% Impervious, Inflow	v Depth = 3.53"	for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	8.91 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.786 af	
Outflow	=	8.91 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.786 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point
Summary for Subcatchment E-1: Existing Watershed 1

Runoff = 11.17 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.990 af, Depth= 4.45"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.50"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	45,788	79	Woods, Fair	r, HSG D		ļ		
	59,481	82	Woods/gras	ss comb., F	[;] air, HSG D	ļ		
	11,058	98	Unconnecte	<u>ed pavemer</u>	nt, HSG D	ļ		
1	16,327	82	Weighted A	verage		ļ		
	05,269		90.49% Per					
	11,058		9.51% Impe					
	11,058		100.00% Ur	nconnected	1	l		
Тс	Length	Slope			Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)		ļ		
0.3	23	0.0550	0 1.53		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway			
					Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"			
9.0	77	0.1060	0.14		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods			
4.0	450	0.0704			Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"			
1.0	158	0.2700) 2.60		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Woo	ods		
2.2	207	0.0114	1 59		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
<i>∠.</i> ∠	207	0.0111	1 1.58		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Wat Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps	terway		
12.5	465	Total						



Subcatchment E-1: Existing Watershed 1

Summary for Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point

Inflow Area	=	2.671 ac,	9.51% Impervious, I	nflow Depth = 4.45"	for 25-Year event
Inflow :	=	11.17 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.990 af	
Outflow =	=	11.17 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.990 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point

Summary for Subcatchment E-1: Existing Watershed 1

Runoff = 14.56 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 1.304 af, Depth= 5.86"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.00"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
	45,788	79	Woods, Fai	/oods, Fair, HSG D						
	59,481	82	Woods/gras	ss comb., F	[:] air, HSG D					
	11,058	98	Unconnecte	<u>ed pavemer</u>	nt, HSG D					
1	16,327		Weighted A							
	05,269		90.49% Per							
	11,058		9.51% Impe							
	11,058		100.00% Ur	nconnected	ł					
Та	l on ath	Cland	Valaaitu	Consoitu	Description					
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)		Capacity (cfs)	Description					
<i>i</i>			, , ,	(03)		ļ				
0.3	23	0.0550) 1.53		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway					
					Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"	l				
9.0	77	0.1060	0.14		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods	l				
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"					
1.0	158	0.2700	2.60		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Wo	oods				
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps					
2.2	207	0.0111	1 1.58		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Wa	aterway				
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps					
12.5	465	Total								



Subcatchment E-1: Existing Watershed 1

Summary for Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point

Inflow Area	=	2.671 ac,	9.51% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 5.8	6" for 100-Year event
Inflow =	=	14.56 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume	= 1.304 af	
Outflow =	=	14.56 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume	= 1.304 af, <i>i</i>	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Reach E-DP: EX. Design Point



Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area	CN	Description	
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)	
0.373	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D (P-1A, P-1B)	
0.363	98	Paved parking, HSG D (P-1A)	
0.522	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG D (P-1B)	
0.156	79	Woods, Fair, HSG D (P-1B)	
1.257	82	Woods/grass comb., Fair, HSG D (P-1B)	
2.671	87	TOTAL AREA	

Summary for Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A

Runoff = 1.08 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.082 af, Depth= 2.45"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.90"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	15,819	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)		
	1,681	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D		
	17,500	96	Weighted A	verage			
	1,681	9	9.61% Perv	ious Area			
	15,819	9	90.39% Imp	pervious Ar	ea		
Та	ما به مربعا	Clana	Volesity	Consolity	Description		
Tc	Length	Slope	,	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
6.0					Direct Entry, Direct		

Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A



Summary for Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

Runoff = 2.92 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.258 af, Depth= 1.37"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.90"

A	vrea (sf)	CN	Adj Des	scription				
	6,791	79	Woods, Fair, HSG D					
	54,748	82			omb., Fair, HSG D			
	22,731	98			avement, HSG D			
	14,557	80	>75	<mark>َنَ Grass co</mark>	over, Good, HSG D			
	98,827	85			age, UI Adjusted			
	76,096			.00% Perviou				
	22,731			.00% Impervi				
	22,731		100	0.00% Uncon	inected			
Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	y Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)						
0.3	23	0.0550	· · · · · ·	//	Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway			
					Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"			
9.0	77	0.1060	0.14	4	Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods			
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"			
1.0	158	0.2700	2.60	J	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Woods			
0.0	007	0.0444	4 5	~	Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
2.2	207	0.0111	1.58	ذ	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Waterway			
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps			
12.5	465	Total						



Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

Summary for Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.671 ac, 33.14% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.49" for 1-Year event	
Inflow	=	3.11 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.332 af	
Outflow	=	3.11 cfs $\textcircled{0}$ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.332 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min	

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

Summary for Pond UG-DET: UG Detention

Inflow Area =	0.402 ac, 90.39% Impervious, Inflow De	epth = 2.45" for 1-Year event
Inflow =	1.08 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.082 af
Outflow =	0.21 cfs @ 12.52 hrs, Volume=	0.073 af, Atten= 81%, Lag= 26.1 min
Primary =	0.21 cfs @ 12.52 hrs, Volume=	0.073 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 279.66' @ 12.52 hrs Surf.Area= 1,858 sf Storage= 1,723 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 184.1 min calculated for 0.073 af (89% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 132.9 min (909.8 - 776.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	278.25'	1,700 cf	34.75'W x 53.46'L x 3.50'H Field A
			6,502 cf Overall - 2,251 cf Embedded = 4,251 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	278.75'	2,251 cf	ADS_StormTech SC-740 +Cap x 49 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
			7 Rows of 7 Chambers
		3,951 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	278.75'	12.0" Round Culvert
			L= 25.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 278.75' / 278.25' S= 0.0200 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf
#2	Device 1	278.75'	3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#3	Device 1	279.75'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#4	Device 1	281.00'	12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
			Limited to weir flow at low heads
#5	Primary	282.15'	2.5' long x 2.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00
			Coef. (English) 2.48 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.64 2.65 2.68 2.75 2.74
			2.76 2.89 3.05 3.19 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=0.21 cfs @ 12.52 hrs HW=279.66' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-**1=Culvert** (Passes 0.21 cfs of 1.93 cfs potential flow)

2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.21 cfs @ 4.27 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

4=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Pond UG-DET: UG Detention



Summary for Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A

Runoff = 2.13 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.168 af, Depth= 5.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.50"

A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description					
	15,819	98 I	Paved park	ing, HSG D)			
	1,681	80 ;	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D			
	17,500	96	96 Weighted Average					
	1,681	ę	9.61% Perv	ious Area				
	15,819	ę	90.39% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
-				0				
Tc	Length	Slope		Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
6.0					Direct Entry, Direct			

Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A



Summary for Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

Page 10

Runoff 7.77 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.686 af, Depth= 3.63" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.50"

	Area (sf)	CN	Adj Des	Description					
	6,791	79	Wor	Noods, Fair, HSG D					
	54,748	82	Wor	ods/grass co	omb., Fair, HSG D				
	22,731	98			avement, HSG D				
	14,557	80	>75	% Grass co	over, Good, HSG D				
	98,827	85	83 Wei	ghted Avera	age, UI Adjusted				
	76,096		-	00% Perviou					
	22,731			00% Impervi					
	22,731		100	.00% Uncon	nnected				
-	"⇒ Longth	Clone	- Valaaity	Canadity	Description				
	C Length								
<u>(mi</u>			/ / /						
U	.3 23	0.0550) 1.53		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"				
o	.0 77	0.1060	0.14		Shooth surfaces h= 0.011 P2= 3.16 Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods				
9	0 11	0.1000	0.14		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"				
1	.0 158	0.2700	2.60	I	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Woods				
	0 .00	0.2100	2.00		Woodland $Kv=5.0$ fps				
2	.2 207	0.0111	1.58	,	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Waterway				
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps				
12	.5 465	Total							



Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

Summary for Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.671 ac, 33.14% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 3.8	30" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	8.57 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.846 af	
Outflow	=	8.57 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.846 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

Summary for Pond UG-DET: UG Detention

Inflow Area =	0.402 ac, 90.39% Impervious, Inflow D	epth = 5.03" for 10-Year event
Inflow =	2.13 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.168 af
Outflow =	0.86 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume=	0.160 af, Atten= 60%, Lag= 12.5 min
Primary =	0.86 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume=	0.160 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 280.37' @ 12.29 hrs Surf.Area= 1,858 sf Storage= 2,674 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 135.0 min calculated for 0.160 af (95% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 105.4 min (865.6 - 760.2)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	278.25'	1,700 cf	34.75'W x 53.46'L x 3.50'H Field A
			6,502 cf Overall - 2,251 cf Embedded = 4,251 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	278.75'	2,251 cf	ADS_StormTech SC-740 +Cap x 49 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
			7 Rows of 7 Chambers
		3,951 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	278.75'	12.0" Round Culvert
			L= 25.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 278.75' / 278.25' S= 0.0200 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf
#2	Device 1	278.75'	3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#3	Device 1	279.75'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#4	Device 1	281.00'	12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
			Limited to weir flow at low heads
#5	Primary	282.15'	2.5' long x 2.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00
			Coef. (English) 2.48 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.64 2.65 2.68 2.75 2.74
			2.76 2.89 3.05 3.19 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=0.86 cfs @ 12.29 hrs HW=280.37' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=Culvert (Passes 0.86 cfs of 3.16 cfs potential flow)

2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.29 cfs @ 5.89 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.57 cfs @ 2.93 fps)

4=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Pond UG-DET: UG Detention



Summary for Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A

Runoff = 2.53 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.202 af, Depth= 6.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.50"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	15,819	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)			
	1,681	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D			
	17,500		Weighted A					
	1,681	1	9.61% Perv	ious Area				
	15,819		90.39% Imp	pervious Ar	ea			
т	المربع مراجع	01.000	Valasita.	0	Description			
Tc	Length	Slope		Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
6.0					Direct Entry, Direct			

Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A



Summary for Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

Runoff 9.69 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.862 af, Depth= 4.56" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.50"

A	vrea (sf)	CN	Adj De	escription					
	6,791	79	W	Woods, Fair, HSG D					
	54,748	82	W	oods/grass c	comb., Fair, HSG D				
	22,731	98			pavement, HSG D				
	14,557	80	<u> </u>	<u>/5% Grass cc</u>	over, Good, HSG D				
	98,827	85			age, UI Adjusted				
	76,096			7.00% Perviou					
	22,731			3.00% Impervi					
	22,731		10	00.00% Uncor	nnected				
Тс	Length	Slope	e Veloci	ity Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)			•				
0.3	23	0.0550		_//	Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway				
		-		-	Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"				
9.0	77	0.1060) 0.1	14	Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods				
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"				
1.0	158	0.2700) 2.6	0ز	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Woods				
	~~~				Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps				
2.2	207	0.0111	1.5	38	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Waterway				
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps				
12.5	465	Total							



## Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

#### Summary for Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

Inflow Area	ı =	2.671 ac, 33.14% Impervious, Inflow Depth	= 4.74" for 25-Year event
Inflow	=	10.72 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 1.0	55 af
Outflow	=	10.72 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 1.0	55 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

#### Summary for Pond UG-DET: UG Detention

Inflow Area =	0.402 ac, 90.39% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 6.03" for 25-Year event
Inflow =	2.53 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.202 af
Outflow =	1.08 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= 0.193 af, Atten= 57%, Lag= 11.3 min
Primary =	1.08 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= 0.193 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 280.65' @ 12.27 hrs Surf.Area= 1,858 sf Storage= 3,017 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 124.0 min calculated for 0.193 af (96% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 98.5 min ( 855.0 - 756.5 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	278.25'	1,700 cf	34.75'W x 53.46'L x 3.50'H Field A
			6,502 cf Overall - 2,251 cf Embedded = 4,251 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	278.75'	2,251 cf	ADS_StormTech SC-740 +Cap x 49 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
			7 Rows of 7 Chambers
		3,951 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	278.75'	12.0" Round Culvert
			L= 25.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 278.75' / 278.25' S= 0.0200 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf
#2	Device 1	278.75'	3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#3	Device 1	279.75'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#4	Device 1	281.00'	12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
			Limited to weir flow at low heads
#5	Primary	282.15'	2.5' long x 2.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00
			Coef. (English) 2.48 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.64 2.65 2.68 2.75 2.74
			2.76 2.89 3.05 3.19 3.32

Primary OutFlow Max=1.08 cfs @ 12.27 hrs HW=280.65' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.08 cfs of 3.54 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.32 cfs @ 6.42 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.76 cfs @ 3.90 fps)

**4=Orifice/Grate** (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

#### Pond UG-DET: UG Detention



#### Summary for Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A

Runoff = 3.13 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.252 af, Depth= 7.52"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.00"

A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description				
	15,819	98 I	Paved park	ing, HSG D	)		
	1,681	80 ;	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D		
	17,500	96	Neighted A	verage			
	1,681	ę	9.61% Perv	ious Area			
	15,819	ę	90.39% Imp	pervious Ar	ea		
Tc (min)	5 1 5 1 5				Description		
6.0					Direct Entry, Direct		

## Subcatchment P-1A: Proposed Watershed P-1A



#### Summary for Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

Runoff = 12.57 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 1.130 af, Depth= 5.98"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.00"

	Area (sf)	CN	Adj Des	scription	
	6,791	79	Wor	ods, Fair, HS	SG D
	54,748	82	Wor	ods/grass co	omb., Fair, HSG D
	22,731	98			avement, HSG D
	14,557	80	>75	% Grass co	over, Good, HSG D
	98,827	85	83 Wei	ghted Avera	age, UI Adjusted
76,096 77.00% Pervious Area					
22,731 23.00% Impervious Area					
	22,731		100	.00% Uncon	nnected
	Ca Longth	Clone	- Valaaity	Canadity	Description
	Fc Length				
<u>(mi</u>			/ / /		
U	.3 23	0.0550	) 1.53		Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Roadway Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.16"
o	.0 77	0.1060	0.14		Shooth surfaces h= 0.011 P2= 3.16 Sheet Flow, Sheet Flow - Woods
9	0 11	0.1000	0.14		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.16"
1	.0 158	0.2700	2.60	I	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Woods
	0 .00	0.2100	2.00		Woodland $Kv=5.0$ fps
2	.2 207	0.0111	1.58	,	Shallow Concentrated Flow, Shallow Concentrated - Waterway
					Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
12	.5 465	Total			



## Subcatchment P-1B: Proposed Watershed P-1B

#### Summary for Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.671 ac, 33.14% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 6.17" for 100-Year event	
Inflow	=	4.08 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 1.373 af	
Outflow	=	4.08 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 1.373 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min	

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Reach PR.DP.: Prop. Design Point

#### Summary for Pond UG-DET: UG Detention

Inflow Area =	0.402 ac, 90.39% Impervious, Inflow D	epth = 7.52" for 100-Year event
Inflow =	3.13 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.252 af
Outflow =	1.66 cfs @12.21 hrs, Volume=	0.243 af, Atten= 47%, Lag= 7.6 min
Primary =	1.66 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume=	0.243 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 281.10' @ 12.21 hrs Surf.Area= 1,858 sf Storage= 3,462 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 112.2 min calculated for 0.243 af (97% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 90.8 min ( 843.2 - 752.4 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	278.25'	1,700 cf	34.75'W x 53.46'L x 3.50'H Field A
			6,502 cf Overall - 2,251 cf Embedded = 4,251 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	278.75'	2,251 cf	ADS_StormTech SC-740 +Cap x 49 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 44.6"W x 30.0"H => 6.45 sf x 7.12'L = 45.9 cf
			Overall Size= 51.0"W x 30.0"H x 7.56'L with 0.44' Overlap
			7 Rows of 7 Chambers
		3,951 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	278.75'	12.0" Round Culvert
	-		L= 25.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 278.75' / 278.25' S= 0.0200 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.010 PVC, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf
#2	Device 1	278.75'	3.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#3	Device 1	279.75'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#4	Device 1	281.00'	12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
			Limited to weir flow at low heads
#5	Primary	282.15'	2.5' long x 2.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00
			Coef. (English) 2.48 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.64 2.65 2.68 2.75 2.74
			2.76 2.89 3.05 3.19 3.32

**Primary OutFlow** Max=1.66 cfs @ 12.21 hrs HW=281.10' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.66 cfs of 4.06 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.35 cfs @ 7.18 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.99 cfs @ 5.05 fps)

-4=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.32 cfs @ 1.03 fps)

-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)







United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants Custom Soil Resource Report for Orange County, New York



## Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	
Soil Map	
Soil Map	
Legend	
Map Unit Legend	
Map Unit Descriptions	
Örange County, New York	
Du—Dumps	
ErA—Erie gravelly silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	13
MdD—Mardin gravelly silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	
PtB—Pittsfield gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	
Wd—Wayland soils complex, non-calcareous substratum, 0 to 3	
percent slopes, frequently flooded	18
References	
# **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

#### Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION		
Area of In	Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)		Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.		
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	۵ ۵	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.		
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	Ŷ	Wet Spot Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause		
Special	Soil Map Unit Points Point Features	~	Special Line Features	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of		
Special (2)	Blowout	Water Features		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.		
×	Borrow Pit Clay Spot	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map		
$\widehat{\diamond}$	Closed Depression	~	Rails Interstate Highways	measurements.		
×	Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot	~	US Routes	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)		
 ©	Landfill	~	Major Roads Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator		
٨.	Lava Flow	Backgrou		projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the		
ية 20	Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry		Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.		
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.		
0 ~	Perennial Water Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: Orange County, New York		
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 3, 2018		
:: =	Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.		
\$	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Oct 7, 2013—Feb 26,		
\$ Ø	Slide or Slip Sodic Spot			2017		
<u>199</u>				The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.		

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
Du	Dumps	0.5	21.2%	
ErA	Erie gravelly silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.8	33.6%	
MdD	Mardin gravelly silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	0.2	7.6%	
PtB	Pittsfield gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.1	2.9%	
Wd	Wayland soils complex, non- calcareous substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded	0.9	34.7%	
Totals for Area of Interest		2.5	100.0%	

# Map Unit Legend

# **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it

was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

# **Orange County, New York**

# Du—Dumps

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 9vv7 Elevation: 100 to 1,600 feet Mean annual precipitation: 42 to 52 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 135 to 215 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Dumps:* 80 percent *Minor components:* 20 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

## **Description of Dumps**

#### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 24 inches:* very gravelly silt loam *H2 - 24 to 70 inches:* variable

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

### Alden

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Bath

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Chenango

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Raynham

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# ErA—Erie gravelly silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

# Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9vv8

Mean annual precipitation: 42 to 52 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 135 to 215 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### Map Unit Composition

*Erie and similar soils:* 75 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Erie**

#### Setting

Landform: Drumlinoid ridges, hills, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Loamy till derived from siltstone, sandstone, shale, and limestone

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 10 inches: gravelly silt loam H2 - 10 to 18 inches: channery silt loam H3 - 18 to 56 inches: channery silt loam H4 - 56 to 70 inches: channery silt loam

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 10 to 21 inches to fragipan
Natural drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 6 to 18 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 15 percent
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 2.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3w Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: No

#### Minor Components

#### Bath

*Percent of map unit:* 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Alden

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Swartswood

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: No

#### Mardin

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Wurtsboro

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# MdD—Mardin gravelly silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2v30p Elevation: 330 to 2,460 feet Mean annual precipitation: 31 to 70 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 105 to 180 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

# Map Unit Composition

Mardin and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Mardin**

#### Setting

Landform: Hills, mountains Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, head slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Loamy till

# **Typical profile**

*Ap - 0 to 8 inches:* gravelly silt loam *Bw - 8 to 15 inches:* gravelly silt loam *E - 15 to 20 inches:* gravelly silt loam *Bx - 20 to 72 inches:* gravelly silt loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Percent of area covered with surface fragments: 0.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 14 to 26 inches to fragipan
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.14 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 13 to 24 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None

Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.6 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: No

#### Minor Components

#### Volusia

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Mountains, hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve, side slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Bath

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, mountains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, backslope, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Concave, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Lordstown

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, mountains Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

# PtB—Pittsfield gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9vw8 Elevation: 0 to 1,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 42 to 52 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 135 to 215 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Pittsfield and similar soils:* 75 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Pittsfield**

#### Setting

Landform: Drumlinoid ridges, hills, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Calcareous loamy till

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 10 inches: gravelly loam

H2 - 10 to 34 inches: gravelly loam

H3 - 34 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

### Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 15 percent
Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 8.5 inches)

# Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

# Minor Components

#### Bath

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Charlton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Hollis

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Mardin

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Paxton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# Wd—Wayland soils complex, non-calcareous substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2srgt Elevation: 160 to 1,970 feet Mean annual precipitation: 31 to 70 inches Mean annual air temperature: 43 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 105 to 180 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Wayland and similar soils: 60 percent Wayland, very poorly drained, and similar soils: 30 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Wayland**

#### Setting

Landform: Flood plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Silty and clayey alluvium derived from interbedded sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

Ap - 0 to 9 inches: silt loam Bg - 9 to 21 inches: silt loam Cg1 - 21 to 28 inches: silt loam Cg2 - 28 to 47 inches: silt loam Cg3 - 47 to 54 inches: silt loam Cg4 - 54 to 60 inches: silt loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 6 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 5 percent
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Very high (about 13.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 5w Hydrologic Soil Group: B/D Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### **Description of Wayland, Very Poorly Drained**

#### Setting

Landform: Flood plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Silty and clayey alluvium derived from interbedded sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 9 inches: mucky silt loam Bg - 9 to 21 inches: silt loam Cg1 - 21 to 28 inches: silt loam Cg2 - 28 to 47 inches: silt loam Cg3 - 47 to 54 inches: silt loam Cg4 - 54 to 60 inches: silt loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Very poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Frequency of ponding: Frequent
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 5 percent
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Very high (about 13.3 inches)

# Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 5w Hydrologic Soil Group: B/D Hydric soil rating: Yes

# Minor Components

#### Holderton

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Flood plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

# References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf





cs\MLESSARD\APPDATA\LOCAL\TEMP\ACPUBLISH_18364\B190123SS00----->LAYOUT.

WF HEPPARD 3. 1523 -7.2)				SITE CIVIL AND CONSULTING ENGINEERING LAND SURVEYING PROGRAM MANAGEMENT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE SUSTAINABLE DESIGN PERMITTING SERVICES	THE INFORMATION, DESIGN AND CONTENT OF THIS PLAN ARE PROPRIETARY AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED FOR ANY PURPOSE WITHOUT PROR WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION PROM BOHLER, ONLY APPROVED, SIGNED AND SEALED PLANS SHALL BE UTILIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES
		- F	F	REVISIONS	
		R	EV DATE	COMMENT	DRAWN BY CHECKED BY
			1 12/23/19 2 03/04/20	PER UTILITY COMPANY COMMENTS TOWN SUBMISSION	NCN
			3 05/13/20	PER CLIENT COMMENT	CVM NCN CVM
			4 02/07/21	TOWN SUBMITTAL	MDL
			5 03/03/21	PER TDE COMMENTS	MDL
		-			
<i>DRAINAGE EASEMENT</i> LANDS N/F STEVE CRISCI			AL It's fast	w what's <b>below.</b> Call before you dig. WAYS CALL 811 . It's free. It's the law	
BK. 2705 PG. 144 (TM# 24-4-1.21)			THIS DRAWING IS IN EVIEW AND APPROVA	ITENDED FOR MUNICIPAL AND/OR J AL. IT IS NOT INTENDED AS A CONS UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.	AGENCY STRUCTION
			PROJECT No.: DRAWN BY:		B190123 NCN
		D	CHECKED BY: DATE: CAD I.D.:		CVM /26/2019 123SS00
			PLAN BA U.S. ROU TOW OF STA	POSED SITE DOCUMEN FOR FOR HSC ALMVILLE, LLC PROPOSED EVELOPMENT TE 9W & N. HILL LA N OF NEWBURGH ANGE COUNTY TE OF NEW YORK	<b>TS</b>
			17 COM AL Phor Fax:	HLER PUTER DRIVE WES BANY, NY 12205 he: (518) 438-9900 (518) 438-0900 blerEngineering.c	т
6' C.L.F			W.D	. GOEBE	L
<u> </u>			MASSACHI RHODE CONNEI NEW HAM MA NEW YI FLOF	SSIONAL ENGINEER JSETTS LICENSE No. 42644- ISLAND LICENSE No. 7268 CTICUT LICENSE No. 21854 IPSHIRE LICENSE No. 0490 DRK LICENSE No. 071284-1 NDA LICENSE No. 66202	-C
<u>COM</u>	THIS PLAN TO BE UTILIZED FOR SITE GRADING PURPOSES ONLY			RADING & NAGE PLA	
		s	SHEET NUMBE	^R C-5	
	1"= 20'	Г	REV	ISION 5 - 03/03/2021	



WF HEPPARD 3. 1523 -7.2)		<section-header><section-header><text><text></text></text></section-header></section-header>
		REVISIONS
		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline REV & DATE & COMMENT & DRAWN BY \\ \hline CHECKED BY \\ \hline CVM \\ \hline $
DRAINAGE EASEMENT LANDS N/F STEVE CRISCI		Know what's below. Call before you dig. ALWAYS CALL 811 It's fast. It's free. It's the law.
BK. 2705 PG. 144 (TM# 24-4-1.21)		PRELIMINARY
		REVIEW AND APPROVAL. IT IS NOT INTENDED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.         PROJECT No.:       B190123
		DRAWN BY:         NCN           CHECKED BY:         CVM           DATE:         11/26/2019           CAD I.D.:         B190123SS00
		PROJECT: PROPOSED SITE proposed balmville, LLC PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT U.S. ROUTE 9W & N. HILL LANE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ORANGE COUNTY STATE OF NEW YORK
		BOHLER// DAMPUTER DRIVE WEST ALBANY, NY 12205 Phone: (518) 438-9900 Fax: (518) 438-0900 www.BohlerEngineering.com
6', C.L.F		<b>BROFESSIONAL ENGINEER</b> MASACHUSETTS LICENSE NO. 42644-C RHODE ISLAND LICENSE NO. 21854 CONNECTICUT LICENSE NO. 21854 NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSE NO. 21854 NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSE NO. 071284-1 FLORIDA LICENSE NO. 66202
СОМ	THIS PLAN TO BE UTILIZED FOR UTILITIES PURPOSES ONLY	SHEET TITLE:
UT <u>UT</u> UT		SHEET NUMBER: C-6
	1"= 20'	<b>REVISION 5 - 03/03/2021</b>



S\MLESSARD\APPDATA\LOCAL\TEMP\ACPUBLISH_18364\B190123SS00......>LAYOUT: 09- LANDSC.

						IEN
	LANDSCAPE	SCHEDULE				LAND SURVEYING PROGRAM MANAGEMENT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE SUSTAINABLE DESIGN PERMITTING SERVICES TRANSPORTATION SERVICES TRANSPORTATION SERVICES TRANSPORTATION SERVICES THIS FLAN ARE REOPRIETARY AND SHALL BE UTILIZED FOR CONSTRUCTON PURPOSE MITHORIZATION REGIMENTED REAL BE UTILIZED FOR CONSTRUCTON PURPOSE © BOHLER
KEY QTY	BOTANICAL NAME		SIZE	REMARKS		NY PURPOSE WI
DECIDUOUS SHRUB SJLP 29	SPIREA JAPONICA 'LITTLE PRINCESS'	LITTLE PRINCESS SPIREA	15-18"	#3 CAN		ENT TURE SN ICES
LB 40 ORNAMENTAL GRASSES	LINDERA BENZOIN	COMMON SPICEBUSH	30-36"	#5 CAN		SURVEYING MANAGEMENT ARCHITECTURE ABLE DESIGN NG SERVICES ATION SERVICES ATION SERVICES
PAH 12 SHADE TREES AS 3	PENNISETUM ALOPECUROIDES 'HAMELN'	DWARF FOUNTAIN GRASS	2 GAL. 2 1/2-3" CAL.	CONTAINER B+B		SURV M MAN PE ARC NABLE NABLE TING SI TING SI TATIOI
	S OCCUR BETWEEN AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THE PLAN AN					LAND SURVEYING PROGRAM MANAGEMENT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE SUSTAINABLE DESIGN PERMITTING SERVICES TRANSPORTATION SERVICES FINISPLAN ARE ROOREFLARY AND SHALL BE UTILIZE FG © BOHLER
						ISSIGN AND CONT
						NFORMATION, D AUTHO
					REV DATE	COMMENT
					A ADVODUAD PER	JTILITY COMPANY NCN MENTS CVM
·						N SUBMISSION NCN CVM CLIENT COMMENT CVM
						N SUBMITTAL
					5 03/03/21 PER	
					8	m
					Know what	
20' DRAINAGE EASEMENT					Call	efore you dig. S CALL 811
					lt's fast. It's f	ree. It's the law.
					PRELI	MINARY
					THIS DRAWING IS INTENDED REVIEW AND APPROVAL. IT IS I	FOR MUNICIPAL AND/OR AGENCY IOT INTENDED AS A CONSTRUCTION INDICATED OTHERWISE.
					PROJECT No.: DRAWN BY:	B190123 NCN
					CHECKED BY: DATE: CAD I.D.:	CVM 11/26/2019 B190123SS00
					PROJECT:	
						SED SITE CUMENTS
					F	OR
						SC IVILLE,
						LC
						POSED .OPMENT
					TOWN OF	V & N. HILL LANE NEWBURGH
						E COUNTY NEW YORK
					BOH	LER//
					17 COMPUTE	R DRIVE WEST
					Phone: Fax:	7 <b>, NY 12205</b> (518) 438-9900 (518) 438-0900
					www.BohlerE	ngineering.com
6_'_C.L.F.					W.D. G	OEBEL
<u> </u>						
					MASSACHUSETTS RHODE ISLANE	NAL ENGINEER LICENSE No. 42644-C LICENSE No. 7268 LICENSE No. 21854 E LICENSE No. 10280
						EICENSE No. 10280 EICENSE No. 10280 ENSE No. 9490 ENSE No. 071284-1 ENSE No. 66202
	THIS PLAN	TO BE UTILIZED FOR		CAPE	SHEET TITLE:	
		PURPOSES ONLY			LANDSC	APE PLAN
	CONU					
01 <u>01</u>	<u></u>				SHEET NUMBER:	
		20 10 5 0	20			;-9
		1"= 20'			REVISION	5 - 03/03/2021