

RICHARD D. MCGOEY, P.E. (NY & PA) WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA) MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA) MAIN OFFICE 33 Airport Center Drive Suite 202 New Windsor, New York 12553

(845) 567-3100 fax: (845) 567-3232 e-mail: mheny@mhepc.com

TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT:AMENDED SITE PLAN JWCAH LIGHTINGPROJECT NO.:14-11PROJECT LOCATION:SECTION 97, BLOCK 2, LOT 42PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE:DOCE ASSOCIATESREVIEW DATE:1 JULY 2014MEETING DATE:3 JULY 2014

- 1. The Applicants have addressed our previous comments including revisions to lighting levels consistent with the existing topography and light fixtures specified.
- 2. Notes have been added to the plans regarding manually activating lights for snow removal or maintenance and utilizing timers or photo sensors during events requiring use of the upper parking lot. Lighting will not be utilized if the upper parking lot is not utilized. It is our understanding that the upper parking lot is secured with a gate depicted on the plans.
- 3. The lighting fixtures selected are pedestrian style lighting poles with a 16.5 foot total height. Lighting fixtures will be approximately 16 feet high on the poles.

Respectfully submitted,

McGoey, Hauser and Edsall Consulting Engineers, D.P.C.

Patrick J. Hines Associate

Richard I. Eldred, P.E. 2891 Route 22 Patterson, New York 12563-2228 (914) 319-7424

June 23, 2014

John P. Ewasutyn, Chairperson and Members of the Board Town of Newburgh Planning Board

Re: Response to Review Comments by Patrick J. Hines

Dear Mr. Ewasutyn and Members of the Board

• P. Hines Review Comment 1: A review of the lighting plan submitted identifies apparent inconsistencies in the lighting levels depicted at the fixtures. Assuming all fixtures are the same as identified in the luminaire schedule, the lighting levels should be consistent at each of the fixtures.

Response: The calculations have been further reviewed and noted that they were based upon the original terrain and some of the poles being higher. The revised calculations using the 15-ft. poles and maximum lighting level at the paving of the drive and parking lot directly beneath the fixtures are presented on an updated Plan SP5 within the 'Statistics' box.

• P. Hines Review Comment 2: The red circles on the walkway to the parking lot should be identified on the lighting schedule.

Response: There are 6 - 13 watt Bollards about 3 feet high that have been added to the Luminaire Schedule.

• P. Hines Review Comment 3: Revision block identifies supplemental emergency/maintenance lighting added. It is unclear on the plans (where) this lighting was added.

Response : The4/13 revision is reworded to: Supplemental Maintenance Lighting – Added for Access Drive/ Upper lot.

• P. Hines Review Comment 4: Method of operating and time of the lights should be added to the plans. Will lights be manually turned on, run by photo sensors used only during events?

Response: Note 1 added: Lights actuated manually for snow-plowing activities or maintenance; actuated by timer / photo sensors during events requiring overflow use to upper lot.

Respectfull

Richard I. Eldred



