1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
3	X In the Matter of:
4	in the Matter of.
5	LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR (2007-1)
6	350 Fostertown Road
7	Section 17; Block 4; Lot 8.1 R-2 Zone
8	X
9	PUBLIC HEARING
10	TWO-LOT SUBDIVISION
11	Date: April 5, 2007
12	Time: 7:00 P.M. Place: Town of Newburgh
13	Town Hall 1496 Route 300
14	Newburgh, NY 12550
15	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK GALLI
16	KENNETH MENNERICH ED O'DONNELL
17	
18	ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ
19	BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES
20	KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO
	mem in the second
21	

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES E. RAAB

22	X
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO
	10 Westview Drive
24	Wallkill, New York 12589
	(845) 895-3018
25	
	Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

- 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Good evening ladies and
- 3 gentlemen. I would like to welcome you to the Town of
- 4 Newburgh Planning Board meeting of April 5th.
- 5 At this time we'll call the meeting to order with a
- 6 roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
- 7 MR. GALLI: Present.
- 8 MR. MENNERICH: Present.
- 9 MR. O'DONNELL: Present.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself present.
- The Town of Newburgh Planning Board and the Town of
- 12 Newburgh residents are represented tonight by a group of
- professionals who make recommendations to the Planning
- Board. I ask that they introduce themselves.
- MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly, Planning Board
- 16 Attorney.
- MR. HINES: Pat Hines, with Mc Goey, Hauser and
- 18 Edsall, Consulting Engineers.
- MR. COCKS: Bryant Cocks, Planning Consultant,
- Garling Associates.
- MS. ARENT: Karen Arent, Landscape Architectural

- 22 Consultant.
- MR. MUSSO: Mike Musso, Wireless Consultant with
- HTRMLS.
- MS. JACOBSEN: Norma Jacobsen, Secretary to the

- 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR
- 2 Planning Board.
- 3 MS. MEYER: Rosemary Meyer, Stenographer.
- 4 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this time I would like to
- 5 turn the meeting over to Frank Galli.
- 6 MR. GALLI: Now stand for the pledge.
- 7 (The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
- 8 MR. GALLI: Please shut off all electronic devices,
- 9 cell phones, things like that.
- 10 MR. MENNERICH: Hearing aids.
- 11 MR. GALLI: Hearing aids.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The first item of business we
- have this evening is the Lands of Esther Pekar. It's a
- public hearing for a two-lot subdivision located on
- 15 Fostertown Road. It's in an R-2 Zone. It's being
- represented by James Raab.
- 17 At this time I'll ask Ken Mennerich to read the
- 18 Notice of Hearing.
- 19 MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of Hearing, Town of Newburgh
- 20 Planning Board.
- 21 "Please take notice that the Planning Board of the

- Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York, will hold a
- Public Hearing pursuant to Section 276 of the Town Law on
- the application of Land of Esther Pekar for a two-lot
- subdivision on premises 350 Fostertown Road in the Town

- 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR
- of Newburgh, designated on Town Tax Map as Section 17;
- 3 Block 4; Lot 8.1, Zone, R-2.
- 4 "Said hearing will be held on the 5th day of April,
- 5 2007 at the Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496 Route 300,
- 6 Newburgh, New York, at 7:00 p.m., at which time all
- 7 interested persons will be given an opportunity to be
- 8 heard.
- 9 "By order of the Planning Board of the Town of
- 10 Newburgh, John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning Board,
- 11 Town of Newburgh."
- 12 Dated March 26, 2007.
- MS. JACOBSEN: Mr. Chairman, the Notice of Hearing
- was published in the Mid Hudson Times on March the 28th,
- 15 2007; in the Sentential on March the 30th, 2007.
- 16 The Applicant's representative sent out nine
- certified letters. Six signed receipts were returned.
- The mailings and the publication are all in order. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Ms. Jacobsen.
- 21 MR. RAAB: Seven.

- MS. JACOBSEN: Oh, seven?
- MR. RAAB: Yes.
- MS. JACOBSEN: Seven returned.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this time I'll turn to our

### LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR

1

- 2 attorney, Mike Donnelly, to explain to the public the
- 3 purpose of the public hearing.
- 4 MR. DONNELLY: Yes. Tonight we have two public
- 5 hearings on the agenda. Both of them are subdivisions.
- 6 Public hearings are mandatory for subdivisions. The
- 7 purpose of the public hearing is so that the Planning
- 8 Board, before it takes action, can hear from the public
- 9 and determine whether or not there are issues that they
- have not yet thought of or that their consultants have
- 11 not yet brought to their attention. Therefore, the Board
- wants to hear from anybody who wants to address the
- 13 Planning Board.
- What we would ask is that after the Applicant has
- given his presentation and the Chairman recognizes you,
- that you come forward and state your name and spell your
- last name at least, if you would, for our stenographer so
- we can get it down correctly. And tell us the address
- 19 you live at so we have some idea of the perspective you
- bring to bear. Direct your comments to the Board. If
- 21 questions need to be answered, the Chairman will direct

- 22 either the Applicant's representative or one of the
- consultants to answer that question.
- MR. EWASUTYN: And at this point I'll turn to
- 25 Mr. Raab to give his presentation.

### 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR

- 2 MR. RAAB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- This is a 4.7 acre parcel located on the northerly
- 4 side of Fostertown Road directly across from the
- 5 intersection of Fostertown Road and Wells Road. What the

6

- 6 Pekars wish to do is to cut a 0.7 acre parcel for her
- 7 grandson Steven for, I think he's building a house next
- 8 to her house, located in an area that was at one time
- 9 Beansey Stone Service Junkyard.
- 10 It will have an individual septic system but will be
- 11 hooked up to town water, as is Mrs. Pekar's house, as
- well, is hooked up to town water. And it'll access over
- a 50-foot right-of-way so that there'll be, maybe in the
- future, if they ever subdivide the rest of this property,
- they'll have access over there to the back property.
- This driveway will enter over that 50-foot right-of-way.
- But it will have frontage on Fostertown Road.
- MR. EWASUTYN: Comments from the public. Ron?
- MR. HUGHES: I have a question. It's more of a
- 20 legal issue.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can we have your name, please?

- MR. HUGHES: Yes. My name is Hughes. I live in
- Middle Hope.
- I see you have four voting members.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Right.

### 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR

- 2 MR. HUGHES: You have to a complete and unanimous
- 3 decision on that?
- 4 MR. DONNELLY: That's correct. Four out of the
- 5 seven. There's three absent so it would take all four.
- 6 MR. HUGHES: Just make it clear to the applicants
- 7 and the public knows, so they know.
- 8 MR. DONNELLY: I think Ron's point is that if any
- 9 applicant before the Board wishes to have this matter
- pass to another night, that the request should be
- 11 considered by the Board so that there were a larger
- number of members present to vote.
- By the same token, I think the public should know
- what Ron just brought to everyone's attention, and that
- is that the Planning Board must, to carry any action,
- vote by a majority of its entire membership. In this
- case, with seven members, by four votes. You don't have
- three out of the four present. You need four out of the
- seven.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
- 21 MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from the public?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Having no comments from
25	the public, at this time I'll turn to our consultants for

- 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR
- 2 their final comments.
- 3 Patrick Hines, Drainage Consultant.
- 4 MR. HINES: Our previous comments have been
- 5 addressed. Any access and maintenance agreement for the
- 6 driveway on the adjoining lot will be required and County
- 7 approval for the driveway proposed is required.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning
- 9 Consultant.
- MR. COCKS: Jim, how's the right-of-way, that
- stretch, all the way to the road? Where the yellow is,
- it looks like it doesn't reach over there.
- MR. RAAB: It goes right to the highway.
- MR. COCKS: Does it? We need a copy of that. I
- didn't get it.
- MR. RAAB: I wasn't quite sure what you were saying.
- 17 But now that I understand --
- MR. COCKS: I didn't get new plans, that's why.
- MR. RAAB: Well, they thought they sent enough out
- for you and the two that went to the counties.
- 21 MR. COCKS: Okay.

- MR. RAAB: I apologize.
- MR. COCKS: That's okay. The screening of the
- proposed house Wells Road was our only other comment.
- MR. RAAB: From Wells Road?

- 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR
- 2 MR. COCKS: I'm sorry. From Fostertown.
- 3 MR. RAAB: Okay. Because it's going to be sitting
- 4 up in back. I don't know how much more screening it's
- 5 going -- it's going to be sitting up in back, in back of
- 6 this house so ...
- 7 MR. COCKS: Okay. That's it.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Final comments from Board
- 9 Members. Frank Galli?
- 10 MR. GALLI: Nothing final.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?
- MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.
- MR. O'DONNELL: No comments.
- 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: If there's no additional
- 16 comments from the public, I move for a motion to close
- 17 the Public Hearing for the two-lot subdivision for the
- lands of Esther Pekar.
- MR. GALLI: So moved.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Second.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli.

- I have a second by Ed O'Donnell.
- 23 Any discussion on the motion?
- 24 (No verbal response.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll ask for a roll call vote,

- 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR
- then, starting with Frank Galli.
- 3 MR. GALLI: Aye.
- 4 MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- 5 MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself yes. So carried.
- 7 Mike Donnelly, can you please give us the conditions
- 8 for approval?
- 9 I will do a neg declaration first.
- MR. DONNELLY: I think you did a Negative
- Declaration on February 15th.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Mike.
- MR. DONNELLY: I question whether you want to have
- the recommendation of screening that Frank mentioned
- earlier included as a condition of the resolution or are
- you satisfied with the layout the way it is.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Karen, in looking at it, do you
- see a necessity for screening?
- And the house sits how far back from the road, Jim?
- MR. RAAB: The house sits about a hundred and,
- 21 probably a little over 125 feet from the road.

- MS. ARENT: Yeah. I think it's probably consistent
- with the neighborhood, similar.
- MR. RAAB: Yeah.
- MS. ARENT: So I think that we don't need to require

- 1 LANDS OF ESTHER PEKAR
- 2 screening.
- 3 MR. DONNELLY: All right. And the conditions would
- 4 be the access and maintenance agreement affording access
- 5 to Lot 1 across Lot 2. This is conditioned upon County
- 6 DPW approval and the payment of parkland fees.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard the conditions of
- 8 approval I move for the approval for the two-lot
- 9 subdivision for the lands of Esther Pekar.
- 10 MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
- 11 MR. GALLI: Second.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- Mennerich. I have a second by Frank Galli. Any
- discussion on the motion?
- 15 (No verbal response.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Move for a roll call vote
- starting with Frank Galli.
- 18 MR. GALLI: Aye.
- 19 MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself aye. So carried.

22 (Time noted: 7:08 p.m.)

23

24 \*\*\*

25

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 19, 2007

# STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

-----X

In the Matter of:

LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY (2006-22)

343, 345 & 347 Quaker Street Section 4; Block 19.1 & 7.1 AR Zone

----X

# PUBLIC HEARING TWO-LOT SUBDIVISION LOT LINE CHANGE

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 7:08 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: HOWARD WEEDEN

. - - - - - - - - - - X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

### 1 LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY

- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of business this
- 3 evening is the lands of Esposito and Mobley. It's a
- 4 Public Hearing for a two-lot subdivision and a lot line
- 5 change, located on Quaker Street. It's an AR Zone. It's
- 6 being represented by Howard Weeden.
- 7 And I'll ask Mr. Mennerich to read the Notice of
- 8 Hearing.
- 9 MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of Hearing, Town of Newburgh
- 10 Planning Board.
- 11 "Please take notice that the Planning Board of the
- 12 Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York, will hold a
- Public Hearing pursuant to Section 276 of the Town Law on
- the application of land of Frank Esposito and David and
- 15 Agnes Mobley for a two-lot subdivision and lot line
- change on premises 343 and 347 Quaker Street in the Town
- of Newburgh, designated on Town Tax Map as Section 4,
- 18 Block 1, Lot 9.1 and 7.1, Zone AR.
- "Said hearing will be held on the 5th day of April,
- 20 2007, at the Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496 Route 300,
- Newburgh, New York, at 7:00 p.m., at which time all

- interested persons will be given an opportunity to be
- heard.
- "By order of the Planning Board of the Town of
- Newburgh, John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning Board, the

- 1 LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY
- 2 Town of Newburgh." Dated March 26, 2007.
- 3 MS. JACOBSEN: Mr. Chairman, the Notice of Hearing
- 4 was published in the Mid Hudson Times on March the 28th,
- 5 2007; in the Sentential on March the 30th, 2007.
- 6 The Applicant's representative mailed out 13
- 7 registered letters, and nine were returned signed and one
- 8 was undeliverable. Thank you. The publication and the
- 9 mailings are all in order.
- 10 MR. EWASUTYN: Thank you, Norma.
- Mr. Weeden, would you give your presentation now,
- 12 please.
- MR. WEEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- My name is Howard Weeden. I'm a surveyor for
- 15 Mr. Esposito. He currently resides in a trailer toward
- the rear of the property. And Mr. Esposito's father owns
- the house that's on the same lot. So there's two
- dwellings right, presently, on the same lot. It's a
- 19 landlocked parcel off of Quaker Street.
- We're proposing to do two 15-foot strips for each
- 21 lot through lands of Mobley, which is a relative of

- Mr. Esposito. We've been to the Zoning Board of Appeals
- for a variance on the existing dwelling for the side
- yard, and a variance was granted.
- We're proposing a house on the rear lot to take the

1	LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY
2	place of the trailer. We have a proposed septic and a
3	proposed well for the house in the back. The house in
4	the front has an existing well and septic. And I've also
5	shown the Mobley property, which has an existing house,
6	well and septic in the front.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Howard.
8	At this time we'll open the meeting up to the
9	public. Anyone this evening have any questions or
10	comments?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. We'll turn to our
13	consultants for their final review. Pat Hines, Drainage
14	Consultant.
15	MR. HINES: Private driveway access and maintenance
16	agreement is required between Lot 1 and 2.
17	The only other outstanding issue we have, which we
18	discussed at work session, was the zoning variance
19	requirement for the trailer to remain, based on the
20	revised lot lines.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning

- 22 Consultant.
- MR. COCKS: We just need a copy of the ZBA
- resolution from December 28th. We request one for our
- 25 files.

### 1 LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY

- 2 These comments might have been addressed. They were
- 3 the last time, but I didn't get additional plans. The
- 4 proposed driveway to Lot 2 needs to be shown, the
- 5 proposed location of the house and a turn around area,
- 6 and a surveyor seal and signature for final approval.
- 7 That was it.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would you like for them to speak
- 9 up?
- MS. MEYER: Just a little bit.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, would you discuss with us?
- MR. DONNELLY: The issue, and I received a letter
- today from Richard Chazano (ph.), the Applicant's
- attorney, and let me try to frame what the issue is, the
- trailer that is on the property will, after the
- subdivision is approved, no longer be in compliance with
- the setback requirements of the ordinance. The Planning
- Board, by approving the subdivision and allowing the map
- to be filed is certifying that there is compliance with
- the requirements of the ordinance, and it cannot allow
- 21 that map to be filed with that situation in place.

- Therefore, we had proposed, and we discussed it last
- 23 month, a condition that would let the Board to vote this
- evening to approve the subdivision but condition that
- approval on the removal of the trailer before the map is

### 1 LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY

- 2 signed and released for filing so that we would
- accomplish that result. Mr. Chazano's (ph.) letter
- 4 addresses a difficulty and hardship for the Espositos
- 5 that flow from that, and I do understand that. However,
- 6 this Board doesn't have any choice. It can't authorize
- 7 the filing of a map that shows noncompliant structures.
- 8 What we perhaps could do is amend the proposed language
- 9 of the condition to afford the Applicant an opportunity
- to go back to the Zoning Board of Appeals that could
- 11 consider granting a variance on whatever terms were
- appropriate. And rather than have the Applicant return
- to the Planning Board, if they received that variance, to
- provide you that in a resolution. Therefore, I would
- suggest language something like the following.
- The trailer presently located upon the premises will
- become noncompliant upon a subdivision unless the
- Applicant contains a variance allowing that trailer to
- remain. Prior to signing the plan releasing it for
- filing the Applicant shall be required to remove the
- 21 trailer.

- And I think that's the best we can do to try to
- 23 accommodate the needs. But only the Zoning Board can
- grant a variance for that noncompliance.
- MR. WEEDEN: If I may, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Esposito

1	LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY
2	and I talked outside before the meeting. I think it
3	would put everything to rest if I moved this line over
4	about six feet, which is all that is needed, and then
5	swap the acreage so that it would be the same acreage on
6	both of them. This would bring the setback line over and
7	the trailer here, and we wouldn't need a variance.
8	MR. DONNELLY: We had to see such a plan.
9	MR. WEEDEN: I think that would let Mr. Esposito
10	the trailer would then be conforming to the setbacks.
11	And he would also be able to build his house and then
12	take the trailer down as soon as the house is up. He is
13	putting modular out there.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Although there's no one here
15	this evening has any comments on the public hearing,
16	we're having a public hearing presenting one application.
17	And I'm just trying to think it through from where I sit
18	as a Board Member. There now is a revised subdivision

plan, and I don't know procedurally where that puts us.

hearing you could have adjustments to the plan. And

MR. DONNELLY: Well, certainly, out of a public

19

20

21

- certainly, we operate in the public and the public would
- have had a chance to comment. So I'm not troubled by
- that aspect of it.
- 25 What is a logistic difficulty is we had to approve a

1 I ANIDO	OF ECDOCITO	O MODIEM
LANDS	OF ESPOSITO	W MUBLEY

- 2 plan. And right now we have a description of movement of
- 3 some lines that, at the very least, has to be looked at
- 4 by your consultants. And depending upon how you feel
- 5 about it, may need to be looked by you, as well. If it
- 6 was okay for your consultants to approve those
- 7 adjustments and to ensure that there is now compliance,
- 8 you could approve it subject to a review of the amended
- 9 map by Pat Hines or Bryant Cocks, whoever is the
- appropriate person, and a sign off that the trailer is
- now in a conforming location after subdivision and there
- were no other substantial changes to the plan. I think
- that could be done. Or you could ask the Applicant to
- come back with a revised plan and act at that time.
- 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: How would the Board like to
- proceed with this? Frank?
- MR. GALLI: With the building of the additional
- dwelling, that would be two dwellings on one piece of
- 19 property.
- MR. HINES: There could be a building permit issue.
- That's what I was thinking of.

- MR. DONNELLY: All I can do, all we can do is
- 23 address the subdivision. I don't know how Jerry
- 24 Canfield's office will react when you come in and request
- a building permit on a lot that already has a dwelling.

1	LANDS	OF ESPOSITO	& MORLEY
1			$\alpha$ with the in-

- I mean that may be an insurmountable difficulty there. I
- don't know.
- 4 MR. MENNERICH: Couldn't we place in the resolution
- 5 that the trailer has to be removed within a certain
- 6 amount of time after the completion?
- 7 MR. DONNELLY: You can. But then what we've
- 8 allowed, we've allowed the filing of a map that has a
- 9 noncompliant structure on it. I just don't think that's
- an appropriate practice. Apart from the enforcement
- difficulties, what if it's sold. Because once it's filed
- the lot could be sold. I just don't think that this is
- consistent with how you've handled other applicants, that
- 14 you require those things to be accomplished before the
- 15 filing of the map.
- Moving the trailer is an option. Moving the lot
- lines is an option. You're still going to have, I think
- a difficulty with the Building Department. And I don't
- 19 know how the Town will react to that.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What's your final approach,
- Howard? I know we have to make a decision on that.

- MR. WEEDEN: To go to the ZBA is going to take a
- couple months. To change this lot line and just move
- this over five, six feet, I can have the maps in the next
- couple of days.

- 1 LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then again, I think what I
- 3 understand people are saying, the Building Department may
- 4 not want to act on that course of action.
- 5 MR. WEEDEN: I haven't followed up on that
- 6 possibility. I just want to make it through the Planning
- 7 Board.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: That, again, might require you
- 9 to go to the ZBA, as Mr. Mennerich is saying.
- MR. WEEDEN: That's true, too. I can follow up on
- that, though. Okay. We'll have to follow up on that.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, what if we were to move to
- close the public hearing, ask the Applicant to waive the
- 14 62 day decision time, and when you finally decide on what
- you're doing you could submit revised maps with a
- narrative letter, ten copies to the Planning Board, four
- 17 copies to the consultants.
- And I see believe, Norma, it's the 19th of May which
- is our next agenda that hasn't been printed.
- MS. JACOBSEN: Yes.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would set this up for the

- agenda of the 19th of May.
- MR. WEEDEN: Okay.
- MR. O'DONNELL: I mean it's completely unreasonable
- 25 to think you could take that trailer out of there before

- 1 LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY
- 2 the house was built. I mean if the trailer left there
- 3 wouldn't be any issue here at all, right? It's not
- 4 possible to do, or what? Is that where you live? I
- 5 didn't think that was such a good idea.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any additional comments from
- 7 Board Members? Frank Galli?
- 8 MR. GALLI: No additional.
- 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- 10 MR. HINES: It's May 17th.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: May 17th. Thanks
- Okay. I move for a motion from the Board to close
- the Public Hearing on the two-lot subdivision lot line
- change for the lands of Esposito, Mobley, subject to the
- 15 Applicant waiving a 62-day decision period.
- MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
- 17 MR. GALLI: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you waive that?
- MR. WEEDEN: Yes.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- 21 Mennerich. I have a second by Frank Galli.

22	Any discussion of the motion?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a roll call vote
25	starting with Frank Galli.

1	LANDS OF ESPOSITO & MOBLEY
2	MR. GALLI: Aye.
3	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
4	MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself, yes. So carried
6	We'll schedule this for our meeting of the 17th of
7	May. Thank you.
8	MR. WEEDEN: Thank you.
9	(Time noted: 7:20 p.m.)
10	
11	* * *
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 19, 2007

# STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

----X

In the Matter of:

LANDS OF DZIEWIATOWSKI (2007-6)

296 Forest Road Section 1; Block 1; Lot 118 AR Zone

----X

### CONCEPTUAL SKETCH PLAN THREE-LOT SUBDIVISION

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 7:20 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: HOWARD WEEDEN

. - - - - - - - - - - X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

- 1 LANDS OF DZIEWIATOWSKI
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of business this
- 3 evening we have is the lands of Dziewinski.
- 4 Help me with that, Howard.
- 5 MR. WEEDEN: Dziewiatowski.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It's a conceptual sketch plan
- 7 for a three-lot subdivision located on Forest Road in an
- 8 AR Zone. It's being represented by Howard Weeden.
- 9 MR. WEEDEN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Mr. Dziewiatowski owns 52 acres on Forest Road just
- at the Ulster County line. He has an existing house on
- the front, the northerly parcel, with a driveway out onto
- Forest Road. He's got a septic and well. He's also got
- some barns which we're showing on Lot 2.
- 15 Mr. Dziewiatowski is going to be taking those barns down
- before final approval.
- Lot 2 is an acre. And then we're going to keep the
- rest of the 50 acres as an agricultural use. I wanted to
- 19 know if the Planning Board would allow that as a note or
- whether we have to show a home site on the remaining
- 21 lands.

- MR. DONNELLY: I think we thought that a note that
- says "not for development purposes at this time" is more
- appropriate.
- MR. WEEDEN: Okay. We will have to show the

- 1 LANDS OF DZIEWIATOWSKI
- 2 driveway. We did have some comments back from the Orange
- 3 County DPW so we are going to show the driveway to the
- 4 back here for their comments.
- 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Turning to our consultants for
- 6 their comments. Pat Hines, Drainage Consultant.
- 7 MR. HINES: Our first comment has to do with the
- 8 three structures that Mr. Weeden just stated are to be
- 9 removed prior to filing. If those notes could be placed
- on the plans on those structures.
- 11 County DPW approval is required. Future submissions
- need to contain engineering details for the well, septic,
- erosion control. Driveway details that the County would
- require are needed.
- My only comment is Note 3 is not for residential
- purposes at this time for the balance parcel. And
- because of the lot size you do not need to show it as
- buildable. And County Planning referral is required.
- That's all I have.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning
- 21 Consultant.

- MR. COCKS: Yes. This is also going to have to be
- sent to the Town of Plattekill since it's right on the
- border. So when you send it out to the County send it to
- 25 them, too.

- 1 LANDS OF DZIEWIATOWSKI
- 2 The bulk table needs to be revised. The rear yard
- 3 setback should be 50 instead of 40.
- 4 And I was just asking if there is any structures on
- 5 the agricultural lot.
- 6 MR. WEEDEN: There's nothing on the rest of the 50
- 7 the acres. There's three barns that are shown on Lot 2.
- 8 The one barn extends into the County right-of-way.
- 9 MR. COCKS: And we just encourage the commentary for
- the two proposed driveways. Those are all our comments.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli, Planning Board
- Member.
- MR. GALLI: No additional comment.
- 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- MR. MENNERICH: No comments.
- 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.
- MR. O'DONNELL: No comments.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a motion to grant
- conceptual sketch plan approval.
- MR. O'DONNELL: So moved.
- 21 MR. GALLI: Second.

- 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We have a motion by Ed
- O'Donnell. I have a second by Frank Galli.
- 24 Any discussion on the motion?
- 25 (No verbal response.)

- 1 LANDS OF DZIEWIATOWSKI
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Move for a roll call vote
- 3 starting with Frank Galli.
- 4 MR. GALLI: Aye.
- 5 MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- 6 MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.
- 8 Howard, if you would present plans to Bryant Cocks,
- 9 our planning consultant, he'll circulate to the Orange
- 10 County Planning Department and the Town of Plattekill.
- MR. WEEDEN: Yes, I will. And I'll make the
- revisions before the next meeting.
- MR. DONNELLY: Is there any reason why you couldn't
- issue an Negative Declaration and schedule it for a
- 15 hearing after this?
- 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes.
- MR. HINES: There's no engineering submitted.
- MR. WEEDEN: We had over a foot of frost in the
- ground. We'll get in there.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. We'll declare our
- intent for Lead Agency. Ken?

- MR. MENNERICH: I'll make that motion.
- MR. GALLI: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- 25 Mennerich. I have a second by Frank Galli. I'll ask for

1	LANDS OF DZIEWIATOWSKI
2	a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
3	MR. GALLI: Aye.
4	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
5	MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself, yes. So carried
7	Thank you.
8	(Time noted: 7:25 p.m.)
9	
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
1	

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 20, 2007

## STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

-----X

In the Matter of:

LANDS OF LORENZEN WOODS (2006-62)

Lorenzen Drive Off East Road Section 2; Block 2; Lots 21.221 & 39 RR Zone

-----X

### THREE-LOT SUBDIVISION LOT LINE CHANGE

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 7:25 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES E. RAAB

-----X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018 Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

#### 1 LANDS OF LORENZEN WOODS

- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The following item of business
- 3 is the lands of Lorenzen Woods. It's a three-lot
- 4 subdivision and lot line change located on Lorenzen Drive
- 5 off of East Road. It's an RR Zone. It's being
- 6 represented by Jim Raab.
- 7 MR. RAAB: When we were last here there was a number
- 8 of small items that had to be taken care of, as well as
- 9 cleaning up the geometrics of the subdivision itself.
- Those have all been addressed, although I believe there's
- going to be discussion on the geometrics again. So based
- on that, I think we've got this pretty well wrapped.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, Drainage Consultant.
- MR. HINES: We had quite a few comments back in
- 15 January. They have been addressed on the plans.
- We do concur that the lot geometry is a little
- 17 rough, but it does comply and provides access to each of
- the lots.
- 19 The septic system revisions we requested are shown
- on the plans. The well was relocated on Lot 1. And the
- 21 pump station details have been added appropriately.

- 22 Cul-de-sac grades were revised. So they've addressed our
- previous comments.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning
- 25 Consultant.

- 1 LANDS OF LORENZEN WOODS
- 2 MR. COCKS: Yes. The comments about the lot
- 3 geometry we also add. But I colored them in and figured
- 4 out which way things go.
- 5 MR. RAAB: I did it just before I got up here.
- 6 MR. COCKS: So everything meets zoning requirements,
- 7 so the lot geometry is fine.
- 8 But I got the last submission. I didn't get a data
- 9 sheet. I don't know if it just --
- 10 MR. RAAB: I'm sorry. I apologize.
- MR. COCKS: That's fine. A common driveway
- maintenance agreement to be submitted to Mike Donnelly.
- What I'm just asking is the lands of Nordahl,
- they're still going to use that?
- MR. RAAB: Yes.
- MR. COCKS: Okay. That was it.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli.
- MR. GALLI: No additional comment.
- 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.

- MR. O'DONNELL: How come it's so difficult to get
- the geometry right here?
- MR. RAAB: Well, it's not so much about getting it
- right, it's about getting it so it doesn't look ugly.

- 1 LANDS OF LORENZEN WOODS
- 2 It's not easy to do on Pratt Road. That's really the
- 3 bottom line.
- 4 MR. O'DONNELL: I mean it's in compliance.
- 5 Mr. RAAB: I could move it over a little bit. I
- 6 could make it a little bit better, but it's still going
- 7 to look ugly.
- 8 MR. HINES: It's the existing lot geometry. The
- 9 balance parcel has got some strange lot lines to it that
- make it a challenge.
- MR. O'DONNELL: It's ugly, but it's got to be in
- compliance. That's all I care about. Okay.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard from our
- consultants in review of the three-lot subdivision, lot
- line change for lands of Lorenzen Woods, I move to
- declare a Negative Declaration and to set the 21st of
- 17 June for a public hearing.
- 18 MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
- MR. GALLI: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- 21 Mennerich. I have a second by Frank Galli.

- I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank
- 23 Galli.
- MR. GALLI: Aye.
- MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

- 1 LANDS OF LORENZEN WOODS
- 2 MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.
- 4 MR. RAAB: I was just wondering why the 21st.
- 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Because actually, there's that
- 6 many. Procedurally, I have that many public hearings
- 7 lined up as far as what's going to fall in play with the
- 8 telecommunication towers that are coming up on the 7th.
- 9 And it's just a scheduling thing. It's the scheduling.
- 10 I'm sorry. And having Mike Musso in town on given days
- to do it, it's scheduling.
- MR. RAAB: Okay.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: As a matter of fact, you'll see
- where that will fall into place with the next one with
- 15 Kintzer, and that's going to work. You'll be here both
- nights for that also.
- 17 MR. RAAB: Okay.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We couldn't arrange it any
- differently, Jim.
- MR. RAAB: Okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You know how much I try and

- balance it out.
- MR. RAAB: It wasn't for my benefit.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I understand what you're saying.
- 25 (Time noted: 7:29 p.m.)

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 20, 2007

# STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

-----X

In the Matter of:

LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER (2007-8)

20 Distillery Path Section 17; Block 3; Lot 26 AR Zone

----X

### CONCEPTUAL SKETCH PLAN TWO-LOT SUBDIVISION

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 7:29 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES E. RAAB

. - - - - - - - - - - X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

#### 1 LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER

- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of business is the
- lands of David and Gail Kintzer. It's a conceptual plan
- 4 sketch plan for a two-lot subdivision located on
- 5 Distillery Path. It's in an AR Zone. It's being
- 6 represented by Jim Raab.
- 7 MR. RAAB: This is the last of the lots on
- 8 Distillery Path, a subdivision we did about a hundred
- 9 years ago. Dave Kintzer was the first one to buy a lot
- in here, and now he's getting ready to move to the
- 11 Carolinas and he wanted to subdivide off this lot.
- We've had the wetlands delineated, and we do have
- the verification now. We didn't have it when we were
- ready to submit. But we do have the -- Bill Gottler
- 15 (ph.) signed off on it. So basically, we're putting, Lot
- No. 2 is going to be 3.49 acres, which, you know, half of
- that is wetlands. And then the existing house will be
- left on 2.51 acres. It'll be accessed by a common
- driveway which will start at the end of the existing
- private road, Distillery Path. That's pretty much it.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.

- Pat Hines, Drainage Consultant.
- MR. HINES: We just have a comment. The contour
- that's on a Giammarco lot, it looks like that needed to
- be revised.

#### 1 LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER

- Wetland certification should be provided, as you
- 3 stated.
- 4 A common driveway access and maintenance agreement
- 5 for Mike's review.
- 6 The sand, DOT sand specifications for the Elgin
- 7 system needs to be on the plans per the County's
- 8 requirements, the actual detail for that.
- 9 MR. RAAB: Okay.
- MR. HINES: The distribution box is shown as a nine
- 11 hole but there's a five hole distribution box shown on
- the septic drawings.
- And we were just discussing at work session of the
- 14 Planning Board, and our comment, but some sort of
- turnaround could be provided right down Distillery Path
- ends at the driveways.
- MR. RAAB: It's a little challenge.
- MR. HINES: I realize the wetlands are there.
- MR. RAAB: Well, not so much the wetlands. It drops
- of quick a bit, right here on this side, and this side is
- a hill. You have one of these situations. So there's

- not a whole lot of spots to put a turnaround in. There's
- 23 plenty of wide spots in this, on the way up through here,
- along the road, that are easy enough. You can pretty
- 25 much turn off here, turn off in any of those spots.

- 1 LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER
- 2 MR. HINES: It's an existing private road. I don't
- 3 think we can require it. It was just a comment.
- 4 MR. RAAB: Well, I took it under consideration. In
- fact, I went up before the meeting to take a look at it
- and see. But like I said, to put it at the end of the
- 7 road would be a challenge. I'd have to get the approval
- 8 of everybody on the maintenance agreement.
- 9 MR. HINES: Actually, I didn't know that the
- existing house lot, the remaining lot would work.
- MR. RAAB: Up in here?
- MR. HINES: No. Where the driveway and the private
- 13 road meet.
- MR. RAAB: Right here? The last. That's where the
- problem is. It's extremely steep on this side and it
- goes up rapidly on the other side of the road.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What's your recommendation?
- MR. HINES: It's not something we usually require on
- private roads, but if it could be accomplished it would
- 20 help. The consideration is for turning around emergency
- 21 vehicles.

- MR. DONNELLY: Even if it could be put, if not, a
- fork somewhere closer to where the private roadway
- 24 currently terminates?
- MR. HINES: That's what I mean. I was looking right

- 1 LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER
- 2 at where it terminates.
- 3 MR. RAAB: It would have to be all on David's lot.
- 4 Let me see how that would work out.
- 5 MR. HINES: I mean even if it's not compliant with
- 6 the turnaround.
- 7 MR. RAAB: Are you talking about like a little --
- 8 MR. HINES: It would be half A T, actually.
- 9 MR. RAAB: Yes, I think we can do that. We can do
- 10 that.
- MR. DONNELLY: You have it as an existing private
- 12 roadway agreement?
- MR. RAAB: Well, I'll put it on the common driveway.
- 14 I won't put it on --
- MR. DONNELLY: I'm talking about another issue.
- 16 There's an existing private easement agreement that you
- will show me eventually that shows it.
- MR. RAAB: In fact, I though it was submitted with
- 19 the submittal but -- I thought I did. If I didn't, Mike,
- I'm sorry. I have it. I'm ready to submit it.
- MR. DONNELLY: I know you'll get it to me

- eventually.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning
- 24 Consultant.
- MR. COCKS: My only comment was about the DEC

- 1 LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER
- wetlands, and you already addressed that. It's just a
- 3 simple two-lot subdivision. Both lots meet the zoning
- 4 requirements. And we have no issues.
- 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli, Planning Board
- 6 Member.
- 7 MR. GALLI: No additional comment.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- 9 MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.
- 11 MR. O'DONNELL: Nothing.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard from our
- consultants, I move for a motion to declare a Negative
- 14 Declaration for the lands of David and Gail Kintzer and
- 15 set the --
- MS. JACOBSEN: You've got --
- 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Excuse me?
- MS. JACOBSEN: You've got to grant conceptual.
- 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
- I rescind that motion.
- I move for a motion to grant conceptual sketch plan

- approval for the two-lot subdivision of Dave and Gail
- 23 Kintzer.
- MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Second.

- 1 LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- 3 Mennerich. I have a second by Ed O'Donnell. I'll ask
- 4 for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
- 5 MR. GALLI: Aye.
- 6 MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- 7 MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So carried.
- 9 I move for a motion from the Board to declare a
- Negative Declaration for the lands of David and Gail
- 11 Kintzer for a two-lot subdivision and set the 21st of
- 12 June for a public hearing.
- 13 MR. GALLI: So moved.
- 14 MR. O'DONNELL: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli.
- 16 I have a second by Ed O'Donnell. I move for a roll call
- vote starting with Frank Galli.
- 18 MR. GALLI: Aye.
- MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So carried.

Jim, with Norma retiring next week, we're arranging
that until there's a replacement for Norma, just to bring
it along, that's Bryant's Cocks' office will be working

through this offices as far as any public hearings,

25

1	LANDS OF DAVID & GAIL KINTZER
2	mailing and circulations. Okay?
3	MR. RAAB: Good.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And again, the agenda has
5	already been put out for the 5th of May, just to explain
6	myself and why we couldn't do it any sooner.
7	MR. RAAB: No. I just wanted you do it for the
8	Applicant. Thank you.
9	(Time noted: 7:36 p.m.)
10	
11	* * *
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 20, 2007

## STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

-----X

In the Matter of:

NEXTEL NY - 2207A MID VALLEY MALL (2006-61)

309 North Plank Road (Water Tank) Section 75; Block 1; Lot 11 B Zone

----X

### SPECIAL USE PERMIT CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 7:36 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: CHRIS FISHER, ESQ.

. - - - - - - - - - - X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The following item of business
- this evening is Nextel New York, 2207A Mid Valley Mall.
- 4 It's a Special Use permit and a conceptual site plan.
- 5 It's in a B Zone. It's being represented by Anthony
- 6 Gioffre, is it?
- 7 MR. FISHER: Actually, Chris Fisher again for
- 8 Mr. Gioffre tonight.
- 9 MS. JACOBSEN: Can I just say something? On the
- next item, Sprint/Nextel, the application says
- 11 Sprint/Nextel. And when your office wrote letters about
- it, they're calling it Nextel.
- MR. FISHER: Right.
- MS. JACOBSEN: And we filed it all under
- 15 Sprint/Nextel. They've got to keep with that.
- MR. FISHER: Sounds good. That's what the company
- is trying do, too. So we'll do that.
- MS. JACOBSEN: Okay. Since someone has to find all
- this stuff in the office. I wouldn't be there anymore.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mr. Fisher.
- MR. FISHER: Yes. This is the application for

- installation of antennas on an existing water tank at Mid
- Valley Mall. When we were last here your consultant had
- some comments. We went through those comments, and after
- 25 the meeting had a chance to go back with our engineers,

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 get some additional information, make some plan revisions
- and submit those, which we did.
- 4 I had a chance to talk to Mr. Musso. I think, you
- 5 know, subject to some ongoing information exchanges
- 6 between our engineers, we think that the application is
- 7 at least complete and ready for a hearing, and we can
- 8 move forward from there.
- 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
- Mike Musso, Telecommunications Consultant for the
- 11 Planning Board.
- MR. MUSSO: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I just want to
- give an update on our technical review of this report. I
- do not have a report submitted as of yet, but I have just
- about a complete draft in. I would like to go over
- quickly just a couple of key items that we have reviewed
- and what I can talk to tonight, and then go over a couple
- of the things that, as Mr. Fisher noted, the Applicant is
- still working on, and finally, present some suggestions
- on moving forward, perhaps for scheduling a public
- 21 hearing in June.

- We did receive the additional information that was
- 23 requested. We were able to look at coverage, other
- 24 telecommunication sites, alternate sites and conformance
- with emissions. Those are all key items at that this

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 Board has asked about many times. We feel those issues
- 3 have been addressed. And again, they'll be folded into
- 4 our final report. That should be submitted within the
- 5 next one to two weeks.
- 6 The information that's still out, partially of which
- 7 has been submitted, was conditional on the structural
- 8 assessment. There's been some calculations given for
- 9 very similar water tower structures. But what we're
- still waiting on at this point is information on the
- specific water tower in terms of the property and the
- maintenance that would be upkept. I think that's
- important for us to understand, to fold into the overall
- findings. But i would agree with what the Applicant has
- attested to. I think at this point, looking at the
- structural information they submitted, looking at the
- certifications from New York State P.E.s, that the
- structural, at the of the day, will check out the
- 19 proposed installation. So I think it is reasonable, and
- we've entertained that in other municipalities, to
- 21 provide that as a condition of approval.

22	There are a coup	le things that l	suggest moving

- forward with that. One would be during the time that our
- report is finalized that I would just clarify with the
- 25 Applicant representatives what exactly we need to

1	NEXTEL NY - 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
2	complete that report. As I mentioned, information on the
3	property itself and maintenance procedures, the status of
4	the water tank. There's a couple loose ends there that
5	I'd like to clarify. And then if this Board would
6	entertain it, during that time also, in the next week or
7	so, provide a clear and direct scope of what would have
8	to be done for a site specific structural analysis.
9	Going out through that, I think there's a little bit of
10	work to do. And again, I think it's reasonable to ask as
11	a condition of approval. The amount of work that we
12	entail in that, we'd probably look for some site specific
13	inspection, some of the steel members, condition of the
14	water tank, just to confirm that they've looked at those.
15	And then, of course, completing the structural
16	calculations. I think they're about halfway, probably a

20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any questions or comments?

little bit more there already. So I think that's kind of

the outstanding issues that I wanted to bring forth at

21 Frank Galli?

this point.

17

18

19

- MR. GALLI: No.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- MR. MENNERICH: No.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 MR. O'DONNELL: I mean this thing can't move
- 3 forward, from what I understand, whether structurally
- 4 this water tower is capable of handling this additional
- 5 weight, I guess.
- 6 MR. MUSSO: We feel it will be. They have provided
- 7 some structures or some analyses on similar structures,
- 8 but not for this structure. Of course, it has a
- 9 different foundation, may be in different condition or
- different state.
- MR. O'DONNELL: How long has it been there? Do we
- 12 know?
- MR. MUSSO: I believe it was constructed about 40
- 14 years ago.
- MR. O'DONNELL: How many?
- MR. MUSSO: Forty.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think that there's a report
- that stated in the 50's or something. '55 or '51.
- MR FISHER: One of the things we've just been trying
- to do is work through the actual manufacturer of the tank
- and get the information that was requested. There's been

- changes in companies in terms of the original
- 23 manufacturer, so it's just a data collection effort. But
- we don't have any concerns that, you know, we'll be able
- to have at the end of the day. Obviously, we have to

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 have, for building code compliance, a structural on the
- 3 site. At this point what we're trying to do is give a
- 4 lot of information what we do have, and at this point
- 5 feel confident that it's going to pass structurally. We
- 6 just have to continue gathering data from the
- 7 manufacturer, track it down.
- 8 MR. O'DONNELL: Now, Mike, you've got a set of
- 9 criteria that, in your mind, these folks have to meet, I
- would think; right?
- MR. MUSSO: Correct. There is a Tower Industry
- 12 Associates structural guidance that Mr. Winespaul (ph.)
- has referred to a number of times. He's the structural
- engineer. Those are standards for antennas mounted on
- top of existing structures, assuming wind loads for
- Orange County, New York, icy conditions, things like
- that. So it's actually a nice cookbook that we use on
- sites just like this.
- MR. O'DONNELL: And they're fixing the tank as 50
- years old.
- MR. MUSSO: Yes. And that's where recommendations

- for an inspection would come in.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Your recommendation to the Board
- at this time, would you recommend that we declare a
- Negative Declaration and set it up for a public hearing

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 on the 6th of June?
- 3 MR. MUSSO: Yes. I think that's appropriate.
- 4 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard from our
- 5 Telecommunications Consultant, Mike Musso, having had
- 6 discussion on the application, I would move for a motion
- 7 to declare a Negative Declaration for the Nextel New York
- 8 Mid Valley Mall location for a Special Use permit, and to
- 9 set it up for a public hearing on the 7th of June.
- 10 MR. GALLI: So moved.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Second?
- MR. MENNERICH: Second.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli.
- 14 I have a second by Ken Mennerich. Any discussion on the
- 15 motion?
- MR. O'DONNELL: Sorry to come back again, but I mean
- procedurally, prior to us knowing whether this structure
- is capable of performing the task at hand, we would have
- 19 a Public Hearing on it?
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Well, what we're talking about,
- 21 we having exactly approximately a month and a half, two

- months from the time of the public hearing. Again, we'll
- put it up for discussion. If we hear back from Mike
- Musso between now and the mailing of the public hearing,
- 25 then we'll rescind that motion and we'll move forward

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- with a public hearing.
- 3 MR. MUSSO: Yes. I think part of it, though, for
- 4 the Board to consider, is whether or not a Planning Board
- 5 approval could be done, and then as a condition for
- 6 building permit and for occupancy, a full analysis would
- 7 have to be done to the satisfaction of the Town later on.
- 8 MR. O'DONNELL: I'll tell you right now, I'm not
- 9 going to vote on that that way. I want to see it before
- I vote yes. I mean I can't be buying a pig in a poke
- here. I don't buy that. I want to see the data
- beforehand.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So then we don't have a
- majority to move on the application.
- MR. DONNELLY: You need to see it before you vote or
- before you schedule it?
- MR. O'DONNELL: Well, I think it needs to be seen by
- this Board prior to having a public hearing on it. I
- mean that's what I think. I mean this is not a new
- project. It's been around a long time, right?
- MR. FISHER: Yeah, no. But if I could actually just

- be heard on that one comment. I know there's a motion on
- the floor, but ...
- MR. O'DONNELL: That's all right.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Go ahead.

1	NEXTEL NY - 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
2	MR. FISHER: You know, it's the equivalent, really,
3	of like doing a rehab on a building and you're coming in
4	for a site plan approval. When you do the renovation on
5	the interior of the building you really don't have, you
6	know, engineering for full rehab of the building.
7	Basically, you're looking at, when you come back in,
8	you've got to comply with the building code. So just
9	because this is telecom, this is really no different than
10	any development scenario where you've got to comply with
11	the building code at the end of the day. And normally,
12	that's a condition, whether it's stated or not, in the
13	Planning Board approval, that what you ultimately build
14	has got to comply with the code.
15	For us, we're working through it in terms of just
16	getting the equitable data. But it really goes to the
17	heart of do we comply with the code or not, the building
18	code. And I don't see why you wouldn't be able to move

forward and at least hold a hearing on this, and

get it to you before you vote on it.

honestly, even vote on it. But we're going to be able to

19

20

22	MR. DONNELLY: The only distinction I see is, you're
23	right, the Building Code would not normally be an issue
24	for the Board. But here, your proposal is to build
25	something atop the structure that the Board just wants to

1 NEXTEL NY - 2270A MID VALLEY MALL 2 make sure is sound enough to allow your project to move 3 forward. Now, that may not be full code compliance, but 4 some kind of certification that it's adequate. 5 MR. FISHER: And that's in the record. That's hat 6 we've given from all the information we have. All we're 7 talking about, I think, between what Mike has said and I know from our engineers, just getting the final details 8 9 from the manufacturer of the water tank who we've been 10 trying to track down and getting an actual building code 11 report. But we have enough to say, and we have already 12 given, that this is going to be safe and complies with 13 code. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike. 15 MR. MUSSO: I think it's a preference of what we 16 wish to do. I think we have about two months before that 17 June meeting. What I would propose is I put together my 18 report, as I mentioned, in the next week or two, 19 outlining what needs to be done, and then working with

the Applicant to see if we could complete everything by

that time. That's certainly one option. As we get

20

- closer to that meeting date that you mentioned in June,
- we'll see where we're at, if it's appropriate to talk
- 24 more about this application at that time. If the Board
- chooses, then we could do it that way.

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 MR. O'DONNELL: John, you know, I haven't been here
- for five months because I've been sick. I mean has this
- 4 been here in my absence?
- 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think this is the second time
- 6 it's been before the Board.
- 7 MR. MUSSO: Second time, right.
- 8 MR. O'DONNELL: I don't understand. Why's it take
- 9 so long to figure out whether this thing is structurally
- sound or not? I mean first of all, it's not like a
- warehouse. It's a tower that's going to have a tower on
- it that people don't like. I mean that's the way life
- is, right? We're all indifferent. That's how it is.
- 14 I'm not willing, quite frankly, to say, "Okay, let's have
- a public hearing," when somebody's going to body sit out
- there and, "Well, jeez, why didn't you know that before,"
- because the data didn't come out. I want to know it
- before I have a public hearing. That's how I feel.
- 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So I ask you this
- question. Can we move for setting it up but if we don't
- 21 have it the --

22	MR. O'DONNELL: Who's going to present it to us? I
23	mean we're just going to get it and read it or
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll get an outline from Mike
25	Musso, our telecommunications expert, saying that it does

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 meet standards.
- 3 MR. MUSSO: Right. That's what I propose to do.
- 4 MR. O'DONNELL: When? When do you propose to do it?
- 5 MR. MUSSO: Well, as I mentioned, in the next week
- 6 or two to have the report. I don't think they'll have
- 7 all the information done by that time. But certainly --
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can I make a suggestion? We
- 9 need to have it by the 17th of May. The 17th of May is
- when we have a plan meeting. It will be under Board
- business on the 17th of May. And if the Board, at that
- particular time, wants to rescind the motion to have a
- public hearing based upon the report that we receive from
- 14 you, that it's of a negative standard, then we'll rescind
- 15 the motion.
- MR. MUSSO: I think that sounds reasonable. The
- 17 question would just be back to the Applicant, whether or
- not that information could be provided.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Time out.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Go ahead.
- MR. O'DONNELL: I think it's okay. I'm the guy that

- raised the issue here. I would think that's an okay way
- to do it, assuming, of course, that you meet the deadline
- and that the data supports doing it. I don't have any
- problem with that.

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- When's the public hearing? What date?
- 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It would be on the 6th of June.
- 4 MR. MUSSO: The 7th.
- 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The 7th of June. I get my dates
- 6 confused.
- 7 MR. O'DONNELL: We'll hear from you three weeks
- 8 before that.
- 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The 7th of June, correct.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Three weeks before that we'll see
- your analysis as to whether this is a viable project.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think that's reasonable
- considering it's April 5th now. That gives you a month
- plus to collect the additional information that's
- difficult to find since the manufacturer, the original
- manufacturer is so old. And we're being reasonable, I
- think, at this point.
- MR. FISHER: I think so. And we can meet that
- deadline.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I have a motion by Frank
- Galli. I have a second by Ken Mennerich. Any further

22	discussion on the motion?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I should rescind that motion to
25	state that the Applicant has until the 17th of May, or

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 actually, sooner than the 17th of May. The Applicant has
- 3 until --
- 4 Give me a date. You would want to have ample time
- 5 to review it so give us a date.
- 6 MR. MUSSO: The 7th, ten days.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Then the Applicant has
- 8 until the 7th of May.
- 9 Give us the verbiage on that, Mike. What would you
- like to have by then?
- MR. MUSSO: That the additional site specific
- structural information that's pending to complete the
- application will be provided to the Town by May 7, 2007.
- 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And that you'll be responding to
- the Planning Board by?
- MR. MUSSO: So that HTRLMS will provide data, an
- addendum structural memo addressing the last question by
- 18 May 17, 2007.
- 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And at which point, then, the
- 20 Board would continue on with holding the public hearing
- for the 7th of June.

- Okay. The motion was rescinded. I'll look for a
- 23 motion again.
- MR. GALLI: So moved.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by Frank Galli.

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 MR. MENNERICH: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a second by Ken
- 4 Mennerich. Any further discussion on the motion?
- 5 (No verbal response.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a roll call vote
- 7 starting with Frank Galli.
- 8 MR. GALLI: Aye.
- 9 MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- 10 MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.
- Thank you, Ed.
- MS. JACOBSEN: Was this conceptual site plan? We
- had it listed, but it could have been approved and we
- 15 were --
- 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No, we didn't cover it. I kind
- of held off on that. But we'll move for it at this time
- also.
- 19 Conceptual site plan approval for the Nextel Mid
- Valley Special Use permit.
- 21 MR. GALLI: So moved.

- MR. MENNERICH: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli
- for conceptual site plan approval.
- MR. MENNERICH: We have to do it for a Special Use

- 1 NEXTEL NY 2270A MID VALLEY MALL
- 2 permit?
- 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: This kind of glees into some
- 4 preliminary final approval.
- 5 MR. DONNELLY: It's in your procedures. I know it
- 6 sounds unreasonable.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli.
- 8 I have a second by Ken Mennerich. Any discussion on the
- 9 motion?
- 10 (No verbal response.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a roll call vote
- starting with Frank Galli.
- 13 MR. GALLI: Aye.
- 14 MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.
- 17 Thank you, Norma.
- MR. COCKS: John, was the Negative Declaration
- 19 still?
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes. That was part of it.
- 21 (Time noted: 7:52 p.m.)

23 \*\*\*

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 20, 2007

## STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

In the Matter of:

SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK - 0423

(2007-7)

Newburgh Mall Access Drive Section 60; Block 3; Lot 35.1 IB Zone

----X

## AMENDED SPECIAL USE PERMIT AMENDED SITE PLAN

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 7:52 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: CHRIS FISHER, ESQ.

. - - - - - - - - - - X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

- 1 SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK 0423
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of business is
- 3 Sprint/Nextel the Fourth, is that? Is that the fourth?
- 4 I see IV in there. Is it Sprint/Nextel IV New York?
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Newburgh IV.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Excuse me?
- 7 MR. FISHER: Newburgh IV.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Newburgh IV New York. It's an
- 9 amended --
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the site name. Sorry,
- 11 John.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It's an amended Special Use
- permit for amended site plan at the Newburgh Mall Access
- Drive. It's in an IB Zone. It's being represented by
- 15 Chris Fisher.
- MR. FISHER: Good evening, John. We filed this
- application which involves the existing tower right near
- the Newburgh Mall along the thruway.
- 19 This site was originally built by Ida Bureau Sprint
- in the late '90's. It's got also, their antenna is at
- 21 the 150 foot level of the tower. There are also other

- carriers located on it that have come in subsequently and
- obtained your review and approval, including T-Mobile,
- and then also Cellular One.
- The proposal before you is really, while it is a

1	SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK - 0423
2	Nextel application, if you will, because of the merger of
3	the companies, Sprint and Nextel, we're really just
4	upgrading the site. We're going to be taking some of the
5	existing antennas at the top, changing them out with new
6	antennas in order for both systems to be operational from
7	the top spot of the tower. Physically and visually,
8	there really won't be any change to the exterior antenna
9	array that you see up there today, although it will be
10	all new antennas and new cable coming down the tower.
11	At grade, there's going to be a replacement of the
12	existing Sprint equipment with an equipment shelter that
13	will work for both. Sprint and Nextel equipment will be
14	located in the work site in tandem, effectively.
15	Other than that, and a few changes to the actually
16	fenced in area, and changing out a little bit of the
17	landscaping that's out in front of the site, there's not
18	much to this application. It's pretty much just a
19	co-location on the tower and really an upgrade of the
20	existing facility for the Sprint.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Musso, Telecommunications

- representative for the township.
- MR. MUSSO: Thank you again, Mr. Chairman.
- I just want to go through, again, a quick summary of
- our review and just to bring up, I think a few of the key

1	SPRIN	T/NEX	TEL IV NEW	YORK - 04

- 2 issues to consider.
- 3 Originally this, the monopole in question was
- 4 approved in 1998. It was built that year or shortly
- 5 afterwards, in 1999. It was originally designed for
- 6 three arrays, which are up there now. There's Sprint
- 7 antennas at the very top spot. T-Mobile is flush
- 8 mounted, Spot No. 2, three antennas. And there's six
- 9 Cellular One antennas at the bottom. So what we can see,
- this is basically what, from a structural capacity, this
- is what the monopole was designed for.
- The application is a bit interesting in that Sprint,
- Nextel have merged into one company, something that Norma
- had bought up beforehand. The top slot have a stealthing
- device called radomes, which are essentially cylinders
- that the antenna sit inside. If you've been by the site,
- you don't see any cables hanging down the side.
- 18 Everything is routed through the middle of the monopole.
- 19 It's a, I think a fairly effective stealthing device.
- Right now there six antennas on the top spot, but there's
- 21 nine radomes, or nine of those shells. Three of those

- are empty. What's being proposed are three new Sprint
- antennas, and then a total of six new Nextel antennas.
- 24 It's a net gain of three antennas. But out of those, out
- of the total of nine that are on top, there's six Nextel.

## 1 SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK - 0423

2	One of the things that's in the report which I
3	handed you tonight, I know you haven't had a chance to go
4	through it, I did comment on the merger and the fact that
5	Sprint and Nextel do operate and maintain, at the current
6	time, two different platforms. So that's a question
7	that's come up before in the past. When you have Nextel
8	antennas at one site and all of a sudden Sprint is in
9	front of a planning board or a zoning board, people say:
10	Wait. Aren't they the same company? Part of this is a
11	fact that there are two separate platforms. They are not
12	compatible as of yet. There's industry forecasts that
13	say that they might be in the future. But anyway, we
14	moved ahead with our review for Nextel, which is the new
15	set of antennas at the site. We looked at coverage and
16	gap in coverage. And indeed, along Route I-84, 87, 300
17	and 52, there is a gap in service for Nextel. The
18	proposed installation will remedy that gap in service.
19	We also looked at the calculations that were
20	provided for cumulative radiofrequency emissions, public
21	safety report. Those also checked out as expected. I

- think they were 300 times below general public standard.
- We also looked at the structural review. There's a
- structural review memo that's attached to this report.
- Everything else checked out, as well, including wind and

1	SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK - 0423
2	icing conditions and any kind of stresses that might be
3	imparted to the monopole itself, or the foundation. So
4	we feel comfortable that the structural also checks out.
5	Just a couple of notes on the aesthetic. Really,
6	the monopole, when viewed at distance, will not
7	appreciably change at all. Like I said, they have nine
8	radomes that exist. There's some space in those. What
9	they're proposing to do is kind of rearrange and add
10	Nextel and change out the Sprint antennas effectively.
11	So from appearance point of view, this will not change
12	the monopole at all.
13	The only change is at the bottom where a new slab
14	will be constructed at ground level to house the Nextel
15	shelter and also to slide up the existing Sprint
16	equipment that's there to on top of the slab. One of the
17	issues that was brought up in the application was whether
18	or not there is a need for variances with this
19	application. There's existing nonconformity in the rear
20	yard and side yard setbacks. The existing T-Mobile
21	equipment really has the most nonconformity. So this

- proposed Nextel ground based equipment, the Applicant
- contests that because it's not increasing the
- 24 nonconformity that's there, no variances are needed.
- That's one of the aspects that I wanted to bring out on

1	SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK - 0423
2	the application.
3	What we also looked at the is landscape plan.
4	There's three dead trees that are noted back by that
5	site. We propose to take those out and plant six-foot
6	all white pines, something that the architectural
7	consultant may want to comment on.
8	There's also another compliance issue that was
9	brought up but I think resolved adequately by the
10	Applicant regarding any kind of construction and DEC
11	water bodies and wetlands. There was a note in there
12	from the DEC regarding land disturbance and encroachment
13	on an outfall that runs behind the site. It is an
14	unprotected classification so construction with minimal
15	land disturbance, saying they should not alter or be in
16	any kind of violation with DEC.
17	As I mentioned, the structural does check out on
18	this. We had all the information that we needed. And I
19	think that's it. That summarizes the report.

I think the application was good. I did not have to

request additional materials to complete our review. And

20

- I think I've memorialized everything in the report that I
- submitted tonight.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli, any questions?
- MR. GALLI: So no additional height? They're going

- 1 SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK 0423
- 2 to stay at 150 feet?
- 3 MR. MUSSO: Exactly. No change at all. No lighting
- 4 or anything else is required, proposed. Again, if you're
- 5 viewing this from I-87 or from afar, you're not going to
- 6 notice any appreciable difference.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- 8 MR. MENNERICH: Is there a provision in this
- 9 agreement for removal of the tower if they're no longer
- 10 used?
- MR. MUSSO: I think there's an item of abandonment
- in the local wireless ordinance.
- MR. DONNELLY: And a bond to fund the removal if the
- 14 Town had to do it.
- MR. MENNERICH: Yes. That's what I was wondering if
- that took place.
- MR. DONNELLY: I assume one was posted originally?
- MR. FISHER: That's what I'm assuming, yes. There
- was a bond originally with this.
- MR. MUSSO: Right. And the Applicant does note that
- 21 it's, again, the condition of approval, that they will be

- to work with the town attorney for whatever is
- appropriate. So they recognize that issue. That's been
- brought up before.
- MR. MENNERICH: And the other thing, I'll be

- 1 SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK 0423
- 2 interested in Karen's comment relative to the landscaping
- 3 on the site because my impressions are that it has not
- 4 been maintained at all.
- 5 MS. ARENT: I'll take a look at it.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: There are pine strobus planted
- 7 in front, and I counted two or three that were dead. And
- 8 they're about six feet tall.
- 9 MS. ARENT: We'll probably need remediation, make
- 10 sure that it does. We did that at --
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You're going to have to speak up
- 12 for the stenographer.
- MS. ARENT: We'll probably request some kind of soil
- remediation, some kind of new topsoil or something like
- that to make sure that the new plants grow. Most likely,
- the old plants were not planted in good soil. But I'll
- take a look at that and make recommendations.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Mike, when this tower was built in
- the late '90's, I expect that it had some stated capacity
- as to what its limits would be. My question to you

- really is with what they intend to do on that tower, is
- 23 it within that capacity?
- MR. MUSSO: Yes, it is. Again, the tower was
- originally designed for, and this is in all their

- 1 SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK 0423
- 2 application submittals, really three providers, three
- 3 slots occupying it. That's what's being proposed right
- 4 now.
- 5 The stresses on the pole, on the foundation I think
- 6 were at 45 to 65 percent capacity. So that's certainly
- 7 something that we looked at under the worse case
- 8 conditions with the new loads.
- 9 MR. O'DONNELL: Is this tower now maxed out?
- MR. MUSSO: I don't know for sure. If there is
- something that comes up, it depends what it is. If
- they're smaller antennas or flush mounts, I think we'd
- have to be entertaining an as come.
- MR. O'DONNELL: You got any future plans, Chris?
- MR. FISHER: No, on this, because this will be a
- Sprint/Nextel. We'll be pretty much done for
- 17 Sprint/Nextel. It would be another carrier.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Don't write that down.
- MR. FISHER: Well, I just have to say in ten, twenty
- years, something might happen.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The design of the panels are

- visually appealing panels. From what I've seen in the
- field, they're just like cylinders that really don't.
- They're not like a panel that's a panel that's broad and
- very visual. Having looked at the submission we just

- 1 SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK 0423
- 2 received for Orchard Hills, the design seems to be the
- 3 same on that also.
- 4 MR. MUSSO: Yes. I would just ask you to look
- 5 through my recommendations because I do have notes in
- 6 there about them maintaining those radomes, or the
- 7 shrouds as they're called, security fencing on it, and
- 8 things. But yes, I think the color actually works very
- 9 well at that site. Also, it's a little bit different. I
- think it's an interesting approach.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard from our
- 12 Telecommunications Consultant Mike Musso, I move to
- declare a Negative Declaration for the amended Special
- 14 Use permit and amended Site Plan for the Sprint/Nextel IV
- New York, and set a public hearing for the 7th of June.
- MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
- 17 MR. GALLI: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- 19 Mennerich. I have a second by Frank Galli. Any
- 20 discussion on the motion?
- 21 (No verbal response.)

- 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a roll call vote
- starting with Frank Galli.
- MR. GALLI: Aye.
- MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

- 1 SPRINT/NEXTEL IV NEW YORK 0423
- 2 MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So carried.
- 4 Mr. Fisher, if you would coordinate with Bryant
- 5 Cocks' office as far as the mailing for these public
- 6 hearings, I'd appreciate that. And also, to make sure
- 7 that we have the notice in the media at the scheduled
- 8 time.
- 9 MR. FISHER: Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Norma, if these mailings don't
- go out right you're the one to blame for it.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Bring your cell phone with you,
- Norma, so we can get a hold of you.
- MS. JACOBSEN: The list is ready. Bryant, the
- 15 Applicant has to mail ten days, registered mail, prior to
- the meeting.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Anything that goes wrong from
- here on out we can blame Norma. If you can make that off
- record.
- 20 (Time noted: 8:05 p.m.)

22 \*\*\*

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 20, 2007

## STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD .....X In the Matter of:

SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM (2006-39)

End of Barbara Drive Section 20; Block 1; Lots 1,3.35, 3.1, 3.32, 3.33, 3.34 & 2.2 AR Zone

-----X

## TWENTY-SIX LOT SUBDIVISION SIX LOT LINE CHANGES

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 8:05 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

## APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: THOMAS DePUY, P.E./L.S.

-----X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

1	SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of business we
3	have is Summer Kim Phase II at Longview Farm. It's a
4	26-lot subdivision, six lot line changes. It's located
5	at the end of Barbara Drive and it's an AR Zone, and it's
6	being represented by Tom DePuy.
7	It's actually Tom DePuy, not Ann Staples. That's
8	even more amazing.
9	MR. DePUY: Just a quick review. Summer Kim was a
10	minor subdivision that created this lot, this lot and
11	this lot, here and here. Longview Farm is kind of the
12	Phase II of it.
13	We were going to extend this road through and
14	connect it into the Palmerone Taylor Way subdivision.
15	And then this road, which it will run up in here, this
16	will connect it to the Barbara Drive road which will come
17	to here. And then eventually this will extend out to
18	Merritt Lane. So I wanted to just give an overall view,
19	again, of where we're at.
20	This is the subdivision itself. We had had previous

comments. We've taken care of the previous comments.

- Just there's several lot line changes involved.
- This piece of land is going to go to this adjoining owner
- here. These lots were a flag. We formed the lot line
- change because their portion of their land will be

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 dedicated to the town as a town road. That's the same
- 3 thing that occurs up here. And also, we have a piece of
- 4 land here that we are going to bring in access in off of
- 5 Far Horizon Drive, up here. And this property will
- 6 actually get its access from this point here. But just
- 7 we had some grade change problems. That will work better
- 8 to get to access at that point. That's about it from the
- 9 last time we met.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. At this time I'm going to
- turn to Pat Hines, Drainage Consultant. He has a list of
- comments he has prepared for us.
- MR. HINES: The first set of comments have to do
- with the lot line change. He just explained that Lot 15
- and the access to Far Horizons Drive
- MR. DePUY: Yes.
- MR. HINES: That didn't show on the plan. It was a
- 18 little confusing because there was a driveway proposed
- off of the Barbara Drive.
- MR. DePUY: Yes. Okay. That was on one of the
- 21 other sheets.

- MR. HINES: I didn't understand the purpose of that.
- MR. DePUY: We'll detail that better.
- MR. HINES: Is the Barbara Drive temporary
- cul-de-sac shown?

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 MR. DePUY: Right here?
- 3 MR. HINES: No. That's proposed? I'm not sure what
- 4 purpose that serves at this point.
- 5 MR. DePUY: Yes. When this gets approved that will
- 6 go away.
- 7 MR. DONNELLY: But does it exist now?
- 8 MR. DePUY: No, not really. It exists in a field.
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We had put in the deeds to
- those two lots a reversionary interest once the road went
- 11 through.
- MR. HINES: And this is past those two lots.
- MR. DePUY: It's a turnaround area out here.
- MR. HINES: That just had to get clarified.
- MR. DePUY: All right. We'll clarify that.
- MR. DONNELLY: In other words, there has not yet
- been an offer of dedication that we have to retrieve and
- 18 reassign.
- MR. DePUY: No. Subdivisions do that but ...
- MR. HINES: But it's not even necessary.
- MR. DePUY: No, no.

- MR. HINES: The culvert crossing proposed Summer
- Drive, we need additional detail on that. We need the
- inverts and the details. Apparently that was put in with
- sidewalks on either side of it or --

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 MR. DePUY: Yes, yes. That was a cast in place
- 3 concrete culvert. That was on the original Summer Kim.
- 4 MR. HINES: Right. We want to see what was there,
- 5 the inverts and make sure that it works.
- 6 MR. DePUY: Okay. We're trying to close out the
- 7 flood plain permit that was issued. We had done a detail
- 8 for the flood plain application which I don't think all
- 9 that information was on the minor subdivision. So we
- will be doing all that detailing.
- 11 MR. HINES: Show that on there.
- MR. DePUY: Okay.
- MR. HINES: There's a note on the plans that all
- grading will be performed prior to transferring ownership
- of the lots. I guess we're okay with that. We talked
- about that at work session, as long as you guys are okay
- with this. Quite a bit of grading that would occur prior
- to transferring any of the lots. If that's truly your
- intent, I think we're okay with that rather than a cross
- grading easement. An awful lot of earth moving going on
- 21 through here.

- MR. DePUY: Yes.
- MR. HINES: Drainage along Barbara Drive, there's a
- high point probably near the driveway to proposed Lot 15
- and everything in the area where you are now. That all

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 comes back towards Barbara Drive.
- 3 MR. DePUY: Okay.
- 4 MR. HINES: And there's no stormwater management.
- 5 MR. DePUY: Okay. We'll re-detail that. There's
- 6 actually catch basins there that we did under the minor
- 7 subdivision.
- 8 MR. HINES: Okay. They're not shown on this.
- 9 MR. DePUY: Okay. Yes. They're right before the
- private lane. And we have a storm easement that runs
- down through here. We also captured the stormwater that
- kind of ran across here and brought that into there, too.
- MR. HINES: Okay. That needs to be detailed, then.
- 14 It's not on the plan.
- MR. DePUY: Okay.
- MR. HINES: Lot line change detail C identifies Lot
- 3 being owned by Estates of the Farm, LTD. Sheet 8 of 17
- shows that under a different ownership. Apparently that
- 19 lot's transferred twice since Phase I.
- MR. DePUY: Yes.
- MR. HINES: And we just want to make sure that that

- person is party to a lot line.
- MR. DePUY: Okay. We had updated, I believe on this
- sheet but not on one of the back sheets.
- MR. HINES: That's more of a comment for Mike to

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 make sure that whoever owns that lot needs to be party to
- 3 the lot line change.
- 4 MR. DePUY: Okay. I'll have that transfer. So
- 5 power of attorney was retained by --
- 6 MR. DONNELLY: Part of that road section?
- 7 MR. DePUY: Right.
- 8 MR. DONNELLY: We handled it and recorded document.
- 9 MR. HINES: Parcel K is the one up top going into
- the Merritt Road extension. Ownership of that should be
- 11 clarified.
- 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We own that.
- MR. HINES: Is that part of the dedicated town
- 14 roadway?
- MR. DePUY: Yeah. What we want to do is the intent
- is that this road will continue through.
- MR. HINES: Right. But before that happens.
- MR. DePUY: We wanted to dedicate this now but not
- build the road, just provide the stormwater management,
- because the high point is here and all the water wants to
- run down to that point.

- MR. HINES: It just looks like any future extension
- would eliminate the stormwater management that you're
- 24 putting in there.
- MR. DePUY: Okay. I think we had it right in this

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 little shoe part of it.
- 3 MR. HINES: I think the whole thing is.
- 4 MR. DePUY: Okay. I'll review that.
- 5 MR. HINES: Take a look at that.
- 6 MR. DePUY: Okay.
- 7 MR. HINES: I think there's surface sand filters
- 8 that are going to be in there?
- 9 MR. DePUY: Yeah.
- MR. HINES: Again, a comment from the original
- subdivision. The first 600 feet in from Holmes Road in,
- there is no drainage improvement shown on your plans. I
- don't know if they exist today or --
- MR. DePUY: Yes. We'll update that, too.
- MR. HINES: Just a note for the Planning Board.
- 16 You're proposing asphalt curbs similar to the other
- developments in the area. I want to let the Board know
- 18 that.
- 19 I have a series of comments on the stormwater
- 20 management. I know the Applicant's representative has
- 21 those. I think they're technical in nature and probably

- could be addressed at a work session.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning
- 24 Consultant.
- MR. COCKS: Yes. Our previous memo from September

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 5th requested changes involving grading and relocation of
- driveways and some houses. The Applicant has made those
- 4 changes, just minor revisions.
- 5 On your guy's letter dated March 7th the road names
- 6 were listed as Summer Lane, then on the map it says
- 7 Summer Drive so that's just going have to be clarified.
- 8 All these things are going to have to be sent to
- 9 Mike Donnelly before final approval.
- Our office didn't get the revised EAF the last time
- so if you could just fax it.
- MR. DePUY: Okay. I'll send it.
- MR. COCKS: And fencing details are going to be
- needed, detention ponds on the landscaping plan.
- MR. DePUY: Okay.
- MR. COCKS: And I was just asking if you could just
- 17 explain this part being sectioned off.
- MR. DePUY: Okay. Yes. I guess we're going to
- change this. We had split the project into, I believe
- 20 four sections. Our intent was to originally build this
- road and then all the detention ponds in this area here.

- And then the next section we were going build up, I think
- we were building the right section, building this. But
- now we're rethinking it that we're only going to cut it
- into two sections. It was making it too confusing.

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 MR. DONNELLY: But you talked in terms of doing all
- 3 of the grading and site work first.
- 4 MR. DePUY: Right.
- 5 MR. DONNELLY: How does that mesh with two stages?
- 6 MR. STAPLES: It's in section.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: For the record, if you're going
- 8 to make a comment give your name.
- 9 MR. STAPLES: Jonathan Staples.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
- MR. DePUY: So we're going to go back and redo it in
- 12 just two sections.
- MR. STAPLES: Maybe you should ask Mike, or the
- Board, on the section, and get their opinion before we
- change it to something --
- MR. DePUY: We were trying to sectionalize it for
- bonding reasons and stuff like that. And I don't know
- 18 how the town law works with that with respect to realty
- 19 subdivisions.
- MR. DONNELLY: Well, if you're going to have true
- 21 phases like that the Board has to look as if the second

- phase perhaps never happens. So there has to be
- appropriate terminus for the roadway. We have to make
- sure the drainage is going to work. I mean it's really
- 25 more those engineering issues and how the roadway system

1	SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
2	is going to work because if they don't get connected, it
3	may take too long, you may end up with a need for some
4	kind of road line waiver or something.
5	MR. DePUY: We'll review the sections. I think
6	we're probably just going to do the two sections. We
7	want to build this first and get all of the detention
8	ponds and everything, then build the rest of the project
9	out.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Karen Arent, Landscape
11	Architect. And speak up, please.
12	MS. ARENT: I did an inspection on the Barbara Drive
13	section of Summer Kim. That was not at all like the rest
14	of the project. It's in bad shape. I was hoping that
15	maybe it can be improved as part of this project or
16	before, maybe even this spring. The asphalt in the road
17	is about six inches higher than the plantings, the
18	planting median, so therefore, it looks it might be a
19	ponding situation, which is not good for the trees. And
20	some of the trees don't look they are doing well. So if

you could take a look at that. But the rest of the

- project looks much nicer than this piece.
- There is also a house that has no lawn installation,
- one of the houses that's shown on the map. I think it's
- No. 3. There's no lawn and that house is all weeds and

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 bare spots on the grounds.
- I think that we should include the installation of a
- 4 lawn and a landscape bond estimate. And instead of
- 5 scotch pine in the stormwater management area, ask that
- 6 you to use white pine. It's native and indigenous.
- 7 MR. DePUY: Okay.
- 8 MS. ARENT: And to show the ranch style fencing on
- 9 the stormwater management basin and plant them according
- 10 to the DEC regulations.
- And in the landscape bond that you mentioned,
- include street trees, stormwater management plantings and
- lawn installation.
- 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, do you have anything you
- want to add at this time?
- MR. DONNELLY: No. We're going to need to see that
- 17 phasing.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board Members.
- 19 Frank Galli.
- MR. GALLI: No additional.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.

- MR. MENNERICH: Nothing at this point.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Just a couple.
- Bryant, you being the planner, I guess you're the

1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FAR	RM
---------------------------------------	----

- 2 guy that I got to really depend on to make sure this
- 3 thing all works and I don't wind up with a road going
- 4 into somebody's living room. So I mean if you're
- 5 comfortable with this project and how it all mixes
- 6 together, I'm comfortable. Is that a yes?
- 7 MR. COCKS: Yes. I mean it's a bunch of different
- 8 phases put together. But the traffic access going
- 9 through, it's going to be fine. And it's not going to
- really cause that much extra traffic. It's a flat town
- road. So it pretty much fits in with the rest of the
- developments that are going on around there.
- MR. O'DONNELL: What about this spur that goes up to
- 14 Far Horizon Drive? What's the purpose of that?
- MR. COCKS: That we've just found out about. I
- guess that's just an access for the one lot.
- MR. DePUY: It's access for one lot. We were having
- problems serpentining up to the top of the hill to the
- 19 get driveway in without doing a massive earth.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Do we know anything about that, like
- grades? I mean that's a pretty steep area right there,

- isn't it?
- MR. DePUY: No. That's actually flat. The reason
- 24 why we're doing it is just pass there is where it drops
- off. We were having trouble getting a driveway up

- 1 SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
- 2 through this. The problem we were having was getting the
- driveway here, up where we were going to locate the
- 4 house. So this is fairly flat in here, and then the
- 5 grade change is from here down. This is where the steep
- 6 hills are. So our reasoning is to access off of there
- 7 for this point here where we want to locate the house.
- 8 MR. O'DONNELL: So now this Far Horizon Drive that
- 9 was a road with a cul-de-sac at the end now has another
- 10 entrance point to it?
- MR. DePUY: Yes, just like one driveway. It's just
- a driveway for a single lot.
- MR. STAPLES: It's over the existing driveway, then.
- MR. DePUY: Yes.
- MR. STAPLES: It's a shared driveway with the
- existing lot. If the Board wished to limit it to that
- 17 use --
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion from the
- Board to set this up for the next available date for a
- 20 consultants' meeting.
- 21 MR. GALLI: So moved.

- 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli.
- MR. MENNERICH: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a second by Ken
- 25 Mennerich. Any discussion on the motion?

1	SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
2	(No verbal response.)
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a roll call vote
4	starting with Frank Galli.
5	MR. GALLI: Aye.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.
9	MR. DONNELLY: John, there was one other issue. I
10	had a letter from Steve Kavack (ph.). I think you're
11	probably familiar with it, Stan. The litigation with Tom
12	Barrone is still ongoing. It looks like it's close to
13	settling. But I'd like you to keep us in the loop as to
14	how that's going.
15	MR. SCHUTZMAN: Yes. There is an existing court
16	order that does settle the case. But basically, the
17	Board had requested that access be provided from the
18	Palmerone subdivision by private easement until the roads
19	were dedicated. So that letter doesn't change anything.
20	He still has a private easement to get out until we're in

a position to either complete Summer Lane, Summer Drive

- coming from the south to access his property or the
- complete it from there out.
- MR. DONNELLY: His only point is the settlement is,
- 25 he calls it tentative and not quite finalized, but he

1	SUMMER KIM/PHASE II at LONGVIEW FARM
2	hopes it will be shortly. I just want to state in the
3	loop.
4	MR. SCHUTZMAN: Right. But all I would say is that
5	the issue of access is one that the Board had addressed
6	in connection with the last subdivisions.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Mr. Donnelly
8	(Time noted: 8:22 p.m.)
9	
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 24, 2007

# STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

-----X

In the Matter of:

CHESTERFIELD COURT (2006-21)

Lakeside Road Section 28; Block 1; Lot 14.11 R-1 Zone

----X

## CONCEPTUAL SKETCH PLAN TEN-LOT SUBDIVISION

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 8:22 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: LEWIS POWELL, L.S.

. - - - - - - - - - - X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

#### 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT

- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of business this
- 3 evening is Chesterfield Court. It's is a conceptual
- 4 sketch plan for a ten-lot subdivision located on Lakeside
- 5 Road. It's in an R-1 Zone. It's being represented by
- 6 Lou Powell.
- 7 Mr. Powell.
- 8 MR. POWELL: Good evening. This project received
- 9 sketch plan approval sometime ago. We had to go to the
- 10 Town Board to get approval for three driveways off of the
- 11 Mc Conlin (ph.) driveway, which is the lot to the rear,
- which we obtained.
- You have preliminary plans. This is in the R-1
- District with a minimum lot size of 40,000 square feet.
- And the lots range in size from 40,000 up to 2.3 acres,
- which is the lots in the back.
- 17 This project is in the sewer district and water
- district. There is a low pressure sewer main in the
- 19 front of the property. And we are utilizing some of the
- stubs that were left there, I think we have one new stub,
- so that all of the lots will have grinder pumps which

- pump into that low pressure sewer and then up to the
- 23 manhole which is further up the side. And water main
- goes from the property. We're proposing a water main.
- The fire company has requested that we put a hydrant

1	CITECEPPIET	COLDE
	CHESTERFIELD	I $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$

- 2 at the end of this common drive, at the foot of the hill.
- 3 The fire company also requested that we have a T
- 4 turnaround so that can turn around down there because
- 5 they apparently have no intention of driving the fire
- 6 trucks up to the house. They'll be fighting any fires
- 7 from down here.
- 8 I received the consultants' comments.
- 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mr. Powell, before I turn over
- to Pat Hines, our Drainage Consultant, I'd like to refer
- back to the meeting of April 20th, at which point Bryant
- 12 Cocks, Planning Consultant, had asked the Board to hold
- off on conceptual approval until the Town Board grants a
- common driveway through three lots. So in your
- presentation you had said we had granted conceptual
- approval. That's a motion that we'd have to take under
- 17 consideration this evening.
- 18 At this point I'd like to refer to Pat Hines, our
- 19 Drainage Consultant.
- MR. HINES: Yes. We have something that -- I was
- 21 just looking.

- 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You're acting like me now.
- MR. HINES: I know. I've got them now.
- Lou, you just stated that you're going to use the
- individual stubs out on Lakeside Road. But the plans

- 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT
- 2 show a low pressure sewer main extending into the road
- 3 with each house tapping into that.
- 4 MR. POWELL: Yes. There are a couple stubs they
- 5 left there we're connecting into. I don't know if it
- 6 went all the way across the road or not. I'll verify
- 7 that.
- 8 MR. HINES: So you're saying that you're going to
- 9 not have a main in the proposed town road, you're going
- to run each individual lateral out?
- MR. POWELL: No. This Lot 9 and 10, which front on
- Lakeside, there are stubs that were connected into that.
- MR. HINES: Right. But the rest of the houses are
- 14 going to be --
- MR. POWELL: The rest of them will be on. The new
- main we're going to run up.
- MR. HINES: Okay. That will need DEC approval for a
- sewer connection. That's our first comment.
- Health Department approval for water main extension.
- You have proposed, in order to reduce wetland
- 21 impacts, concrete retaining walls to support the town

- road. That'll need Jim Osborne and the highway
- superintendent's concurrence for that. You should get
- that sooner than later.
- We're looking for the low pressure sewer design

- 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT
- 2 report to be submitted for my office and Jim Osborne.
- 3 Cross grading easements will be required if grading
- 4 crosses the lot lines.
- 5 This property, the parent parcel is on either side
- 6 of Lakeside Road?
- 7 MR. POWELL: Right. This is a portion of it.
- 8 MR. HINES: What I'm suggesting now is we get the
- 9 dedication parcel for both sides of the road.
- 10 MR. POWELL: The 50-foot.
- MR. HINES: The 50-foot rather than 25 shown.
- There's a couple comments on the stormwater
- management report that I know you have. One of the
- issues, long-term operation and maintenance of the
- detention ponds. The Town would mostly likely establish
- a drainage district for this. You're going to need to
- approach the Town on that because they're shown on the
- individual lots rather than on their own lots.
- MR. POWELL: I have to put them on the easement.
- MR. HINES: Yes. But the Town may want them on
- 21 their own lots, and that will impact your lot count. You

- 22 need to get to the Town Board and Jim Osborne's office to
- discuss that.
- We talked at work session of timing of the
- 25 construction of the retaining walls for the shared

1	CITECEPPIET	COLDE
	CHESTERFIELD	I $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$

- 2 driveway, and it was determined that those would most
- 3 likely be included in the public improvement bonding
- 4 requirements because obviously, the first one in is going
- 5 to have to build the wall, or the developer will.
- 6 And jurisdictional determination for wetlands,
- you're at the permit limit. I think you're at .098 or
- 8 something like that. We'll be looking for the
- 9 jurisdictional determination on that under the new regs
- that were adopted last week.
- 11 That's all we have.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, to follow up to what Pat
- Hines was saying, an important point that we have
- procedurally now, as far as looking at this subdivision
- in reference to the detention ponds.
- MR. DONNELLY: What we have tried to work out with
- the Town Board, just in a general sense that you see it
- is, that before preliminary is granted, like now, the
- applicant visits the Town Board and the Town Engineer to,
- No. 1, find out whether or not this is a property where a
- drainage district is appropriate. And No. 2, a little

- bit of the format of what the Town Board and the Town
- Engineer will want. Usually they want a fee parcel for
- the drainage facilities that they're going to take over.
- And if that's true, there's no sense going down the road

1	CHESTERFIELD COURT
2	with this proposal and giving you preliminary approval
3	and then having you go to the Town Board and change
4	things. Now, maybe you could convince them that an
5	easement right across multiple parcels is sufficient.
6	But that's for them to decide with you. So we want you
7	to go now rather than later.
8	MR. POWELL: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Mike.
10	Bryant Cocks, Planning Consultant.
11	MR. COCKS: Yes. We just need a copy of the
12	resolution for the common driveway for the three lots.
13	The bulk table is going to be needed with each
14	individual lot in it, not just the minimum required.
15	All the easements are going to have to be sent to
16	Mike Donnelly before final.
17	A signed wetland certification map, not just a note
18	on the plans.
19	And a landscaping plan is going to be needed,
20	including street trees, planting types, maybe a buffer

from Lakeside Road, a gateway to the subdivision and

- plantings by stormwater drainage area. But that will all
- be reviewed by Karen.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Karen Arent, Landscape
- 25 Architect.

#### 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT

- 2 MS. ARENT: Show disturbance limit lines and include
- 3 notes regarding the installation of safety fence along
- 4 this line before construction begins. Preserve as much
- 5 vegetation as possible along Lakeside Road. Screen the
- 6 development from the road.
- 7 The stormwater management area needs to be screened
- 8 from Lakeside Road. You may want to consider using some
- 9 of the stones from the stone walls that you're going to
- be removing, the stone walls along that road, to screen
- the basin. That would probably be the most effective and
- aesthetically pleasing way to screen the basin.
- You need to show a ranch style fence with wire
- around the basin. But we could also consider a stone
- wall if it's high enough. And plant the basin in
- accordance with DEC guidelines, showing specified street
- trees. Wherever possible, show side lawns and garages of
- the houses. And that's it.
- 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli, Planning Board
- Member.
- MR. GALLI: No additional comments.

- 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- MR. MENNERICH: My only question, it concerns the
- preservation of vegetation along Lakeside Road to screen
- it from the road. Would that vegetation also be the

#### 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT

- 2 screen from Orange Lake? Because this is located pretty
- 3 high up in elevation compared to the lake. It's a
- 4 question, in my mind, whether there is a visual impact
- 5 from the lake. I don't know.
- 6 MS. ARENT: Well, I'll look at that. And I'll look
- at how much screening Lou is able to save, to preserve.
- 8 And I'll look and see how dense it is. In my
- 9 recollection, it's pretty dense. If he could save a
- substantial portion of it in the various heights on the
- hillside, that would help block some of the location,
- help block some of the houses. So we'll have to see
- exactly what you could see.
- MR. POWELL: This is very -- if you look at the
- location of land, this property, the Wolf property is
- right at the very end of the lake. I'm not even sure
- whether that's off Hauser or whether that's on Lakewood.
- I doubt there's much visual to this site from on the
- 19 lake.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Actually, quite the opposite.
- There is good exposure to the lake. The lands of Rudek,

- which is noted on the other side of the lake, is part of
- the Wolf Estate. There's a road. Visually, I know the
- property. I mean it does. It just reflects straight
- down the lake.

- 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT
- 2 MR. POWELL: Are they going to see the pond or are
- 3 they going this lot?
- 4 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No. Actually, the houses are
- 5 visual from the lake, where proposed.
- 6 MR. POWELL: I thought you were talking about the
- 7 pond.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No. I think he was talking
- 9 about the houses.
- MS. ARENT: The houses.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes, the houses. Within reason.
- MR. MENNERICH: I mean on the west side of the lake
- there was an item of concern.
- MS. ARENT: Yes. I agree. We should look at that.
- MR. HINES: One of the benefits here is that you
- don't have the large septic areas because of the low
- pressure sewer available. So there is some land you
- could save that way, too.
- MR. POWELL: That house on 9 could be pushed back.
- 20 10 is quite a ways back as it is so you can leave the
- vegetation in the front there.

- MS. ARENT: So I guess what you should do is try to
- save as much of the vegetation as you can.
- MR. POWELL: One of the sheets does have that
- clearing line on here but I guess it's probably not too

- 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT
- 2 clear. We'll mark it so it's clear.
- 3 MS. ARENT: If you could.
- 4 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, what's your advise to the
- 5 Board at this point, as far as referring them to the Town
- 6 Board? What action?
- 7 MR. DONNELLY: I think they need to make a phone
- 8 call to the Supervisor and the Town Engineer, I think is
- 9 the process, for them to have that meeting and then
- they'll take it to the Town Board from there.
- 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. I'll move for a
- motion to grant conceptual sketch plan approval for the
- ten-lot subdivision for Chesterfield Court.
- MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
- MR. GALLI: Second.
- 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- 17 Mennerich. I have a second by Frank Galli. Any
- discussion on the motion?
- MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. Lou, have you had any
- conversations with the Arch Lake Homeowners group? I
- mean are they still a part of things in Orange Lake? I

- mean they usually wind up here whenever we see a project.
- MR. HINES: They were pretty active in the Mountain
- Lakes project.
- MR. O'DONNELL: I mean have you had any discussions

- 1 CHESTERFIELD COURT
- with them? Well, I mean I guess you're under no
- 3 obligation to do that. But I mean they kind of wind up
- 4 coming here and --
- 5 MR. DONNELLY: You may want to.
- 6 MR. O'DONNELL: You might want to do that, I think.
- 7 MR. POWELL: Thank you.
- 8 MR. O'DONNELL: It just would save some trouble down
- 9 the road.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mr. Schulk (ph.), do you know
- 11 Fred Langer?
- MR. SCHULK: Yes.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would suggest he's one of --
- MR. O'DONNELL: Or Jay.
- 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Or Jay Cappola.
- MR. O'DONNELL: I think you guys ought to do that.
- 17 End of the comments.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: If you would revise your plans
- and resubmit.
- I had a motion. I have a motion. I had a motion by
- Frank Galli, a second by Ken Mennerich, had discussion by

- Ed O'Donnell. Any further discussion?
   (No verbal response.)
   CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. I move for a roll
- call vote starting with Frank Galli.

1	CHESTERFIELD COURT
2	MR. GALLI: Aye.
3	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
4	MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So moved.
6	(Time noted: 8:37 p.m.)
7	
8	* * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 21, 2007

# STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

In the Matter of:

ATLANTIC BUILDERS (2006-55)

Gould Place Section 2; Block 3; Lots 15 & 26.5 RR Zone

----X

#### THREE-LOT SUBDIVISION

Date: April 5, 2007 Time: 8:37 P.M.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall 1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK GALLI

KENNETH MENNERICH

ED O'DONNELL

ALSO PRESENT: NORMA JACOBSEN

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: MARK A. LUKASIT

-----X

MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

- 1 ATLANTIC BUILDERS
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The last item of business we
- 3 have this evening is Atlantic Builders. It's a three-lot
- 4 subdivision located on Gould Place. It is in a RR Zone.
- 5 It's being represented by Mark Lukasit.
- 6 MS. JACOBSEN: He just stepped out. I'll go get
- 7 him.
- 8 (Mr. Lukasit entered the meeting room.)
- 9 MR. LUKASIT: Good evening.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We announced it. Thanks. Do
- 11 you want us to come back?
- MR. LUKASIT: If I had a little less traffic on 17,
- 13 I'm from New Jersey, I would have made it on time.
- 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Well, that's why we're
- 15 questioning whether we should have this project or not
- because of traffic impact.
- MR. LUKASIT: Then I'm in for a very long evening.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let's try and make it short
- because we're at that point.
- 20 MR. LUKASIT: Absolutely, sir.
- 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. For the record, you

- 22 are?
- MR. LUKASIT: Good evening. Mark Lukasit, Tectonic
- Engineering, engineer for the project.
- We're in receipt of both your consultants' review

1	L ATL	$\Delta N'$	TIC	RIII	$\Pi D$	FRS	1
ı		/A I N	1 11				7

- 2 letters, both from your engineer and also from your
- 3 planner, both of which are very straightforward, indicate
- 4 only some minor items, a couple typos, some items that we
- 5 can certainly resolve.
- 6 At this point in time, we're hoping that the Board
- 7 would be willing to entertain a motion to set a public
- 8 hearing for this project so that we can move forward with
- 9 the process.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Mark.
- 11 Pat Hines, Drainage Consultant.
- MR. HINES: As the Applicant has represented and
- stated, we have a couple cleanup items on the septic
- systems. They were revised per our previous comments.
- 15 There's two separate septic systems now. Previously, the
- grading would have allowed them to function as one. We
- believe that the project is ready for a Negative
- Declaration and a public hearing at the next available
- date.
- 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks.
- MR. COCKS: We're just waiting for a letter from the

- ACLA for the wetland delineation.
- We are also awaiting the status of the drainage
- 24 district.
- Did you guys request a drainage district?

#### 1 ATLANTIC BUILDERS

- 2 MR. LUKASIT: There was a question raised as to
- 3 whether there was ever one created previously.
- 4 MR. COCKS: Okay.
- 5 MR. LUKASIT: There was none that were found during
- 6 the course of the title search. But it was requested
- 7 that we do contact the Town Engineer to determine
- 8 whether, in fact, there was anything there. We put a
- 9 couple calls in, a formal request. I haven't heard
- anything back. But hopefully, we can clarify that in a
- 11 month or so, prior to a public hearing.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Just cc us on any correspondence
- to the Town Engineer.
- MR. LUKASIT: Certainly.
- MR. COCKS: The bulk tables, you should show the
- actual setback dimensions, not just the minimum required.
- 17 All easements are going to have to be filed with
- 18 Mike Donnelly before final approval.
- 19 And the Applicant has addressed the rest of our
- 20 comments regarding zoning, the lot layout. And we have
- 21 no other issues, and recommend a Negative Declaration.

22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly, Planning Board
23	Attorney, would you like to add anything at this time?
24	MR. DONNELLY: Only this. If it doesn't have a
25	drainage district, is this a project where we're likely

- 1 ATLANTIC BUILDERS
- 2 to need one?
- 3 MR. HINES: No.
- 4 MR. DONNELLY: No. I have no comments.
- 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board Members.
- 6 Frank Galli.
- 7 MR. GALLI: No additional questions.
- 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich.
- 9 MR. MENNERICH: No.
- 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ed O'Donnell.
- 11 MR. O'DONNELL: Nothing.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a motion to declare a
- Negative Declaration for the lands of Atlantic Builders
- for a three-lot subdivision and set it for the 21st of
- 15 June for a Public Hearing.
- MR. MENNERICH: So moved
- 17 MR. O'DONNELL: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
- 19 Mennerich. I have a second by Ed O'Donnell. Any
- 20 discussion on the motion?
- 21 (No verbal response.)

- 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll ask for a roll call vote
- starting with Frank Galli.
- MR. GALLI: Aye.
- MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

1	ATLANTIC BUILDERS
2	MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So carried
4	(Time noted: 8:42 p.m.)
5	
6	* * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 21, 2007

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD				
In the Matter of:	A			
PET SMART (2005-35)	- NEWBURGH PLAZA )			
	X			
AMENDED SITE PLAN				
Time:	April 5, 2007 8:42 P.M. Town of Newburgh Town Hall 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550			
BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK GALLI KENNETH MENNERICH ED O'DONNELL			
ALSO PRESENT:	NORMA JACOBSEN MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ. BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES KAREN ARENT MICHAEL MUSSO			

# MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 (845) 895-3018

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

- 1 PET SMART NEWBURGH PLAZA
- 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We just have one item of Board
- 3 business this evening. And I will let Frank Galli note
- 4 what that item of Board business is, I don't have the
- 5 agenda for it.
- 6 MR. GALLI: Pet Smart.
- 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. Would you read it
- 8 the way it's written?
- 9 MS. JACOBSEN: This is Michael Donnelly, Esquire's
- letter dated April 4, 2006 regarding Pet Smart, Newburgh
- 11 Plaza, 2005-35.
- 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
- Mark, would you contact Bryant Cocks, excuse me, our
- 14 Planning Consultant, in reference to setting up the
- public hearing?
- MR. LUKASIT: We will.
- 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
- 18 Mike, would you please do the Pet Smart for this?
- MR. DONNELLY: Yes. We spoke about it briefly in
- work session. The issue, in a nutshell, is this. The
- application was approved for a Pet Smart store with

- 22 limited veterinarian services on premises. The Applicant
- has now indicated a desire to expand those veterinary
- services to full-time at the store. And the question is
- 25 what further action is required from you. As I discussed

1	PET SMART - NEWBURGH PLAZA
2	at the work session, you approved the limited veterinary
3	services as services that were customarily incidental to
4	the retail use. What's proposed now is an increase in
5	degree. And I believe that you could consider approving
6	an amended site plan on the same theory that they are
7	incidental to the retail use. My recommendation, if
8	you're inclined to do that, is that we obtain, and I know
9	has already been prepared, a narrative description of the
10	increased activities and that we attach that to the
11	amended resolution if you act upon it, declaring that
12	those are the outside limit of the activities that can be
13	conducted on site so that from an enforcement point of
14	view, if the use expands beyond that, the Town could
15	enforce the restriction. But that would require
16	re-noticing it. Not for a hearing, putting it on your
17	agenda and getting that narrative before you for you to
18	review.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Then I would move for a
20	motion to set the 17th of May, an agenda item for Pet

Smart.

- And Mike, you'll contact the attorney and have him
- provide us with the necessary resubmissions?
- MR. DONNELLY: Yes.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And we'll take this as a formal

- 1 PET SMART NEWBURGH PLAZA
- 2 agenda item, not as a Board business item. And that
- would be an amended site plan. Move for that motion.
- 4 MR. GALLI: So moved.
- 5 MR. MENNERICH: Second.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli.
- 7 I have a second by Ken Mennerich. Any discussion on the
- 8 motion?
- 9 MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. Me again.
- The Applicant's going to provide us with a written
- description of what this veterinarian is going to
- 12 perform.
- 13 MR. DONNELLY: Yes.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Will they also share with us,
- because they'll do the research, as to what other pet
- shops around provide that service? Or does everybody
- else have a veterinarian that does that kind of work and
- doesn't sell dog food or cat food? I have a feeling
- here, and I don't know whether it's right or wrong. I
- think there ought to be a distinction between
- veterinarian shops and pet shops, and that's where I'm

- at. So I mean I'm not convinced that when they come that
- I'm going to support it. But I have to see what it is.
- And I think they need to do more work. It's not going to
- come in here and be a slam dunk for me.

1	PET SMART - NEWBURGH PLAZA
2	MR. DONNELLY: You want to see industry specific
3	information as to how frequently those things exist in
4	modern pet stores. Fair enough.
5	MR. O'DONNELL: I mean especially if some little kid
6	has a dog, gets sick, and he brings him there, the guy
7	gives him a pill, he sends him home, brings it back the
8	next day and dog's dying and the guy can't do anything
9	because he doesn't have the capability of doing it.
10	MR. DONNELLY: I'll ask them to get that stuff
11	together.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Again, discussion. It's
13	interesting that you bring up. When we were just talking
14	about it during our work session Mike Donnelly gave the
15	example when gasoline stations and service stations
16	provide a service that there's a change. And I thought
17	back when Ken Mennerich and I were on the Board and when
18	the Mobile station came in. There was all these
19	conversions in those days from what was a service station

to convenience stores. It was the same transformation.

MR. DONNELLY: But one of the things that hasn't

20

- happened is you don't see a doctor resident in a
- pharmaceutical store where people go to get their drugs.
- 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
- MR. MENNERICH: Not yet.

- 1 PET SMART NEWBURGH PLAZA
- 2 MR. O'DONNELL: Well, I don't think you'll that see
- 3 that. So in view of the fact that that hasn't happened
- 4 yet --
- 5 MS. JACOBSEN: Eye doctors are in Wal-Mart.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Wal-Mart had to push.
- 7 MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. But I'm talking about
- 8 physicians going into the drug store where they treat
- 9 you. Maybe it will happen some day, I don't know. But
- anyhow, I just wanted to let you know.
- MR. DONNELLY: John, I'm not familiar with what we
- require. For an amended application they need to submit
- an application form and fees? Or how do I instruct them
- on that issue?
- MS. JACOBSEN: There is escrow money left, a
- 16 considerable amount, from Newburgh Plaza coming in.
- MR. DONNELLY: Is a letter with a description and
- the narrative with the industry data enough for our
- purposes here or do we need more?
- MR. GALLI: Is it Newburgh Plaza that's doing it or
- 21 Pet Smart doing it?

- MS. JACOBSEN: It's part of the Newburgh Plaza.
- 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So it would be an amended site
- plan for the Newburgh Plaza.
- MS. JACOBSEN: For the plaza, yes. Because we have

- 1 PET SMART NEWBURGH PLAZA
- 2 nothing as Pet --
- 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think what Norma is saying, we
- 4 could work off of that escrow.
- 5 MS. JACOBSEN: Yes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What you and I have to do,
- Norma, or from what happens tonight, I would like for you
- 8 to just put together the agendas just for what we
- 9 discussed tonight for those dates for public hearings.
- 10 And then I'll build upon them at a later point.
- MS. JACOBSEN: Yes, I will. I'll have them Monday
- for you, because we're not in tomorrow.
- 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes, I know. Or Tuesday.
- MS. JACOBSEN: And I just wanted to ask you one
- thing. I know Bryant is going to do it, but Bryant, do
- you want to start doing the list or do you want me?
- 17 Because the normal thing, the day after we have a meeting
- I get everybody's lists. Or is Bryant going to start it?
- 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Why don't you try getting a
- list. That way, when Norma is in town and you could have
- Norma proof what you did for accuracy.

- MR. COCKS: I was going to say you guys aren't
- working tomorrow, right?
- MS. JACOBSEN: No. Monday.
- MR. COCKS: So how about Monday? I'll come over and

- 1 PET SMART NEWBURGH PLAZA
- we'll do them. We'll make sure of that.
- 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes. Why don't you work it that
- 4 way, get hand to hand.
- 5 MS. JACOBSEN: Fine. Is it a problem to come first
- 6 thing in the morning?
- 7 MR. COCKS: Not at all.
- 8 MS. JACOBSEN: Okay. The day goes crazy. You never
- 9 know what's going to happen next.
- MR. COCKS: I won't even go to Goshen. I'll come
- straight up there. Saves me on some driving.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Does this mean that we have to refer
- to Bryant now as Norma?
- MR. COCKS: I could only be so lucky.
- 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a motion that we
- close the Planning Board meeting of April 5.
- MR. O'DONNELL: So moved.
- MR. GALLI: Second.
- 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ed O'Donnell.
- I have a second by Frank Galli. I would ask for a roll
- 21 call vote starting with Frank Galli.

- MR. GALLI: Aye.
- MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
- MR. O'DONNELL: Aye.
- 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.

1	PET SMART - NEWBURGH PLAZA
2	At this point, I don't know what to say, Norma.
3	It's been
4	MR. O'DONNELL: Let me do it. How about everybody
5	have give a hand to Norma.
6	(Applause.)
7	MS. JACOBSEN: Now I'm going to come and sit in the
8	audience.
9	MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, don't open your mouth.
10	(Time noted: 8:50 p.m.)
11	
12	* * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

\_\_\_\_\_

Date Transcribed: April 21, 2007